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notes yesterday from the testimony, and I had some difficulty, it seems to me, from looking back following some of your questions, Mr. Chu. So, if on leading, since you -- on cross, since you can lead, if you can make your questions a bit more pithy, that would really help me follow your arguments.

And in terms of your objections, if you could just specify all the objections you have in a very concise way, that would really help me make sure that I hear everything you have to say and respond to each and every objection you raise because I don't want to miss anything.

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
Whereupon,

## CLEOPHAS JACKSON

having been previously duly sworn, was recalled as a witness herein and was examined and testified further as follows:

JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Jackson, you remain under oath from yesterday. Do you have a complete set of the exhibits in front of you still?

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am, I believe so.
JUDGE BIRO: Thank you.
Okay. We're going to continue with the cross of Mr. Jackson.
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A Running changes, yes.
Q Okay. And in these guides here, it spells out what it's in reference to, is that correct?

A The way to amend an application should be discussed in guidance documents, yes.

Q Okay. And what is your understanding of what the running changes specifically apply to in terms of the description of the vehicle?

A So manufacturers can make running changes that address issues associated with the adding models to a family if they'd like to add models to a family, vehicle models to a family. But if they're making substantive changes to the design, those are not allowed as a running change.

Q And when we talk about design, I mentioned to you yesterday -- I guess you mentioned it, Section 86, is that correct?

A 40 CFR Part 86?
Q Yes.
A Yes.
Q And you are aware that the specific identification referencing design is no longer in the then 2012 and up to today's date regulations, correct?

A I'm sorry, I'd have to go back and look at the regulations as printed. Again, I never like to

MR. CHU: Yes. Good morning. May it please the Court.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMES) BY MR. CHU:
Q Mr. Jackson, I want to reference you over to the series from CX-12, and in one of the drafts here, there is an explanation for a change, okay? Are you familiar with those changes?

A I'm sorry. CX-12, what page?
Q No. We're going to start with CX-12, okay? And there was a series of drafts of guidances. And so what I'm asking you, are you familiar in these documents where it talks about and guides on how to make changes?

A How to make changes to the application? I'm sorry. I have to look at the document --

Q Okay.
A -- and actually read through it.
Q I'm sorry?
A I have to look at the document to make sure I read the steps correctly.

Q Okay. You mentioned yesterday something about change orders. Do you remember that?

A Change orders? No, I don't.
Q Or running changes.
make a citation without actually reading the regulations.

Q I understand. But you don't remember going over that in your deposition?

A I don't recall the specifics of it. I apologize. I don't recall the specifics.

Q Okay. So running changes are not necessary unless you're adding a model type, correct?

A No, I didn't say that. It's the manufacturer's decision to make a running change.

Q I understand. But every change is not considered a defined running change.

A I think your statement is correct. Every change is not a running change. Okay. That sounds right.

Q Okay. So changes can be made but only if it's a change involving what you just testified to. Those would require a written request to your department, is that correct?

A Meaning a substantive change, yes, that would require a submission.

Q Now are you aware of any other provisions in the CFR that governs adjustments, changes, or addendums?

A To the application? To the certificate of

|  | Page 345 |  | Page 347 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | conformity? | 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Let's move ahead based |
| 2 | Q To the certificate of conformity, not the | 2 | on that representation. If it turns out to be |
| 3 | application, to the certificate of conformity. | 3 | mistaken, we'll come back to it. |
| 4 | A So I'm aware of the fact that in Part 86, it | 4 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 5 | covers that. I'm not sure I understand your question. | 5 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 6 | Am I aware of something other than making amendments | 6 | Q Did you find that? |
| 7 | to the app -- amendments to your certificate? What | 7 | A 26? Yes. |
| 8 | are you asking me? | 8 | Q Yes, okay. And before we start there, I |
| 9 | Q Well, I'm saying based upon your expertise | 9 | want you to look at CX-15, EPA Bates No. 405. |
| 10 | in dealing with the regulations -- | 10 | A CX-15, EPA page number which? Which page |
| 11 | A Uh-huh. | 11 | number? |
| 12 | Q -- are you aware of any other regulations or | 12 | Q Bates No. 405. |
| 13 | possibly any rules with the EPA that deals with | 13 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, may I get the overhead |
| 14 | adjustments -- | 14 | turned on, please? |
| 15 | A So all of the -- | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. |
| 16 | Q May I finish, please? | 16 | MR. SASSANO: If you could take that piece |
| 17 | A Oh, please. | 17 | off of there, obviously. I left something on there. |
| 18 | Q -- amendments or addendums? | 18 | JUDGE BIRO: He removed it. Okay. Please |
| 19 | A So all of the regulatory provisions that | 19 | proceed. |
| 20 | govern all the sectors allow for amendments. | 20 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 21 | MR. CHU: I'm sorry. Your Honor, could I | 21 | Q Did you find that page, sir? |
| 22 | turn the mike turned up a bit on -- | 22 | A Yes. |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: All of the regulatory sections | 23 | Q Okay. Now I'm going to reference you to No. |
| 24 | allow for amendments that govern each of the sectors. | 24 | 10. Do you see that? |
| 25 | // | 25 | A Yes. |
|  | Page 346 |  | Page 348 |
| 1 | BY MR. CHU: | 1 | Q So does that -- and could you read that for |
| 2 | Q So there are so-called adjustments that can | 2 | the record, please, what's in that block? |
| 3 | be made and accepted by your department, correct? | 3 | A Sure. No. 10, "Submit amendments to the |
| 4 | A Yes. | 4 | application, if any." |
| 5 | Q Okay. Now, sir, I'm going to ask you to | 5 | Q Okay. So that confirms what you said. You |
| 6 | look at the Respondents' exhibit booklet that you have | 6 | can make amendments, adjustments, and addendums, |
| 7 | there with you, and we're going to be looking at | 7 | right? |
| 8 | Exhibit 26 for the -- | 8 | A You can make amendments to the application, |
| 9 | MR. KLEPP: Point of order, Your Honor. | 9 | yes. |
| 10 | This is what I brought up earlier, that Exhibit 26 | 10 | Q Okay. And that's just to make sure that |
| 11 | versus what we have in the prehearing exchange, I'm | 11 | everything at the end of day is clear to the agency of |
| 12 | not quite sure. So I'd have to look in two places. | 12 | what's going on. Is that accurate? |
| 13 | I'd ask for the Court to allow that before I can say | 13 | A The manufacturer decides why they want to |
| 14 | that we're on the same page. | 14 | amend. |
| 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So what was the number | 15 | Q And, again, when we talk about |
| 16 | of Exhibit 26 in the Respondents' prehearing exchange? | 16 | manufacturers, we're also identifying companies that |
| 17 | MR. CHU: Ms. Tariq is going to pull up the | 17 | don't actually make anything but are just importers, |
| 18 | number for us. RX-28 and 29. | 18 | correct? |
| 19 | JUDGE BIRO: And is this an exact duplicate | 19 | A When I use the term manufacturer, I'm |
| 20 | of the prehearing exchange documents that were 28 and | 20 | referring to the certificate holder. |
| 21 | 29, Ms. Tariq? | 21 | Q Okay, fine, because there's no agreement |
| 22 | MS. TARIQ: Yes, Your Honor, except for the | 22 | between the OEM manufacturer in China and the EPA on |
| 23 | page numbers. | 23 | these certificates, are there? |
| 24 | JUDGE BIRO: Except for the page numbers. | 24 | MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor, calls for |
| 25 | MS. TARIQ: Yes. | 25 | a legal conclusion. |

MR. CHU: I'm asking for the existence of an agreement.

JUDGE BIRO: In this particular case you mean?

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. In this case.
THE WITNESS: Sure. So the responsibility is to the certificate holder. The responsibility at EPA is with the certificate holder.

MR. CHU: I'm having trouble hearing.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. The responsibility to EPA is the certificate holders.

MR. CHU: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I'm going to have to object to that as being non-responsive.

JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. Is there a difference in this case between the manufacturers under the regulations and the importers?

MR. CHU: I was asking if there was an agreement with the two Respondents that are the manufacturers in China with the EPA, an agreement.

JUDGE BIRO: A written agreement?
MR. CHU: Any agreement.
JUDGE BIRO: That was your question? Okay.
MR. CHU: Yes. That, in other words, something that binds -- we have the certificate holder
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that makes the application, and the OEMs don't have an application in, nor is there an agreement. I just wanted to establish that on the stand here.

JUDGE BIRO: I understand.
Do you understand the question now?
THE WITNESS: I believe so. And, again, I'll try to reiterate my point. I want to be clear. The relationship is between EPA and the certificate holder, the person who submitted the application. There's no other agreement, but there's a clause, a contribute clause, in the act that if someone else causes a violation, the agency can also address that issue as far as I understand. And I'm not an attorney, but I believe there's a clause, a contribute clause. So, when you ask is there an agreement, I don't know of any contractual agreement, but there are obligations.

MR. CHU: Is there any way we can get that mike turned up?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Am I not speaking loudly enough? I can say that again if you'd like. JUDGE BIRO: I think Mike will come and hopefully be able to fix the situation.

THE WITNESS: I apologize.
JUDGE BIRO: No problem.

MR. CHU: I'm sorry. Maybe it's me that's not being able to hear.
(Pause.)
THE WITNESS: Is this okay? Can you hear me?

MR. CHU: Yes, I can hear you just fine.
Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
(Pause.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
JUDGE BIRO: Thank you, Mike.
BY MR. CHU:
Q Sir, now back to Exhibit 26. Can you take a look at that, please?

JUDGE BIRO: Respondents' Exhibit 26.
MR. CHU: Respondents' 26. It has a yellow tab on it.
(Pause.)
MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, if I might be heard. This is just for clarification, but if we were to look at Respondents' prehearing exchange 26, it's not the documents that are being questioned on in court this morning. So that's some confusion.

MR. CHU: It's 26 of the one that we turned in. He's correct. I'll get the number again. What
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we said was it's Respondents' 28 and 29.
JUDGE BIRO: On the prehearing exchange -MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: -- that were identified as
Exhibits 28 and 29?
MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: But for these purposes they've been -- for the hearing, it's been identified as Exhibit 26?

MR. CHU: That's our position.
MR. KLEPP: I'm looking and doing a cross-
walk. That seems to make sense to us over here, Your Honor. Thank you.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
MR. CHU: Proceed?
JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed.
MR. CHU: All right.
BY MR. CHU:
Q Do you see this addendum?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And can you tell us what your impression of the request is?

A So I'm not familiar with seeing this request before, but it appears to be a request to modify previously submitted applications.

|  | Page 353 |  | Page 355 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q Correct. In line with the test results that | 1 | $106,104,120$, and 122 is what we're representing this |
| 2 | the EPA obtained through their designated and improved | 2 | data came from. This compliance director says he has |
| 3 | so-called testing agencies. Is that accurate? | 3 | seen this, but he's not familiar with it. But this is |
| 4 | A You're asking me the source of the data? | 4 | something that should have gone to his department to |
| 5 | Are you asking me for the source of the data, or what | 5 | go ahead and add this addendum to the information on |
| 6 | are you asking me? | 6 | the application. |
| 7 | Q I'm representing to you that the information | 7 | So the relevance is that once it's |
| 8 | on Exhibit 26 comes from the data that is being used | 8 | established as the witness has testified that you can |
| 9 | by the agency in this complaint to note that there | 9 | add amendments to, and the regulations provide for |
| 10 | might be differences with what was on the original | 10 | that, then basically you have these test results that |
| 11 | vehicle that was tested for the certificate of | 11 | show a lower limit. And as such, when that's added to |
| 12 | conformity application. Does that help you? | 12 | the information on the application, with no emissions |
| 13 | MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. I ask | 13 | issues, as we discussed yesterday, you basically are |
| 14 | that that be stricken. Counsel is not testifying and | 14 | in a good place at that point because everything |
| 15 | shouldn't testify in this case. | 15 | matches up. So the relevance is clearly there. |
| 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. | 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. First, that seems to go |
| 17 | BY MR. CHU: | 17 | to liability one more time. And then I can't imagine |
| 18 | Q Okay. So you're saying you've not seen this | 18 | there's any dispute if, in fact, these limits come out |
| 19 | exhibit? | 19 | of the CEE documents that the agency has admitted into |
| 20 | A This document? | 20 | the record. They do. They speak for themselves. You |
| 21 | Q Yes. | 21 | amended -- your clients amended their COC at some |
| 22 | A I think it was -- I saw something like this | 22 | point. But these are not even dated, these documents. |
| 23 | in the documents that were shared with us, but I'm not | 23 | So how do I know when these happened or -- |
| 24 | familiar with the source of the data. I didn't | 24 | MR. CHU: May I respond, Your Honor? These |
| 25 | research that. | 25 | are not referencing the CEE. These are reference -- |
|  | Page 354 |  | Page 356 |
| 1 | Q So what I'd like to do is -- you see the | 1 | CEE only did emissions testing. The actual entities |
| 2 | references to the Complainant's exhibits in that first | 2 | involved with the catalyst testing was a company known |
| 3 | paragraph? | 3 | as SGS and ER -- is it G? ERG. And you got that |
| 4 | A Yes. | 4 | report yesterday that we submitted as -- from Harrison |
| 5 | Q Since you asked to see the data, could I ask | 5 | Wolf that was admitted, where we talked about the |
| 6 | you to go ahead and turn to the first reference? | 6 | three catalysts, and those catalysts were tested at |
| 7 | A Okay. To clarify, I didn't ask to see the | 7 | SGS. |
| 8 | data, but okay. | 8 | JUDGE BIRO: Didn't you just refer me to |
| 9 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, I missed where we're | 9 | Exhibit 106? |
| 10 | going now in terms of the cross-walk. | 10 | MR. CHU: That's a different document from |
| 11 | JUDGE BIRO: We're going to see the | 11 | the one we had admitted yesterday. |
| 12 | applications or the certificates of conformity. | 12 | JUDGE BIRO: I know. But you told me these |
| 13 | MR. CHU: Let me turn to the first one. | 13 | numbers came out of Exhibit 106. I'm looking at 106, |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: We're looking at Complainant's | 14 | and that's a CEE report. |
| 15 | Exhibit 1? | 15 | MR. CHU: I apologize, Your Honor. It's the |
| 16 | MR. CHU: No, it's going to be CX-106. | 16 | footnote or the catalytic converter test results. |
| 17 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh. Complainant's Exhibit 106. | 17 | JUDGE BIRO: A footnote? |
| 18 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, may I be heard? If | 18 | MR. CHU: Yes, on this document, on 26. |
| 19 | we're about to do a crawl through all the cross- | 19 | JUDGE BIRO: In Respondents' Exhibit 26, it |
| 20 | referenced data that pertain to any of these engine | 20 | says, "See catalytic converter results, CX-66, 86, |
| 21 | families, we're in for a very long day, and I don't | 21 | 89," et cetera. |
| 22 | see the relevance. | 22 | MR. CHU: Correct, Your Honor. |
| 23 | MR. CHU: May I respond, Your Honor? | 23 | JUDGE BIRO: And those CX are referring to |
| 24 | JUDGE BIRO: Of course. | 24 | exhibits in this case? |
| 25 | MR. CHU: The easiest way is the Exhibits | 25 | MR. CHU: Correct. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JUDGE BIRO: In their prehearing exchange? | 1 | approved labs that work in relation to EPA matters, is |
| 2 | MR. CHU: Correct. | 2 | that correct? |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: So this letter was submitted | 3 | A So, from the Office of Transportation and |
| 4 | sometime after this action began and the Complainant's | 4 | Air Quality, that is not correct. |
| 5 | prehearing exchange was submitted? | 5 | Q So how does CEE even come up as a company |
| 6 | MR. CHU: Correct. Correct. | 6 | that the EPA accepts test results from? |
| 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And if I look back on | 7 | A The laboratory conducts a compliant test, |
| 8 | those exhibits in the prehearing exchange, assuming | 8 | and someone uses that data and submits it. EPA does |
| 9 | they're admitted into the record, that's where this | 9 | not approve laboratories. We have a list of companies |
| 10 | data will come from? | 10 | who claim they're laboratories, but they're not |
| 11 | MR. CHU: Correct. | 11 | approved. In fact, the document on our website says |
| 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So aren't we good? | 12 | these are not approved laboratories. The EPA doesn't |
| 13 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. | 13 | approve laboratories. OTAQ doesn't approve |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: I accept that. | 14 | laboratories. |
| 15 | MR. CHU: I can move on? | 15 | Q So any laboratory, basically, is acceptable |
| 16 | MR. KLEPP: If I might be heard, Your Honor. | 16 | to the EPA? |
| 17 | The word submitted was mentioned by counsel. Submit | 17 | A We may conduct audits, and if they don't |
| 18 | to what? As part of our prehearing exchange, we | 18 | pass the audit, then we would raise questions about |
| 19 | received these documents, as you pointed out, Your | 19 | the data they submitted to us. So I wouldn't say any |
| 20 | Honor, unsigned, undated, in their exchange. I am not | 20 | laboratory is acceptable. |
| 21 | aware of any other submission in the context of | 21 | Q Now how many labs in China are you aware of |
| 22 | Environmental Protection Agency. So we're going to | 22 | that has been accepted to do either emissions testing |
| 23 | have this long, drawn-out discussion, I believe, about | 23 | or catalyst testing where the EPA has not determined |
| 24 | what the CX-106 cross-reference is to an emissions | 24 | there were any questions? |
| 25 | test. This table on this unsigned, undated document | 25 | Q So I don't know how many laboratories EPA |
|  | Page 358 |  | Page 360 |
| 1 | refers to catalyst component tests. There's this pile | 1 | receives emissions data from because I don't know -- I |
| 2 | of stuff that I don't think really is worth going | 2 | don't have that answer for all sectors. I think there |
| 3 | into. I don't see its relevancy, and it hasn't been | 3 | may be one or two that I've heard about that I know |
| 4 | put forward to Your Honor in an official manner. | 4 | we've interacted with, but I don't know all the |
| 5 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Chu, let me clarify. When | 5 | laboratories from all sectors that may submit data to |
| 6 | you say you submitted these letters, were they | 6 | EPA. |
| 7 | submitted to agency counsel in this litigation? | 7 | Q And the one or two that you're referring in |
| 8 | MR. CHU: Correct, Your Honor. | 8 | China, that's just something that's most recent, like |
| 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. But they were not | 9 | in 2016, 2017. Is that accurate? |
| 10 | submitted to the agency? | 10 | A No. I think some of those laboratories have |
| 11 | MR. CHU: No. I didn't want to violate any | 11 | been submitting data to us prior to 2016. But, again, |
| 12 | contact with the client or the EPA counsel, so we gave | 12 | I don't know the exact year they started. |
| 13 | it to them. I didn't want to have communications in | 13 | Q Would you be able to date that as far back |
| 14 | reference to this matter with representatives of the | 14 | as 2010, or is that going too far? |
| 15 | EPA. | 15 | A Again, I don't know the exact year that they |
| 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So it wasn't submitted | 16 | started, so I couldn't give you a date. |
| 17 | to Mr. Jackson's office directly or through whatever | 17 | Q Okay. Would there be any particular reason |
| 18 | system he accepts -- computerized system he accepts | 18 | why test results from a laboratory in China would be |
| 19 | filings for certificates of compliance. | 19 | unacceptable to the EPA? |
| 20 | MR. CHU: That's correct, Your Honor. | 20 | MR. KLEPP: Objection, calls for |
| 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. All right. Let's move | 21 | speculation, Your Honor. |
| 22 | on. | 22 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, may I respond? To the |
| 23 | MR. CHU: Thank you. | 23 | extent that this expert -- |
| 24 | BY MR. CHU: | 24 | JUDGE BIRO: Expert opinion, or it's within |
| 25 | Q Okay. Now there are entities known as | 25 | his knowledge, if he knows. |

THE WITNESS: Sure. If for some reason they didn't pass auditing, then we would have questions about the data they provided to us.

BY MR. CHU:
Q So, without having conducted any audits or reason to instigate one, then basically are you saying that test results from a testing facility in China would be considered by the EPA?

A I would say that in some instances we ask some laboratories to do round robins so that we can understand if they're doing testing in a manner that's consistent with a laboratory we've already reviewed.

Q I'm sorry. You said round robins?
A Round robin test program, where they basically participate with another labor and do testing so that we can see if the results compare.

Q It's a checking system, kind of what you're talking about, is that right?

A Sure.
Q Okay. And I believe yesterday you announced that one way of testing a catalyst is crushing the catalyst, correct?

A That is my understanding.
Q Okay. Now do you know if there are any other methods of testing a catalyst besides crushing
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it?
A So I think there are some other chemical methods, but I'm not familiar with how to do those methods, so I wouldn't be able to speak to those.

Q Okay. Now, currently, your offices, they're holding and not approving certain applications from Taotao USA, the Respondent. Is that accurate?

A Am I -- so, again, going back to the issue yesterday of what may be considered CBI for that particular manufacturer -- am I required to respond to that question if it's potentially disclosing something that --

JUDGE BIRO: Has there been a general release for Mr. Chu to receive all CBI information in regard to Taotao, including matters outside of what's at issue in this case?

MR. CHU: I'm not aware of any written release, but Taotao is the Respondent, and I'm here as their attorney at this point. So I'm not sure where that's going. But this goes to the issue of ability to pay. If the agency is not allowing them to carry on their business, then I believe it's important to hear from this witness what's going on there as to why applications are being held back at this time for this Court to consider that issue.

MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, may I suggest a sidebar on this particular issue?

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you.
JUDGE BIRO: We'll go off the record for a minute.
(Discussion held off the record.)
JUDGE BIRO: Are we ready to go back?
MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Mr. Jackson, we had a little sidebar conversation off the record among counsel.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
JUDGE BIRO: And counsel has represented to me, Mr. Chu has represented to me, that he represents
Mr. Matao Cao in this matter and in regard to everything concerning this matter and its ability to pay, and what information he's asking relates to that.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
JUDGE BIRO: And I feel that he's entitled to obtain all the information from you in defense of this case that he's requested --

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
JUDGE BIRO: -- on behalf of Mr. Cao, regardless of whatever feelings or instructions Mr.

Cao might have given you --
THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
JUDGE BIRO: -- in regard to pending
applications. So we're going to proceed, and to the extent that you disclose any CBI, it's being done at my direction and my order and my responsibility.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed.
BY MR. CHU:
Q So are you currently holding applications that's been submitted for approval?

JUDGE BIRO: Oh, yeah. Let's stop one more minute. Do we need to close the courtroom again for this?

MR. CHU: I don't have a problem with not closing it, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. Then let's proceed.
THE WITNESS: So, to answer your question, no, certificate applications are not being held. We are waiting for responses from the manufacturer.

## BY MR. CHU:

Q So, to make it clear, there's no retaliatory actions being taken by your department to hold applications that are not in the ordinary course of business. Is that accurate?

A That is accurate. There's no retaliatory action.

Q Now yesterday we talked about your meeting in China. Remember that?

A Yes.
Q And you told us that you had a conversation with a gentleman that you identified as the father of Matao Cao, is that correct?

A That's how he was introduced to us, yes.
Q And so, at first, you failed to disclose the State Department interpreter. Remember that?

A Yes, I do recall forgetting to mention that the interpreter was present.

Q So why would you have a conversation with a manufacturer executive and not utilize the State Department interpreter in having that conversation?

A I don't believe I said I didn't utilize the interpreter. If he spoke to us in English, I would listen.

Q Okay. So that's what I don't quite understand. Are you saying that the conversation was completely in English, that gentleman to you and you to him?

A No, I did not say that.
Q Okay, good. I just want to make it clear
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that --
A And, again, the conversation was not to me.
It was in the context of the meeting.
Q And I wanted to make that clear as well. So somehow this gentleman that was identified as the father of Matao Cao got up and said something, is that right?

A So I don't know if he got up. I think he was still seated when he said it.

Q Was he next to you, or where was he?
A He was across the room, across from -- there was a table, right? So we had -- the configuration was a U-shaped table. We were on one side, and they were on the other side of the $U$-shaped table.

Q And where was the interpreter in relation to where you were?

A The interpreter was on my right.
Q Okay. Would it be accurate to say that this
conversation was communicated through an interpreter, if any?

A So, again, parts of the conversation were communicated through the interpreter, and some statements were made in English.

Q Can you tell this Court specifically what you remember accurately as to what this gentleman that
you identified as the father of Matao Cao had said to you?

A So I cannot -- if you say specifically and accurately, I can't give you verbatim word for word what he said. I don't want to misquote him, you know, as a verbatim, word for word. I can tell you what he -- what the context of what he said was, which was we're all one organization, and he was in charge.

Q So he actually used the words in English to you, we are all one organization on this record?

A So, again, I just said I can't recount the exact words to you.

Q I understand. But was it a complete sentence, is what I'm getting to.

A So they weren't speaking -- he wasn't speaking necessarily in full, complete English sentences. He spoke some in English, but it was a little broken.

Q But somehow your interpretation in general of the complete conference was something of that nature, right?

A My understanding from what he said.
Q Is it just what he said, or what was -- all that was said?

A So it was what he said and what others in
the room said from the company.
Q Now did Mr. David Garibyan get up and add anything or say anything?

A He did say a few things. I don't recall everything Mr. Garibyan said, though, so I couldn't give you a transcript of that.

MR. CHU: Thank you, Mr. Jackson.
Pass the witness, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Would you like to proceed?
MR. KLEPP: I would, Your Honor. May I just
have a minute to gather some notes here from yesterday?

JUDGE BIRO: Sure. We can take a 10-minute break and come back.

MR. KLEPP: That would be much appreciated. Thank you.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Let's stand in recess 'til 10:00.

Mr. Jackson, feel free to step down. Don't discuss your testimony.
(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Mr. Klepp, having had an opportunity to consider the situation, do you want to do redirect?

MR. KLEPP: I have just a few questions on
redirect, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Mr. Jackson, thank you for your patience.
(Pause.)
MR. KLEPP: May I proceed?
JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Good morning, Mr. Jackson.
A Good morning.
Q I have just a couple of questions to follow up. This is redirect. You spoke during your testimony about the area of amendments or running changes. Would you describe the regulatory limits on uses of running changes?

A So the regulatory limits are -- again, as alluded to earlier, are specifically that manufacturers may not make changes that are substantive. So, if they change catalyst formulation, that would not be something that would be considered an amendment to an application. That would be considered a new family.

Q New family meaning new engine family?
A New engine family, yes.
test data to support their assertion that there's no change associated -- no emissions change associated with the running change or the amendment.

Q I would also draw your attention to yesterday. There was in the questioning the use of the term low-emission vehicle. Is that a regulatory term as you understand it?

A The term low-emission vehicle, as I understand it, is a light-duty regulatory term. There is a low emissions test that we made reference to, but a low-emissions vehicle, I believe, is a term used to characterize light-duty vehicles, cars.

Q I just heard you say the word low-emission test. Is that the same as low-hour test?
A Low-hour test, yes.
Q Low-emission test -- from your knowledge of the vehicles and engines involved in this case, do any of them fit the regulatory definition of low-emission vehicle?
A I'm not aware that any of these vehicles fit the category of low-emission vehicle.

MR. KLEPP: Okay. Thank you.
No further questions, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Any recross, Mr. Chu? MR. CHU: No. No, Your Honor.
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Q Is there any limitations in terms of timing of the request for a running change?

A We would typically not approve a running change request after the model year. So, if someone wanted to make a running change after the model year had ended, the certificate will have already expired, so we would not approve that running change request.

Q And in the case of a submission for a running change, what are the regulatory provisions regarding what should accompany that request?

A The data to support that there are no
changes to the emissions associated with that change.
Q Do the reg --
MR. CHU: Objection, not responsive. He asked for a specific regulatory reference.

JUDGE BIRO: No. I think he said what has to accompany it.

MR. KLEPP: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Can --
JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you.
BY MR. KLEPP:
Q The package, do they at times -- are they accompanied by an engineering analysis?

A Yes. In some instances, manufacturers actually provide engineering data to support -- or
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JUDGE BIRO: Thank you so much for your patience, Mr. Jackson.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: I very much appreciate it.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
JUDGE BIRO: You're free to go.
No intention to recall Mr. Jackson?
MR. CHU: We have none. I have no issues with this witness being released, but I would ask that he not talk about his testimony with the other witnesses.

JUDGE BIRO: Do you release him so he can go back to beautiful Michigan?

MR. KLEPP: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Jackson.
THE WITNESS: Have a good day.
(Witness excused.)
(Asides.)
JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Klepp, do we need to take another break?

MR. KLEPP: I don't -- what would you like? Oh, you're next.

MR. PALERMO: Yeah.
MR. KLEPP: We're going to switch seats.
JUDGE BIRO: That's a no? Okay.

|  | Page 373 |  | Page 375 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. KLEPP: We're ready, Your Honor. Thank | 1 | J. Carroll, CPA. And the third thing I do is I |
| 2 | you. | 2 | provide continuing education courses as part of the |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Palermo, call your next | 3 | licensure requirements for CPAs in New Jersey. |
| 4 | witness. | 4 | Q And I may have missed it. What university |
| 5 | MR. PALERMO: Dr. James Carroll. | 5 | are you a professor? |
| 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Reporter, could you please | 6 | A Georgian Court University in Lakewood, New |
| 7 | swear the witness? | 7 | Jersey. |
| 8 | Whereupon, | 8 | Q How long have you been a professor there? |
| 9 | JAMES CARROLL | 9 | A This is my 27th year, a full-time professor, |
| 10 | having been duly sworn, was called as a | 10 | yes. |
| 11 | witness and was examined and testified as follows: | 11 | Q And have you been a full-time professor at |
| 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed. | 12 | other universities? |
| 13 | MR. PALERMO: Your Honor, just a preliminary | 13 | A I had full-time faculty status at Fairleigh |
| 14 | matter. We, as you know, we had submitted a corrected | 14 | Dickenson University and also William Patterson |
| 15 | version of Dr. Carroll's expert report as CX-214. | 15 | University, both in New Jersey. |
| 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. And I haven't accepted | 16 | MR. CHU: I'm going to object as non- |
| 17 | it because it's too late. | 17 | responsive. The question was professorship, and he |
| 18 | MR. PALERMO: Okay. | 18 | said faculty. So I'm not sure if that's one and the |
| 19 | JUDGE BIRO: So I'll let you mark it as an | 19 | same. I'm objecting as non-responsive. |
| 20 | exhibit, but I'm not going to accept it into the | 20 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. |
| 21 | record. | 21 | BY MR. PALERMO: |
| 22 | MR. PALERMO: May Dr. Carroll -- | 22 | Q Previous to your current position, were |
| 23 | JUDGE BIRO: So you can identify it if you | 23 | you -- how long were you -- had been a full-time |
| 24 | like. | 24 | professor in the entirety of your profession? |
| 25 | MR. PALERMO: -- speak to it, and can I use | 25 | A This, I think it's my 35th year as a full- |
|  | Page 374 |  | Page 376 |
| 1 | it as an exhibit? | 1 | time faculty member, started out as associate |
| 2 | JUDGE BIRO: If you like. | 2 | professor and promoted to full professor. |
| 3 | MR. PALERMO: Okay. Thank you. And there | 3 | Q And how long have you been consulting? |
| 4 | will be discussion of CBI at a certain time. There | 4 | A About -- since about 1988. |
| 5 | will be tax data. | 5 | Q And who are your clients? |
| 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So should we close the | 6 | A I do litigation support. My clients are |
| 7 | courtroom now? | 7 | largely attorneys, and I testify in matters relating |
| 8 | MR. PALERMO: Well, given -- yeah, I think | 8 | to economic damages. Those are largely personal |
| 9 | we should. | 9 | injury, wrongful death cases. I also get into |
| 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 10 | commercial damages, product liability, breach of |
| 11 | MR. PALERMO: May I proceed? | 11 | contract, and then occasionally other case -- I've |
| 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed. | 12 | been in an election case, and I do some accounting |
| 13 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 13 | malpractice cases as well. |
| 14 | BY MR. PALERMO: | 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Don't we have his resume in the |
| 15 | Q Dr. Carroll, could you please introduce | 15 | record? |
| 16 | yourself and state your full name for the record? | 16 | MR. CHU: I have no problem with that, Your |
| 17 | A Dr. James J. Carroll, CPA. | 17 | Honor. |
| 18 | Q And where do you live? | 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Is there any problem |
| 19 | A 1374 Roger Avenue, Bridgewater, New Jersey. | 19 | identifying him as an expert? |
| 20 | That's my home office. | 20 | MR. CHU: No, Your Honor. |
| 21 | Q What is your current employment? | 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So maybe we could skip |
| 22 | A I have -- I hold a professorship in business | 22 | over that all. We can identify Dr. Carroll as an |
| 23 | administration at Georgian Court University, Lakewood, | 23 | expert in accounting. |
| 24 | New Jersey. I provide expert services in cases | 24 | MR. PALERMO: I'm not sure that's the -- |
| 25 | through a company that carries my own name, Dr. James | 25 | JUDGE BIRO: Well, what would you like to |

identify him as an expert in?
MR. PALERMO: We're -- we would like him to be tendered as an expert in accounting and corporate finance.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Is there any basis for not certifying him as an expert in those fields, Mr . Chu?

MR. CHU: The accounting I would not have an issue with, but the corporate finance I would.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Please proceed to lay a
foundation on corporate finance, if you can.
BY MR. PALERMO:
Q What educational degrees do you hold?
A I have an undergraduate degree in industrial engineering, New Jersey Institute of Technology. I have an MBA in finance, Rutgers University. I have a doctorate in business administration from NOVA Southeastern University. In addition, I have a series of professional credentials. May I list those?

Q Please do.
A Okay. I'm a licensed CPA in the State of
New Jersey. I'm a certified management accountant.
That's a designation put forth by Institute of
Management Accountants in Montvale, New Jersey. I'm certified as a -- in financial forensics. That's a

Q Have you provided a resume in this matter?
A I did.
Q Can you please turn to CX-159? I think it's in Book 3.

A Thank you, sir. I have it.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A I do.
Q What is it?
A My CV. And its last update was January of this year, the CV through January of this year.

Q Who prepared this resume?
A I did.
Q Does this resume accurately describe your educational background and work experience?

A Yes, through January of this year.
MR. PALERMO: Your Honor, at this time, I'd like to move to admit CX-159 into evidence.

MR. CHU: No objections, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: CX-159 is admitted into the record.

> (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 159, was received in evidence.)
designation put forth by the AICPA. I'm a certified fraud examiner. That's a designation put forth by the Institute of Fraud Examiners in Austin, Texas. I'm a certified financial manager. That's a designation put forth by the Institute of Management Accountants in Montvale, New Jersey. And finally, I'm a chartered global management accountant, a designation put forth by the American Institute of Public Accountants.

Q How did you become engaged in this matter?
A I have a little bit of advertising, and I've gotten -- I got a call from a company called ERG, Eastern Research Group, asking me if I might be interested in submitting a proposal to do work in this particular case. That was about more than a year ago.

Q Can you explain what we mean -- what corporate finance expertise means?

A Yeah. Corporate finance is the financing of running a business. It's different than individual finance and investments. It's different than public policy finance. So, when you look at someone with a background like mine, we're used to running businesses or studying businesses and running the financial aspects of the business. That includes bank loans, stock issues, cash management. Those areas are usually thought of in financial management.

## BY MR. PALERMO:

Q With respect to your career as a professor, what kind of courses have you taught?

A I think you'll find that in the resume. Under the Georgian Court, I taught the same type of courses for my 35 years. They are largely finance and accounting. The finance -- the accounting courses I taught are pretty much everything. My focus heavily is on auditing. That's the gathering of evidence to form an opinion on the issuing of financial statements. I also do cost accounting.

In the area of finance, I do graduate and undergraduate courses in financial management, the first course, the second course in both those degree programs. I also have a very strong background in professional ethics. So I teach courses in professional ethics, and I've also picked up the corporate strategy course, the Capselin (phonetic) course in our MBA program.

Q What is financial management?
A Financial management in the sense we mean it here is a management of running a corporation or any entity. It includes where does the money come from -that's bank loans or getting investors. It includes the management of the company -- the money while it's
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here, and includes the management of the sources of those monies later on. For example, it might include collections management, credit management, things that relate to the money coming in and out of a business.

Q Did any of your courses cover analyzing the financial health of privately held companies?

A Analyze the financial health of companies, yes. We do all types, that it's not only public but private companies the way we do it, yes.

Q And do your courses cover assessing the impact of taking on liabilities?

A Absolutely, yes.
Q In your career as a consultant, have you been qualified to testify as an expert in accounting before?

A Yes.
Q And in corporate finance?
A Yes.
Q And in your work as a consultant, have you
assessed whether a privately held company can take on a liability before?

A Yes.
Q Before you were a consultant and a professor, did you hold any jobs in the private sector?
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MR. PALERMO: Your Honor, at this time, I'd like to tender Dr. Carroll as an expert in accounting and corporate finance.

MR. CHU: Permission to voir dire, Your Honor?

JUDGE BIRO: You may. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

## BY MR. CHU:

Q Good morning, Dr. Carroll.
A How are you, sir?
Q Good. How are you?
A Good.
Q We meet again.
A Yes.
Q Now will you tell this Court, please, your experience with the examination of tax returns?

A Tax returns are a regular part of every forensic study I've done. I wouldn't think all of them, but probably 95 percent of them. So I've probably done literally hundreds of investigations of tax returns.
Q But you in your practice do not prepare tax returns for filing, do you?

A Absolutely not, sir, no.
Q Now you talked about the private sector.

A I did. I was the -- I worked for the big accounting firm, Deloitte, for a short period of time. I also had jobs in the financial aspect -- management aspects of other corporations, including being the chief financial officer of the U.S. subsidiary of a Swedish company. And then I've been chief financial officer of academic organizations. That doesn't even show on my resume, several of them.

Q Have you co-written a textbook?
A I did.
Q Is that textbook in the field of corporate finance?

A It's actually in the field of entrepreneurship, but it's got a finance and accounting angle. The goal of that book was to try and identify whether we should buy a business. Well, to do that, we have to look at the finance and accounting statements to make sure they make sense and analyze them, and kind of kick the tires on the car and see if it makes sense. And that's the goal of that particular book, yes. It's called Investigating Entrepreneurial Opportunities, and it's got the word due diligence in the title.

Q When was it written?
A It was published in 2000, the year 2000.

That was with Deloitte, was it?
A Okay, yes. I see why you would call it private sector. We usually call it public accounting, but, yes, we'll call it private sector. That's usually called public accounting.

Q Right. I just wrote that down from what you mentioned earlier.

A I'm sorry. Your question? I didn't get it.
Q I said I wrote that down from what you mentioned earlier. So you did not mention private sector?

A Oh, private? Sir, I'm -- can I -- what question are you asking so I can address it?

Q The question is this accounting company that you worked at, that was how many years ago?

A In the early '70s.
Q And that was -- you weren't actually an employee of Deloitte, is that right?

A Yes, I was.
Q And you were there basically to train. Is that accurate?

A No, sir. I was the billable consultant.
Q In terms of working as a financial manager?
A I did a lot of -- a variety of things. Some of it was financial management, yes.

Q Now you testified that you have been qualified as a corporate financial expert before. Can you tell this Court in what cases?

A I have a long list, sir. I'd have to think about which one. I can take a minute and look.

Q Certainly.
A And certainly, with anything regarding business damages, my list of cases starts on page 2 of my resume and goes to page 9 . Recently -- may I do a couple of recent ones for you, sir? Maybe we'll do that, okay? Or I don't know how you want to do this. You want a couple, or do you want -- I got 4- or 500 to go through. How do you want to handle that?

Q Just pick one where you were qualified by the Court and accepted as a financial man -- what do you call it, a financial what?

A The area is generally called corporate finance or managerial finance. It's the management of a company's finances, is the area we're talking about.

Q Right. But what you're being presented for is as a corporate finance expert, not a corporate management expert. Is that accurate?

JUDGE BIRO: Yes, that's accurate.
THE WITNESS: Yes, that's accurate, yes.
//

Q Is it Nada? Is that --
A Nada Srahim v. ADM Management, yes.
Q Okay. I'm sorry. I thought I heard you say Nadia. Okay, Nada, okay. So all right. That was a case where you actually testified in the courtroom?

A Yes.
Q And that was a state court case?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And as far as the corporate finance issue, without telling us who the parties were or whatever, can you give us the general essence of what the corporate finance issue was that you testified to?

A Pause and think about that a little bit.
It's been a few years. The company made a claim about a fraudulent lease application, and my testimony was that they could not have successfully operated that business, lease or not. And that was the testimony, that the company was so poorly structured and financed that the company would have failed. And that was the testimony.

Q So, basically, it was just relating the information that was on the balance sheet that it was poorly financed? In other words, you looked at a loan?

A No. The balance sheet -- I don't trust

BY MR. CHU:
Q Okay. So I just want to know about where your most recent case was where the Court accepted you as a corporate finance expert.

A I'm going to go to the resume because I've got the list in front of me, and I see Nadia Srahim v. ADM. I'm on page 10 , and I'm taking from the end of the list and working up to the first one that has finance in it.

Q Can you give me the EPA Bates number that you're talking about?

A EPA-002080.
(Pause.)
MR. CHU: Yeah, I apologize. The one that I
was given in the Complainant's book does not seem to have the 80 .

JUDGE BIRO: CX-159. Here, I'll be glad to give you my copy.

MR. CHU: Thank you. May I approach?
(Pause.)
BY MR. CHU:
Q You said on page 10, the name was Nadia, you said?

A Yes. Go to the long -- end of the long list, about halfway down the page, and go up to --
balance sheets. I trust -- I more logically touch -trust tax returns. So I took the information from the tax returns of the company, which does have a balance sheet in it, and then I drew that -- I looked at that scenario, and I did some projections on it about the business, what the business would have done given the structure that it had. And it couldn't have made the payment, so there was no basis for their complaint.

Q Now I see on here that at the Georgian Court University that you teach or have taught financial management. Is that accurate?

A Yes.
Q Now the only finance class that I see, and maybe I'm missing it, is the healthcare finance. Is that accurate?

A No, sir.
Q Which other one is on here that has finance?
A Let me see what I called it. Well, financial management undergrad -- graduate and undergraduate -- both of those are two-course combinations. So, when I said financial management there, it's actually four different courses.

Q So I was right to say that what I see on here is a class that you teach or have taught dealing with financial management, correct?

|  | Page 389 |  | Page 391 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A Four courses. Oh, what you see -- I see | 1 | as to corporate finance, specifically not corporate |
| 2 | what you're saying. I listed the topic of financial | 2 | management, which he says he's not being tendered for. |
| 3 | management. If I listed every course I taught, it | 3 | But strictly on corporate finance, I would object that |
| 4 | would be extremely long. So that, when I said | 4 | he has not been qualified at this time based upon his |
| 5 | financial management, that's four separate courses. | 5 | experience and testimony this morning. |
| 6 | Q And in this case here, did you apply | 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. I'm going to overrule |
| 7 | corporate finance principles to come up with the | 7 | your objection. I think Dr. Carroll's education, |
| 8 | opinion that you intend to state for the Court? | 8 | training, and experience over the past 30,40 years is |
| 9 | A Yes. | 9 | more than enough to qualify him as an expert both in |
| 10 | Q Okay. And so, in regards to corporate | 10 | accounting and corporate finance. |
| 11 | finance, you've really never had a position for any | 11 | Please proceed with your direct. |
| 12 | period, extended period of time dealing specifically | 12 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, may I -- |
| 13 | with corporate finance as we're familiar with let's | 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Thank you. |
| 14 | say on Wall Street, right? | 14 | DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMES) |
| 15 | A I wouldn't call that corporate finance. | 15 | BY MR. PALERMO: |
| 16 | That's investment finance. That's not what I'm | 16 | Q Dr. Carroll, what did EPA ask you to do for |
| 17 | talking about. We're talking about managing | 17 | this matter? |
| 18 | businesses. I'm not talking about being a | 18 | A They asked me to look at this particular |
| 19 | stockbroker. I'm not talking about stock | 19 | matter, and that involves really a series of |
| 20 | recommendations. I'm talking about managing -- being | 20 | disclosures which I signed off on to be able to look |
| 21 | the chief financial officer of a company. I've had | 21 | at documents. They provided me background documents, |
| 22 | that title many times. | 22 | and, of course, I always ask for the tax returns. And |
| 23 | Q So that's more on the management side and | 23 | we got the tax returns and a series of documents, and |
| 24 | not more on the corporate finance side, seeking | 24 | I started reading. |
| 25 | financing either through private or public means, | 25 | Q Did EPA ask you to prepare a report for this |
|  | Page 390 |  | Page 392 |
| 1 | correct? | 1 | case? |
| 2 | A No, sir. Okay. Let's -- when I say | 2 | A Eventually, we were going to prepare a |
| 3 | management finance -- managerial finance or corporate | 3 | report. I had to -- I knew that was going to be the |
| 4 | finance, they're the same thing. The textbooks -- | 4 | goal, to have a report, so I eventually -- I knew I |
| 5 | even the textbooks have different names, same chapters | 5 | was going there. Before I did that, I was reading a |
| 6 | in the same books. So management finance and | 6 | lot. |
| 7 | corporate finance are the same thing. What that means | 7 | MR. CHU: Objection, not responsive, Your |
| 8 | is running a business. As the CFO of the company, | 8 | Honor. Yes or no. |
| 9 | where is the money coming from to pay the paychecks. | 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. Did you prepare a |
| 10 | Q Can you tell this Court the five basic | 10 | report? |
| 11 | corporate finance rules? | 11 | MR. CHU: Objection, not responsive. He's |
| 12 | A There are so many, sir, I would have to -- I | 12 | asking a yes or no question. |
| 13 | could write a couple books on that. Which five are | 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. |
| 14 | you referring to? | 14 | BY MR. PALERMO: |
| 15 | Q The five that are the most important. | 15 | Q Dr. Carroll, I'd like you to turn to CX-192. |
| 16 | JUDGE BIRO: I think now we're getting into | 16 | (Pause.) |
| 17 | the weight that you might want to ask on cross, on the | 17 | MR. PALERMO: I think it is Binder 4-4. |
| 18 | weight to be given his testimony, not whether he's | 18 | (Pause.) |
| 19 | qualified. | 19 | BY MR. PALERMO: |
| 20 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. | 20 | Q Do you have it? |
| 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Do you still have any | 21 | A I have it, sir. Thank you. |
| 22 | objection, Mr. Chu, to his qualification? | 22 | Q Do you recognize this exhibit? |
| 23 | MR. CHU: Yes. I will lodge my objection | 23 | A I do. |
| 24 | that he has not been qualified sufficiently based upon | 24 | Q What is it? |
| 25 | experience and training to be able to render opinions | 25 | A It's a report I rendered in this matter |

dated June 16, 2017.
Q Have you prepared a corrected report for this?

A I did.
Q What -- could you explain what the nature of those corrections were?

A Yes.
MR. CHU: I'm going to object to this line of testimony. It's a surprise to us. We deposed this witness, and we have not had any opportunities to depose. We'd object to references of a corrected report.

MR. PALERMO: Your Honor, I don't think the deposition transcript freezes his opinions in time.

JUDGE BIRO: Uh-huh.
MR. PALERMO: There was --
JUDGE BIRO: Yeah, okay. Well, first, I don't seem to have it, 194, his June report. I haven't --

MR. PALERMO: 192.
JUDGE BIRO: 192.
MR. PALERMO: Sorry.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And then you just submitted his corrected report, so I'd like you to go off of his old report. You can ask him --

Is this your report?
THE WITNESS: This is my report, Your Honor, yes.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
MR. PALERMO: Your Honor, at this time, I'd
like to move CX-192 into evidence.
JUDGE BIRO: Do you have any objection, Mr. Chu?

MR. CHU: I would object to the report being admitted as evidence since the witness is here to testify. This is a situation of the witness and hearsay, so my objection is hearsay. The declarant's here to testify.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Exhibit 192 is going to be admitted into the record.
(The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 192, was received in evidence.)
BY MR. PALERMO:
Q Dr. Carroll, do you have an opinion as to whether Taotao USA is able to pay a penalty of at least $\$ 1.6$ million and continue to stay in business?

A Yes, it is. It's stated on the first page.

## Page 394

MR. PALERMO: This is his old --
JUDGE BIRO: -- some questions, whether he thinks it's still accurate.

MR. PALERMO: The questions as to whether it's -- whatever the changes were, were material to his opinion, that's --

JUDGE BIRO: Yes. I guess he can update it if he now, as his current testimony says, it's no longer his opinion. I'm just -- I'm really -- I think it's very unfair to change expert witnesses' opinions or testimony at the last moment.

MR. PALERMO: He's not changing the substance of his testimony. He had corrections he made to the numbers, but the overall changes were not significant enough to change his opinions in this case. And I want to elicit --

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Let's start with his opinions that aren't changing and see where we get to.

## BY MR. PALERMO:

Q We're looking at CX-192.
A I see it, sir. Thank you.
Q Does this document accurately summarize the financial analysis you did in this case?

MR. CHU: Objection, leading.
JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
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The payment of a fine will not adversely impact the ability of Taotao USA to continue in business. Yes, it is.

Q Can you explain how you began your analysis for this case?

A Yes. This is going to be a bit of a time line for me. I get --

MR. CHU: I would object to the extent it calls for a narrative and a lecture. We request that it be a question/answer.

JUDGE BIRO: I think a narrative is appropriate here.

Go ahead, Doctor.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Explain to me how you came to that conclusion.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. When I get a case of this type or any type, I read a lot of documents, and almost somewhere in between reading the documents I grab those tax returns, and I call -spread them out, take out my Excel spreadsheet, run all the numbers out for as many years as I have tax returns.

And now I go back and re-read the documents and start to understand what the numbers mean a little
bit, and that's where I start, okay? I start looking at the numbers on the tax returns, and I do what some people might call a smell test. Does something look right or wrong? And I looked at these. And, by the way, they're summarized in the appendix of this if you want to follow it, but if you don't, that's okay.

And I looked at the numbers. I said what is out of line here. I just need to get the feel whether it's credible or not. Without doing that type of test, I find just throwing things into a computer model to be, frankly, accounting malpractice really, just wrong. I'm not trained that way. I just don't do it.

So, when I did this one, two large areas popped out at me. They are the receivables of the company. I need to define receivables. Receivables are shipments that any organization has made or sales that the company -- a company has made that they haven't been paid for yet. And on the other side is something called accounts payable. That's items that have been incurred that haven't been paid yet.

Now, just to explain that, on a personal level, we usually are employees, so we don't have receivables. But we really do have payables, accounts payable. Usually everybody I know has a bill box or a
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bill drawer with a pile of envelopes, and that essentially is accounts payable. Corporations just have a bigger bill box or a bill drawer, and they probably put it on a computer file.

So I started to look at that. So both of those stood out at me as being very odd, and I noted them. And I started looking at the rest of the data. I didn't see any trends in the data that were significant over time, but those two things stood out. So my first step was to do a crude study of the accounts receivable data, and that starts, if you're following along -- I just have a lot of background in the front of this thing - on page 8 , which is EPA002583.

MR. CHU: I'm sorry, Your Honor. What exhibit number?

MR. PALERMO: It's Exhibit CX-192.
THE WITNESS: Page 8 of my report is another way to find it.

MR. CHU: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
So I -- oh, thank you. I see it's behind me. Okay. I think I'll stay here, but I can point to it if you want. So this is the broad approach for accounts receivable. This is what I might call a
smell test, to make sure it makes sense. So up on the screen you see the gross receipts for a few years.

And, Mark, I wonder if you can -- there you go. Thank you.

Now my first cut is I'm looking at 30 to 60 days ought to be about what the receivables should be. That's typical. People collect bills in 30 to 60 days, not every company, but that's a typical number. So I started by putting 12-1/2 percent, which is 45 days of receivables as a number I might see there, okay?

By the way, the company's actual receivable number is zero. Hmm. What's going on? Okay. So I start to think something is really different here. Okay. The second step I did is I did an industryspecific one. Now what that calls for here is where you go to get industry-specific data, okay?

Every organization files a tax return using something called a NAICS Code, North American Industry Classification System Code. It's found on the tax return, put on by the tax preparer, and submitted. I looked at the Taotao tax returns and found their number, okay? And I think you'll see the number in here, 42311100 , okay? Is that on this page? It might be on the next page. I'm not sure, but there is the
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number that they reported, somewhere around here we got the number.

So I looked at their number, and I went to the NAICS code list. There are thousands and thousands of NAICS code. The book describing them is 650 pages long. So they got them -- the subcategories go down very finely, okay? The number that they picked ended in double zero. There is no double zero. You have to add another digit.

So I went to the one logically next. I expected the one with the one zero, two zeros, et cetera, and the one that made sense was the one zero code. And I have the detail behind that and the logical choice of why theirs needed to be slightly corrected.

I think what happened is they didn't put all the digits in. And year after year, they've been reporting double-oh, and there's no actual code. You've got to go down further. So using that code, now I've identified the NAICS category that I want to use for their data, okay?

So now I've got a general idea of what industry they're in, and I think that's important to describe, and I think it's called the wholesale -wholesaler of vehicles category, right? And I think
$\square$
that's written in the report too. I'm not sure.
Maybe on the next page it's described what the NAICS
Code actually is, somewhere. It's probably right around where I used it the first time. There it is. I see it. Thank you, sir.

As shown on this screen, 42300 is the one that they reported, but the one that -- can't, there's no number like that. It's 42310 . Now that is the motor vehicle, motor vehicle parts, and suppliers merchant wholesalers. That's the definition of the NAICS Code as provided by -- it's not even --

MR. CHU: Your Honor, can we get the Bates number?

THE WITNESS: I have an EPA number, sir, if that will be helpful to you.

JUDGE BIRO: EPA-0002580.
THE WITNESS: Okay. So I -- now I have the right NAICS Code information. There are companies that provide data about financial information. There's publicly traded companies which are much larger, and then there's a hard-to-get data set on privately owned companies. And that company that does it is called RMA. RMA currently stands for Risk Management Associates. It used to stand for Robert Moore \& Associates. They've been around for
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hundreds -- 50 years or something more, and they collect data like this.

Now important to understand what that group is. They're a group of largely bank lending officers who take the actual applications that they get and feed them into their computer system and aggregate the data. So there's no names on it, and there's I think a quarter million companies that have data entered in that system every year. And it's sorted by NAICS Code and then published in a book, okay?

So the RMA book is a highly regarded piece of information used by bank lending officers primarily. It's also used by other people in this area. And the RMA organization is a -- I think it's based in Philadelphia, but it's nationwide. They have local chapters, chapter meetings where bank lending officers meet and discuss how to make bank lending decisions. They're recruiting students on my campus now. So the company, the organization, is highly regarded.

So now we use their data, all right? Now I've got their data for this particular situation. Now I go back to where we were earlier.

If we could, Mr. Palermo. Down a little bit.

So theirs, now I see theirs is 10.3 percent of the total assets of the company. My rough estimate is 12.5 , which means my smell test was pretty good. But I really would rather use the data specific to this particular company, okay? So I used the NAICS Code data to come up with their receivables as should be shown on the company's statements. Now I want to talk about that a little bit, okay?

The company is looking at tax returns. We have to kind of use what we call Generally Accepted Accounting Principle data, GAAP data, because that's what everyone uses. So I have to kind of correct things to GAAP information, and that's one thing that might be considered in making a correction to GAAP. The receivables as zero, it doesn't make sense, okay?

More investigation -- what's that?
JUDGE BIRO: Wouldn't it make sense to have zero receivables if they required payment in advance for all of their inventory?

THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Absolutely, Your Honor, absolutely. The issue with that is I don't know many companies that do that. You're absolutely right, Your Honor. Now I might address that. I will say that later on, after my report was written, I saw two deposition transcripts of the president of the
company, Mr. Cao and a Mr. Garibyan, who indicated that they paid in advance.

Now, in the context of reading those, Mr. Cao didn't seem as familiar with the details, although he -- I think he was president of the company. And Mr. Garibyan was operating out of a West Coast location, not in the Texas location. But both did say that they seemed to get payment in advance. So, if that was the case, that -- my number is actually wrong. And I need to talk about that right now because had I seen those -- they did not exist because the depositions were taken after my report was given.

I would have done more work on that. I don't know what I would have done because no information was available for me to test that, okay? And I doubt I would get any more. I've been asking for information from -- through ERG and the EPA for a long time, and we got what we got.

So, after studying that a long time, I started to say, gee, I don't know that I have enough evidence, my definite evidence, to defeat those two statements. So, although I see it there, I'm having trouble supporting my conclusion on this topic.

I'm not sure I'm wrong, but I'm really not sure I'm right. And I think that's where I have to
leave that one because data came in after I got my report that indicated they got cash in advance. Very surprising. The industry doesn't look that way, but it could be, okay? The tax return reads that way. I'll get to the tax return in a minute.

So I've got three pieces of data against the industry data. I don't have enough information to defeat the deposition information, so I need to flag it as saying I'm not confident that my opinion is correct here. I don't think it's wrong, but I don't think it's right either, and I'm going to leave it at that because I don't have any more data to push it one way or the other. And that's all the information I have on that one, okay?

So I just -- being blunt, that's what we got. Had I seen those -- the deposition was done months after my report was issued. I would have done more research right away or at least flagged it here, and I found this out later. I just didn't know, okay?

So I think we pretty much found that topic, so -- now what that's going to say is you take the number there -- let's just take the 2012 number, $\$ 612,000$. If I'm right -- and, again, not sure if I'm right, okay -- that would add value to the company that wasn't there of $\$ 612,000$. That goes a long way
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to pay a penalty, okay?
Finding money that really was there that wasn't reported in the books -- repeat again, whether my conclusion is right or not -- I'm not sure because I don't have data to support my conclusion, but I don't trust the other one, but I can't go any further with it. And that's about as far as I could go, okay?

That's about all I can do. That's it for that topic, okay? All right.

## BY MR. PALERMO:

Q But what else did you --
A We did -- the other one we looked at was accounts payable. Now that's the pile of bills that the company has to pay. And the same approach was used there. We did a basic smell test on it, and I think --

Q What -- I'm sorry, Dr. Carroll.
A What page?
Q What kind of bills, accounts payable?
A Accounts payable are things in the ordinary course of business. Those are suppliers, utility bills, things like that in the ordinary course of business. They don't include tax payables. They don't include payroll payables. That's the definition used in the accounting world of accounts payable.

But things in the ordinary course of business. I'm not talking about buying a building. That's not ordinary. I'm talking about day-to-day transactions, as we all have with our own regular pile of bills. And I cited the definition of accounts payable in there as well.

Okay. All right. So let me take a look at that. And I rolled forward my report a couple pages. All right. And I'm --

Q What page are you referring to?
A I'm going to start on the bottom of page 9 of my report, with EPA Bates number 002584. So this is my first broad cut at this to understand where it should be. And we're looking -- my experience working with companies and looking at this over the years, a month, month and a half of data, that means if I make a sale to a company 30 to 60 days later, the check arrives paying for it. That's what that means, okay?

That would -- if it's paid on a credit card or something, that would be paid currently. I wouldn't have that transaction. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm thinking payables. I got to flip my head into payables. Sorry about that.

If I pay my suppliers, it's typically 30 to
60 days. Now there's a range, and industries are
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specific, and some industries are better or worse than others, but 30 to 60 days is the typical number. So I looked at theirs, and that's when I have months in my crude number. Theirs, when I looked at theirs, is seven and a half months.

Wow. This is different. I don't
understand, okay? That's my first cut. The second cut, let's do the industry data again. So we roll the page over.

Mr. Palermo, if you could -- okay.
So my crude cut is it looks like I'm going to have to take 80 percent of the payables on my first cut at it -- that's over $\$ 4$ million -- and add it to the worth of the company. Hmm. Well, I'll talk about what to do with the worth in a minute, but I want to -- so, so far we've got an odd thing happening here, okay? I'm not going to deal with that, where to put it until -- in a few more minutes, if that's okay with Your Honor.

Okay. Next thing I did, I looked at the industry-specific data, okay? I went to the RMA data, and they have a couple of ratios that do this. And what we do -- I can go through the details of the ratio. The costs of goods sold accounts payable ratio, as you see --

Q Maybe you can explain what a ratio is and how that ratio --

A A ratio is a valueless number drawn from -it's not measured in dollars or inches. It's drawn from financial numbers or actually any numbers of two things that might relate. Body mass index is a health indicator or something like that. So what it does is it allows you to compare things that might be very different in size or different in time by using a ratio as long as it's consistent.

So ratios are useful to get, kind of put things that of a common size. We do the ratio study as opposed to size dollars. The size of the company would just ruin things in terms of it, so we have a ratio. The ratio studies are Chapter 3 in my textbook. They've been Chapter 3 in every book I've used since -- in the last 35 years.

Voluminous studies of ratio analysis, and exactly what I did here, they looked at trends, which there wasn't a trend here -- I didn't see a trend worth looking at -- and industry studies. And that's exactly what we have here, textbook material, okay?

So I see the Taotao number for their cost of goods --

Q I'm sorry, Dr. Carroll. You spoke of ratio
analysis. Was that the methodology you used in this?
A Oh, yes.
MR. CHU: Your Honor, I'm going to object to counsel interrupting his narrative, if he's giving a narrative. He appears to be trying to cut him off in certain areas.

JUDGE BIRO: I actually think he's trying to clarify, but sustained. Just tell me.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
JUDGE BIRO: So we talked about ratios.
THE WITNESS: Yes, please, Your Honor. Thank you.

JUDGE BIRO: I understand what a ratio is.
THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate that. Okay. So I don't have to go -you know what a ratio is, okay. Now I looked at the -- the RMA data has ratios on things. So I -they have one called costs of goods sold over accounts payable. And I -- so I took the Tao data -- Taotao data, and calculated it. It shows on that table. It's 1.9, 1.7, 245, 311, average of 233 for that fouryear period. And look at the RMA number. Wow. What is going on here? I don't know, okay?

So I looked at it again, and I used that
number to re -- and I call it recharacterize. I'll
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Chinese company has invested. Also, it's a relatedparty company, into this company in the United States, okay?

I want to support that a little more. Hmm. How is the company doing on bank loans? That would be the other way we'd finance the company. There's no bank loan. I'm stunned. I just don't know where to go with that. How can you have a company of this size with no bank loans? Big inventories, things that are financable, but no bank loan, and none for years, but remarkably high accounts payable. Hmm, okay.

Well, here is what I'm going to talk about now, where that property should be posted. And that number -- let me use that 4.8 million -- really should be recorded as an equity to the company. I want to move it out of payables.

If I might, Your Honor, imagine a balance sheet with me. I didn't have one, imagining -- but you see the assets are on one side, the liability and the equity on the other side. You want to take the liability from the top of the sheet in the payables area and move it down into the equity section because that's essentially what it is. That's my opinion of what it is. That process is called --

JUDGE BIRO: You're saying it's not debt.

THE WITNESS: That's a good question, whether it's debt or not. I -- it's -- the question of that -- if it was debt, there would be a loan. There would be some interest payments. There's nothing like that. Now what I do see here is the reward of that investment being transferred or gained by the Chinese company because they are selling their vehicles through the U.S. company and getting a return on their investment.

So it's in their best interest to finance the company. I don't care what we call it, but they want to keep this company going, and they're getting a return on their investment and taking a risk. The company is thinly financed but doesn't have a bank loan. This is the financing, and they're getting a return on investment in the way of profit on the vehicles that are sold through the U.S. company or purchased through the Chinese company.

Recharacterization is something that comes up. In the accounting world, it's not very common, but it happens. It's the substance over form discussion, and it will only come up when we do published financial statements.

A company doesn't have a bank loan, they're never going to have published financial statements
because the bank is usually the one that wants it. Where we do see recharacterization in the literature is bankruptcy court, where the judge is called to make a decision as to whether this is really payables or equity because it changes the ranking and it gets paid.

If you do a little Google study on it, you'll find a number of court cases talking about is it debt or equity, or I call it payables to equity. There we would find it. Now I'm not here, and I don't think you are, Your Honor, to a draw a legal conclusion on that. We're talking about ability to pay.

So they can call it what they want, but just to answer the question, I got to use GAAP accounting that would call that rechar -- I would recharacterize that to equity and clearly indicate the company has the ability to pay the debt -- the penalty, and keep going, okay?

So that's part of it. Now I think I wrapped it up a little bit. If you might, Mr. Palermo, going forward. I think there's a summary at the end. Roll the page. Okay.

Now here is what we did. I put the four years of data together, and I started out -- the table
gets a little busy, but it's yearly columns, and we have the equity report on the tax return is the first line. And I'm just going to look at 2015 to illustrate. We started out where we reported net worth of the company on the tax return of $\$ 717,840$.

The first correction I made, tentative as may be, and I'm recording it as tentative today, $\$ 612,000$ of additional value to the company. The second one is the accounts payable adjustment of $\$ 4.8$ million, okay? It means a corrected equity after the adjustments of $\$ 6.5$ million. This clearly has the ability to -- that suggests the company clearly has the ability to pay it because the value of the company is that much money.

If I take the 612 number off, I'm still up about $\$ 5.9$ million in net worth. Now where would I get the money? Now there's the next step, okay? I'm sorry. I just -- Your Honor, have I gotten that clear to you?

JUDGE BIRO: I'm sorry?
THE WITNESS: Is that clear to you what I'm doing there?

JUDGE BIRO: I understand it.
THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
Okay. So just for example, in the wording
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there, it says we've added $\$ 5.9$ million of net worth to the company. Now, when I started this, my report, the fine -- the penalty was proposed at $\$ 3.295$ million. I understand it's been reduced.

Oh, boy, this is a walk in the park now. We got an extra almost $\$ 6$ million to pay a penalty of a million six. It's not too difficult. Now where would I get the money? Sources of the payable down here. So this minor loans to shareholders, financing based on accounts receivable -- if they're not there, that one comes off the list. Financing based on inventories. There is where I see the value. The inventories are quite high, and a bank would love to lend on that kind of asset.

Liquidation of other assets, loans based on equity -- I want to add one more. All I have to do is stop, slow pay the Chinese company, okay? Here is the detail on that. Current purchases are running about a little over a million dollars a month, okay? The million dollars a month is -- so I have to get about a month and a half of purchases worth of money. In the end of 2015, they had four and a half months of payables. That was taking four -- that's a long time. If we slide that up to six months, we pay the penalty. No paperwork, no loan applications, just slow pay the

Chinese company.
What was the -- how many months payables did they have at the end of 2014? Not six, seven. So they already have a pattern of doing this, by slow paying or just slowing down the payments of the payables to the Chinese company, the money would be available to make -- to pay the penalty. No bank applications, nothing.

These are related parties, and they have a history of doing this. So my conclusion is that they have, clearly have the ability to pay the penalty and not have a problem staying in business.

## BY MR. PALERMO:

Q I just had some follow-up questions for you. Dr. Carroll, what is GAAP?

A GAAP is Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. It's kind of analogous to the Uniform Commercial Code. It's a body of systems and procedures and common definitions that we all use, and it's generally accepted because it isn't big enough to cover anything -- everything. So there's exceptions.

But what we find is all of the statements that are audited ever in the United States using -have to be done in U.S. GAAP because that's the common language that everyone uses when they look at
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financial statements. And that's what I've done here, essentially corrected the statements to U.S. GAAP.

So I'd love to tell you this is my opinion.
It's really not. It's the opinion that's gained from following U.S. GAAP. So my opinion is the ability to pay, but the GAAP opinion draws the numbers to allow me to have that conclusion.

Q I'd like to follow up on your testimony on receivables. You saw that there are no accounts receivable.

A Correct.
Q And why -- I think you testified it didn't make sense to you. Why doesn't it make sense?

A Normally companies want -- I'm selling these small motor vehicle items. I won't describe it any more because my lack of knowledge will show. But they're selling -- they sell through dealers. I went to the website, and there's a dealer, inquiries about becoming a dealer right on their website. They sell through dealers.

Dealers need to have inventory in their showroom so the customer can actually see it and make the sale, okay? I imagine it's a lot easier to make a sale if I've got inventory in my showroom so that the person could literally take it home that day or have
it delivered in a day or two. I don't want to have to go back to Texas or China or wherever else. I want to take it home from the showroom or put it in the back of my truck and go home, okay?

So, when I see them with no receivables, I'm saying, gee, how is that possible? They've got to get paid in advance. I'm troubled with that, but I don't have anything to defeat the testimony. You get -- my knowledge in this area -- I know some of the companies that sell these things. They're small, local shops. Maybe they don't -- they can't handle their own credit.

But they're not all that small. Somebody should have a big enough business so there should be a payment. Here, take the vehicles, you can pay us in a month type of deal. That would make them -- that would create an accounts receivable to the company. None of that? It doesn't hang together to me.

Although I note that's what's in the deposition transcripts and the accounting statements read that way, I -- just go back in the accounting statements too, the tax returns. Funny thing, the way they're filed as well.

But I want to stop on that. What I'm saying is it doesn't -- the street sense I have, this doesn't
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make sense. Could be, but it's an exception.
Q Okay. How would a company that has cash only payments from its distributors operate?

MR. CHU: Objection. Calls for speculation. He has not been qualified to be an industry specialist in this area.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead, tell me.
THE WITNESS: I would -- well, companies that are paid in advance are usually custom items, where it's got to be made to a particular specification. And I don't -- to my knowledge, when I went on the website, there were model numbers or maybe color differences, but that's not very specific.

So it doesn't -- the whole -- it just doesn't make sense. I can't -- I don't have any data to defeat the deposition transcripts of the two parties, but it's just a surprise. And given the other numbers I've seen here, my confidence in the number isn't good that it's zero, and I'll leave it at that. I don't know what I could say it is, though, because the data that's available, the two deposition transcripts, are consistent with that, and that is consistent with the tax returns.

I should go back to the tax return because I
wanted to talk about that one as well if I might. Can

I circle back a bit?
BY MR. PALERMO:
Q Sure.
A Okay. Back in my report -- I'm going to ask you to flip back a couple of pages. I should -- well, I had picked this up earlier, but I just -- page -the bottom of page 6 of my report, which is EPA Bates number 002581 -- okay. Page -- okay, on that page, I see that Taotao, when they filed their tax returns, notes that they file using hybrid accounting.

Hybrid accounting is a combination of cash and accrual, okay? Okay. The IRS allows that, and here is the description that comes out of the instructions for Form 1120. That's the corporate tax return that would be filed by corporations in the United States. And it talks about the hybrid accounting in that box.

Okay. It says generally you can use combinations of cash -- cash means it only counts it when the money hits the bank -- accrual, which I've been talking about, you get paid later, or specific message, which I don't -- doesn't apply here -- if the combination will reflect your income and use it consistently. However, the following restrictions apply. Look at the first one.
concern?
A The going -- well, I corrected it. Oh, I see what you're saying. That's a problem, the going concern issue, because this is so thinly financed, a bank wouldn't go near this because there's too much current -- what they would call current liability. It's not a current liability. It's equity, and it's been there for years.

Q What if it was a liability for the sake -what if it was, consistent with GAAP, a liability? Would this business be able to continue to operate?

A Well, okay. Consistent with GAAP -- wait a minute now. It's a related party transaction. I'd have to look at recharacterizing it because it's a long-term situation. The risks of that investment have been transferred -- are gained by the Chinese company. So it would be clearly -- in my opinion, clearly -- and a GAAP opinion, clearly recharacterized to equity. And therefore the company would be worth the money, so they could get a bank loan. Or they just slow pay the supplier.

MR. CHU: Objection, non-responsive. He did not lay out what the GAAP principles were to the question that's being asked, and the responses are not responsive.
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If an inventory is necessary -- okay.
Hybrid accounting shouldn't have been used here. But I'm not here to talk about tax issues. I just note it, which means I'm -- the whole -- all of the numbers are a little shaky to me based on consistent -- things that are just not normal.

Now I didn't make that up. That's the instructions that came out of the IRS publication for the corporate tax return, Form 1120. That's out of the instruction booklet. So there's little doubt in my mind, even though I've got pretty weak data here, that the company clearly has money to pay this type of penalty and not even slow down. All they have to do is slow pay their provider, or if they did their accounting right, they could go to a bank and get a loan.

With the accounting the way it's done now, the bank would not probably lend money, okay? But, with using GAAP accounting, they would lend money. So we've got internal sources -- that's the related parties in China -- external sources as well that I don't see any difficulty in covering a million six of a penalty.

Q Okay. And what about the payables, the reported payables? Are they consistent with a going
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JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Rephrase your question.
Sustained.
BY MR. PALERMO:
Q The payables --
A Uh-huh.
Q -- your testimony was --
A Uh-huh.
Q -- they didn't characterize it consistent with GAAP, right?

A Correct, uh-huh.
Q For the sake of argument, what if this all -- these millions of dollars were a liability, a debt, as opposed to equity? What would that mean for Taotao USA as a going concern?

A To answer that question, I look at the definition of payables which I provided in my report. I just have to put my fingers on it. Frankly, I don't care what you call it. It's going to be equity. You can call it anything you want, but anybody who's using GAAP accounting would recharacterize that to equity.

Q I'd like to turn to the last page.
A Sure. This is my very busy spreadsheet, okay.

Q This includes the tax data and your calculations.

A Yes. You'll see it's headed Taotao USA from Form 1120. That's their tax return. And I created or I copied the numbers off the balance sheet that's created there, and below it, I copied the numbers off the income statement. And the first -- the years columns are 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015. That's just a copy job of the numbers onto the return, okay? And I filled it in all the way down the bottom.

Now I have sort of a blank column where I posted the two adjustments, okay? And adjustment number one, you'll see, is the trade receivables. And I put a number one on that, and that number one matches the number one that is now transferred to the equity section of the balance sheet. You see the number one about halfway down the page? That's journal entry adjustment number one.

Journal entry adjustment number two is the payables, the larger number, okay? And that matches the journal entry two entry halfway down, also now in the equity section.

So if I just want to look carefully a little bit about the -- if you look at the payables, because I can see it here, in year 2015, there are 5 million 2. Just a year before, it was 5 million 8 , of much -of smaller purchases. So you can see the company has
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a history of running these payables quite high. That $\$ 5.8$ million is seven months of payables, okay, where the one in 2015 is four and a half months.

So they've driven it down a little bit, but all they have to do is undo that payment plan, and they'll have the money to pay the penalty.

Q You mentioned before about the Chinese companies being related to Taotao USA. What did you mean by that?

A Well, I understand that the ownership of the company is all in the same family. There's a father and son here. And I'm not sure who works for who, but they're all one, big, happy family. I think I heard that this morning in Mr. Clee's (sic) testimony, almost that phrase.

Q And is looking at closely related companies typical in a analysis of a company like this?

A Absolutely. It's called the related-party transaction. It red flags every audit. We have to look at it, what's the reality here. It reminds me of the story about did you ever buy a car from your father. Did you really pay a dollar for the car? That's a related-party transaction. We have relatedparty transactions here. I don't trust them. They probably are -- they could be different than what they
seem. And, to me, they seem like an equity investment supported by GAAP. And that's how -- that's my opinion, and that supports my -- that's the GAAP opinion, not even my opinion, GAAP opinion. And that supports the ability-to-pay conclusion I reached.

Q In your review of the tax returns, did you review the assets of Taotao USA?

A I did.
Q Was there anything significant you saw there?

A Well, I just noticed this strange one. I don't have any data. It isn't even in my report, but if you look down the column, you see in 2015 an intangible asset. I don't know if you can see that, Your Honor, \$267,000. What is that? I don't know.

Now intangible assets are usually patents, trademarks, trade names. They've been operating for years without that. Why all of a sudden do they acquire something? I don't have any data. I'm not going to get any answers. I'm just red-flagging it. What is that? I have no answer.

Q Would a company that's acquiring significant assets like this be considered a failing business?

A A failing business? They look very healthy except there's no money in it because it's all
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recorded as payables. So I wouldn't -- it's a good, stable business, but there's no money in this business. It's sort of a piggy bank that the money flows in and out of.

Q What do you mean by a piggy bank?
A It's a casual term we use about informal relationships. That did come up in the deposition transcripts, about how they're paid. I think it was Mr. Cao that -- he was asked about when they pay the payables, and he said, well, whenever we have the money, give or take. That doesn't sound like an ordinary business transaction. That's almost the exact words of the deposition transcript. That sounds like a familial relationship, familial as in family, or a piggy bank. It doesn't sound like an ordinary business transaction. And that's the words out of the deposition.

Q And just to be clear, you recall Mr. Cao talking about paying the Chinese manufacturers?

A Yes. That's what I'm talking about, yes.
Q I would like you to turn to CX-167.
A Oh, another book.
(Pause.)
MR. PALERMO: It's Binder 3 of 4 . (Pause.)
$\square$

THE WITNESS: I see it. Let me get this by.
I see it, sir. Thank you.
BY MR. PALERMO:
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes. This is a document that I obtained. It's the description that goes with the RMA annual statement studies. And this is the one for the 2015 year, and the first 15 or so pages describes the organization, how the data is collected. And this is the book that I use to get the data from.

Q Where was this document obtained again?
A Well, the actual copy of the document that I got was the Rutgers University Business School library in Newark, New Jersey. This particular document, I believe, is a PDF that I downloaded off their website. Okay. So that's the actual description of what the company does, how the data is characterized, and some ratios are defined there. And it's just the introduction to the book, okay?

Now in the back are the actual statements that cover this particular industry. So I go now to -- I don't even know if there's a number on this thing. Here we go. It doesn't have -- the EPA number has been wiped out. I think it's EPA-002291. It's buried in the print of the statement, okay? And I
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think you found it, sir.
MR. CHU: Your Honor, at this time, we'd object to the reading of a document that has not been authenticated, properly proven up, and offered into testimony.

MR. PALERMO: Your Honor?
JUDGE BIRO: You want to offer Exhibit --
MR. PALERMO: I would like to offer CX-167
into evidence at this time.
MR. CHU: Yeah. We would object that this document has not been properly authenticated. Clearly, this gentleman is not the author of this particular article. He downloaded it from the internet. That does not authenticate the exhibit.

JUDGE BIRO: Dr. Carroll, did you personally download this document and print it out and provide it to the agency?

THE WITNESS: I personally downloaded it from the internet, but it's also the front pages of the book I found in the Rutgers University library. So it's the same -- this -- the reason why I provided this one, it was clear to read because I could download the PDF file and print it. The one is the front 15 or so pages of the big, fat book that's in the Rutgers University library.

JUDGE BIRO: And you've looked through this, and this is what you provided to the agency that you personally Xeroxed or downloaded?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, this is my work, yes.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Overruled. Exhibit
197 -- no, 167 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred to, previously identified as
Complainant's Exhibit No. 167, was received in evidence.)
MR. PALERMO: Correct.
MR. CHU: I would state one further objection, that this document is subject to copyright, and would ask the Court to consider the offer and tendering of this document without the copyrighted permission.

THE WITNESS: May I cite the fair use doctrine for that one? Thank you, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
BY MR. PALERMO:
Q Okay. Dr. Carroll, are these the RMA -this is where you got the RMA ratios corresponding to -- what was the NAICS number again?

Page 432
A Two -- 423110. And on my -- it's easier to find the page number here on mine. It's in the upper left. It's page 756.

Q And the industrial category is wholesale automobile and other motor vehicle merchant wholesalers?

A Yes. That's the one that I used. it's slightly different than the Taotao motors because there isn't a double zero. They use one zero.

Q Okay. And did you independently verify in any way that this should be the correct NAICS Code to apply?

A Well, first of all, I really didn't pick it.
That was the Taotao Company's code, and I just had to adjust it a bit to fit the current structure. I didn't pick it, they did. I concurred with their choice.

Q And in terms of the information here, do they categorize ratios based on business size?

A They do, they do. And you'll see that across the top. The data is very dense. You could see the paper is so thin it photocopies through the back. But the -- yes, they do.

Q Okay. For your June report, what business size did you apply?

A I used 100 million to 250 million column.
Q Was that correct?
A No, it wasn't. In preparing for the trial, I realized I should have used a different column.

Q Which column should you have used?
A There's a number across here of 10 to 50 million.

Q Are the ratios significantly different?
A Not significantly. And since I'm going to -- my opinion isn't of an exact number. It's ability to pay. It really didn't change my opinion. I did prepare a corrected report, which we hinted at earlier today. But it didn't change my opinion. The report, except for the numbers, is identical, and it doesn't change the final opinion at all.

Q In terms of the receivables, the number that you recharacterized as equity --

A Uh-huh.
Q -- how significant was the number change?
A I'm going to have to look at the corrected report to see that. It was about a 10 or 15 percent change in that one, I think.

Q But it was still a significant amount of money, right?

A Not for the ability to pay. When I have

MR. PALERMO: Sorry, Your Honor. Could you please turn to CX-194? (Pause.) BY MR. PALERMO:
Q Do you recognize this document?
A I do.
Q What is it?
A It's a report I issued dated 21 August 2017.
Q What did you do the report for?
MR. CHU: Your Honor, I object to references of this report. Clearly, it says on there I'm unable to form an opinion here. So relevance.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Okay. I was asked to look at another aspect of Taotao USA, and I was provided information on their import data that was assembled from the U.S. Customs Association. I don't have that name right, Customs Authority, based on their imports that go through the various ports of the United States. And I was given that data, and that data is posted on an annual basis in the table.

I actually had a few more years than that, but I didn't have any more data of Tao, so I just posted the years that I had, and I matched it up to the Tao data. So each year can stand on its own, but
such extra money here, I don't find that significant, no.

Q And for accounts payable?
A So the receivables actually went up, the adjustment payables went down about the order of 5 or 6 percent. Since I don't have the report in front of me, I can't exactly answer it. But we're looking at such a overwhelming source of evidence that they had the ability to pay, a 5 percent correction doesn't change the opinion.

Q Did you do a supplemental report for this case?

A I did.
Q Do you recall what you did for that supplemental report?

MR. CHU: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the testimony about the supplemental report. JUDGE BIRO: Well, I told them they could mark it for the record. I'm not going to admit it. But they can mark it and put it in evidence, and if the appeals board or the court above the appeals board think they should have put it in --

MR. PALERMO: I'm sorry. That report is not what I'm talking about.

JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay.
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we -- then we take a look at the average because that's representative of what's going on here. The company records by the U.S. Government records, based on their import records, is importing an average of $\$ 34,606,183$ a year.

Okay. I go to the purchases as shown on the tax return of the company in Texas, and I see $\$ 12,469,000$ and $855-800-12,469,855$. There's a lot of vehicles missing here. For every one that they record, there's two more, three to one -- 2.81 ratio. I can't form an opinion. I don't have any more evidence, but a big red flag goes up. And that's all the data I have. So you can see why I don't have an opinion, but I think somebody else should look at this.

MR. PALERMO: At this time, Your Honor, I would like to enter CX-194 into evidence.

MR. CHU: We would object, Your Honor. This is some sort of statement rather than a report. And, again, it says, "I'm unable to form an opinion here," and other than further investigation is appropriate on this matter, I don't believe this document is relevant.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. 194 is admitted into the record.

> (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 194, was received in evidence.)

BY MR. PALERMO:
Q Dr. Carroll, what do you know about the ABEL model?

MR. CHU: I'm sorry. I can't hear that,
Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. PALERMO:
Q Dr. Carroll, are you familiar with the ABEL model?

A I am somewhat familiar with it. When I was called upon by EPA to get involved with this case, and people at ERG, the contractor company, had run an ABEL model, I became aware of it. I thought about using it later on in my analysis. And, actually, we had a meeting, and we put my corrected numbers -- well, the numbers that Tao represented were run through an ABEL model, okay? We had a meeting, and someone other than me, who happens to be in this courtroom, ran the numbers through that ABEL model.

Q Could you identify who that person --
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A Yeah. Ms. Isin, who is right there --
Q Thank you.
A -- ran the numbers through the ABEL model.
The ability to pay didn't change. What? What is going on? How can this be? Well, looked at the ABEL model, tried to understand the calculations. And they really don't look at the balance sheet. They look at only cash flow items. Now what does that mean? The numbers I found -- and I have to use a street type of analogy here -- are like finding numbers in your -cash in your sock drawer. You didn't know it was there. You didn't earn it.

So all of a sudden on the books comes millions of dollars that weren't there. The ABEL model can't deal with that. They can't deal with corrected balance sheets. So when you do -- use the ABEL model -- in just this crude study, I went, wow, this is not useful at all. I put millions of dollars on the books of this company, and it didn't change the ability to pay. Huh? This does not make sense.

So, I mean, I would have tested the numbers anyway, but that test said, wait, this is -- we're not going to spend much time on this. This is not a very useful model. Now I think had the company been using GAAP accounting, we wouldn't have all this account --
it might have some value and might have value in other cases. But when you get financial statements that aren't stated in GAAP terms, you're going to get garbage in, garbage out.

And so we just didn't spend much time on the ABEL model. I didn't put it in my report. I never ran it again because it just wouldn't work in this situation. It may work in others, but not here because the numbers need to be tested before they put it into an ABEL model. You just can't run it through a computer program and expect to get a good result. If you've got garbage going in, you're going to get garbage going out.

MR. CHU: Objection, non-responsive.
JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. BY MR. PALERMO:
Q What other documentation did EPA provide you?

A Oh, boy.
MR. CHU: Your Honor, I'm going to object here. We had asked them to produce the stack of documents, and we have not received that yet. So I object to this line of questioning.

JUDGE BIRO: Did you move to compel? MR. CHU: No, Your Honor, we did not. But I
believe that, again, that's my objection.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Overruled. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: What I typically do is in my
report I list the documents that I relied on specifically. I'm not going to say I did every
document, but the key documents are listed in my report. What is the Bates number of my -- the -yeah, here it is. I'm on 192 again. So you see on the bottom of -- if I might read my -BY MR. PALERMO:
Q What are you on, sir? What page are you on?
A Report page 5, which is EPA Bates No.
002580. And we have a list there of the documents. I list two categories, sources specific to the case and general outside references. So the sources specific to the case are the amended complaint file. I always read the complaint, okay? Federal tax returns for Taotao for the years stated, 2012 through ' 15 ; the dollar value of Tao's imports in recent years -that's the data I used for the supplemental report -EPA guidance documents concerning penalty calculations evaluating a violator's ability to pay. That's my -so I can understand what the EPA guidelines are supposed to say.

That's the ones that are specific to the
$-$
case. And then I have one, the broad reference documents, okay? The broad reference documents are found on the very next page, and they are -- I'll just go ahead if it's okay. They're the RMA annual statement studies, financial ratio benchmarks for the year 2015. That's the book we looked at, and the specific page study. And the IRS published instructions for the Form 1120, which we talked about earlier. That's about hybrid accounting.

Investopedia, that was for the definition of either payables or receivables. And the other one was found in the Kieso Weygandt intermediate accounting textbook. That's the largest selling accounting textbook in the United States.

Q Was there any financial documentation besides tax returns from Taotao USA?

A There was. There was some financial statements, but they weren't signed. I got enough trouble understanding what's here, and they did look sort of consistent, but they weren't signed. There was no CPA. There wasn't even a -- the company was identified, but who prepared them wasn't identified. To me, I need to know that. If that was said it was company records, I would have liked to know it's that. It wasn't identified. So I tended not -- and I have
trouble putting reliance on a company-generated document because I've got to know it's internally consistent.

Tax returns have a little bit more of that because they add up. They fit the definitions that are in the boxes, and somebody signed them. So that to me pushes tax returns up over the financial statements. So I really didn't do much work with the financial statements. The tax returns were a more reliable source of data because there's a signature on the bottom and a consistent use of definitions.

Q What are audited financial statements?
A Audited financial statements are -- first of all, I got to tell you they're expensive, okay? And the only reason why you get an audited financial statement is because somebody is making you do it, which is typically the investors or creditors.

So this company has no bank loans. It doesn't need audited financial statements. And audited financial statements are done by a licensed certified public accountant who's doing a particular thing called an audit, and they do extensive studies.

Some of the studies are just like mine, by the way. The ratio studies are just classic, right out of the audit material. And then, at the end, they
issue a report that goes as a cover letter. The financial statements are consistent with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, and they are fairly stated. That's the letter that goes with it.

That letter is the same letter on every statement, but that letter is expensive to get because of all the testing that goes with it. I don't have anything like that here. There's a lower level that the company might go to called a review, which is maybe half the cost but half the work. And it's still a lot of money, and why would you do that if you don't have a bank demanding it?

If they don't have a bank, then they don't have a review. There's not even -- the lowest level of statement is a compilation. They don't even have that. That would at least have the CPA firm's letterhead on top. They don't even have that because the bank didn't ask it because there's no bank here.

Sometimes investors want it too. If it's a publicly traded company, the stock market demands it. But in this case, we don't have any of that. There's no private -- there's no bank here, and the only investors we have are the owners of the company, and that's it. So there's no need for an audited financial statement or even a review or compiled
statement.
MR. CHU: Objection, non-responsive. The question was what is a audited financial statement, not was there one, et cetera, should they have one, et cetera, these type of comments.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Overruled. Go ahead. BY MR. PALERMO:
Q At the beginning of your assignment, did EPA provide you any financial reports?

A There was a report, text report, done by Ms. Maureen Kaplan, as I recall, that gave me background information about the company.

Q Who is Maureen Kaplan?
A Maureen is an employee of ERG. She -- I understand she's since retired, and she's based in Massachusetts. And she had done an investigative type of report on many things about the company.

Q The company being Taotao USA?
A Taotao USA, yes.
MR. CHU: Your Honor, I object to this line of testimony about a report that's not part of these exhibits. It's clearly hearsay.

JUDGE BIRO: Did you rely on this report to make yours?

THE WITNESS: No specific numbers, background
information only, no specific numbers at all. It's like what was in a daily newspaper, was that -- what was specific to this company, general background information, no specific numbers.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Overruled. An expert doesn't have to have everything that he based his report put into the record. Go ahead.

MR. PALERMO: Your Honor, with the leave of Court, understanding the Court would not consider it, I'd like to mark the corrected report CX-214 for the record.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Complainant's Exhibit No. 214.)

BY MR. PALERMO:
Q Do you know a Jonathan Shefftz?
A I have read his work. I read his -- I read a report he wrote, and I read his deposition taken in this matter. Other than that, I do not know him.

Q Do you recall what he did on ability to pay in his report?

A $\mathrm{He}-$ - his report was largely on a benefits report. And I think he literally in his deposition,
he said he did an ABEL run on his own time and took the numbers without looking at them. I mean, there's no -- indicating he looked at the financial numbers anyway in his procedures -- and he ran an ABEL run, and that ABEL run was attached to his report.

Q Do you believe that that ABEL run he conducted was adequate to assess ability to pay?

MR. CHU: Objection. He has not been qualified as someone knowledgeable in the use of the ABEL program. He's being asked an opinion. He said himself, I don't really -- didn't use it, and there's no evidence he has any real familiarity with the --

JUDGE BIRO: I think he's asking about the conclusion of the ABEL model.

MR. PALERMO: Correct, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Overruled. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: There was no indication that Mr. Shefftz did any investigation of the number. If you don't investigate the number, the conclusions are not reliable. That I will conclude. There's not a hint in his work, in his deposition transcript, or in the report itself that hints that he even looked at the numbers other than putting them into the ABEL model. I'm sorry, not acceptable, to me or any other professional that I know.

MR. PALERMO: I have no further questions. JUDGE BIRO: It's 11:42. Mr. Chu, would you like to conduct cross, or would you like to break for lunch?

MR. CHU: I would like to break for lunch. But, Your Honor, I would request that I would reserve my examination of this witness in my case-in-chief.

JUDGE BIRO: You want to call him in your case-in-chief and not conduct cross-examination?

MR. CHU: That's correct, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Did you list him as a witness?
MR. PALERMO: Your Honor, Dr. Carroll has commitments tomorrow.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So that's not going to happen. He's unavailable tomorrow, and you didn't list him as a witness. So would you like to proceed with cross, or would you like to break for lunch?

MR. CHU: I would like to break for lunch.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
MR. CHU: That's what I said earlier.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So let's break for lunch until -- it's 11:45-- until 12:45.

MR. CHU: That's okay with me, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. We'll stand in recess 'til 12:45.
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Dr. Carroll, please don't discuss your testimony with anybody.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay? Mr. Chu is entitled to have you just as you are now, as if we continued on.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I appreciate your advice. JUDGE BIRO: Thank you.
We'll stand in recess.
(Whereupon, at 11:45 a.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter recessed, to reconvene at 12:45 p.m. this same day, Wednesday, October 18, 2017.)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AFTERNOONSESSION | 1 | Q And I take it that you have notes that you |
| 2 | (12:45 p.m.) | 2 | took from that reading? |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Please be seated. Before we | 3 | A I think I did, yes. |
| 4 | begin, let me understand what's the schedule for Dr. | 4 | Q So you did not review those notes in |
| 5 | Carroll. When do you have to leave? | 5 | preparation for your testimony today? |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: (Away from microphone.) | 6 | A That's correct, I did not. |
| 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Your microphone. | 7 | Q And would that answer also be true for the |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: I'm hoping to get out of here | 8 | deposition of Mr. Garibyan? |
| 9 | by the end of the day. What time that is is up to | 9 | A Correct. That's correct, yes. |
| 10 | your call. | 10 | Q Now I'm going to ask you to look at the |
| 11 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. You don't have a flight | 11 | front page of your report. |
| 12 | tonight at a specific time? | 12 | A For clarity, that's the report dated 16 June |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: No flight. I'm just -- I have | 13 | 2017? |
| 14 | to be north tomorrow, and I just would rather be | 14 | Q Let me get there right quick. |
| 15 | driving in the daylight hours. So sometime 8:00 max, | 15 | A 192 is the page I have for it -- is the tab |
| 16 | 8 p.m. I don't know how you feel about that. | 16 | I have for it. |
| 17 | JUDGE BIRO: I want to get you out of here | 17 | JUDGE BIRO: The exhibit number 192. |
| 18 | today. | 18 | MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 19 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 20 | JUDGE BIRO: Whatever it takes, that's what | 20 | Q And you're correct, Doctor, it is described |
| 21 | we'll do. | 21 | as CX-192, okay? |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. I | 22 | A Yes, I see it, yes. |
| 23 | appreciate your concerns. | 23 | Q Now you qualified in this court as an |
| 24 | MR. CHU: Proceed, Your Honor? | 24 | accounting expert, is that correct? |
| 25 | JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed. | 25 | A Part of it, yes, also corporate finance, |
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| 1 | (Pause.) | 1 | yes, correct. |
| 2 | Whereupon, | 2 | Q Now, normally, when one does an audit, they |
| 3 | JAMES CARROLL | 3 | tend to state their qualifications, what generally |
| 4 | having been previously duly sworn, was | 4 | accepted principles that they are applying, and et |
| 5 | recalled as a witness herein and was examined and | 5 | cetera. Is that not correct? |
| 6 | testified further as follows: | 6 | A Not correct. |
| 7 | CROSS-EXAMINATION | 7 | Q Okay. Now why is it that you chose to put a |
| 8 | BY MR. CHU: | 8 | paragraph of disclaimers on this? |
| 9 | Q Dr. Carroll, remember you used the words "I | 9 | A Standard. I've done it for every report of |
| 10 | quit"? | 10 | the 500 I've done. I want to make it clear, it is not |
| 11 | A I might have. Help me recall when I said | 11 | an audit or review of financial statements, for the |
| 12 | that. | 12 | purposes of expressing an opinion on their fairness. |
| 13 | Q In your deposition. | 13 | Standard language I've used for 30 years. |
| 14 | A I still, I'm going to have to have context | 14 | Q Tell me why you choose to disclaim any |
| 15 | like that. I don't know the context, sir. | 15 | professional standards. |
| 16 | Q Have you had an opportunity to review your | 16 | A The standards for auditing and reviews and |
| 17 | deposition? | 17 | compilations, the three traditional services, are |
| 18 | A I did very briefly, not in great detail, no, | 18 | fairly clearly enunciated. Had I done any of those |
| 19 | I did not. | 19 | services, I would have been presumed that I followed |
| 20 | Q Now I notice somehow you appear to have read | 20 | those standards. This type of report is not a |
| 21 | in detail and made present recollections of a | 21 | standard report, and it doesn't fit exact standards. |
| 22 | deposition of Matao Cao. Is that correct? | 22 | So I said that I didn't -- there are no standards on |
| 23 | A Correct. | 23 | reports specific like this. |
| 24 | Q So when had you looked at that deposition? | 24 | Q Let's talk about it. This report does not |
| 25 | A Two, three weeks ago, something like that. | 25 | constitute an audit. That's clear, correct? |

A Correct.
Q You're not qualified to do audits ethically, are you?

A Yes, I am a licensed certified public accountant only in the State of New Jersey. No, I'd be qualified in other states as well as long as I did it under a CPA firm in that state.

Q How many audits have you performed in the past?

A None. But I'm qualified.
Q How many audits had you been involved in?
A My involvement was on the other side of the audit. I was the chief financial officer of companies that were being audited. So I was the auditee, not the auditor. And in that role, I assisted -- I
provided data to the auditor.
Q Thank you, Doctor. The answer is -- the question is how many have you been involved in, so it's either side.

A I'm sorry. I didn't hear --
Q It's either side, either the --
A Oh, on either side.
Q -- being audited or conducting the audit.
You've answered that. So thank you.
A Yes. Thank you. Okay, I answered that.

A Uh-huh. Okay. So your question is -- that became -- that didn't happen for a few years. I worked my way up to the job of chief financial officer.

Q Thank you, Dr. Carroll. Can you just tell us the first job and year, if you can remember it?

A All right. Sandvik Conveyors, the name of the company.

Q And that's a European company, is that correct?

A Yes. The U.S. subsidiary of a Swedish company.

Q And you were actually hired on as the CFO. Is that accurate?

A That's correct.
Q And what was the employee size of the company when you were there on the average?

A Wow. That was a long time ago, sir. I'm thinking about 75 people. That's a somewhere in the ballpark number.

Q And did you have CPAs working under you?
A The staff was not that qualified. I was the only -- I had no CPAs working for me.

MR. CHU: Thank you, Doctor.
Your Honor, if I may ask the Court to
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Oh, several years of audits. When I had a -- when I
was in the corporate world, I would do it as the chief
financial officer of a company, or in the staff of the
chief financial officer, I would be part of the group that was being audited.

Q Well, let's talk about that corporate world. Is that okay?

A Surely.
Q What year and what was the first company?
A I, after my MBA, I worked for a company
that's now called Deloitte. Then it was called Touche Ross, which became Deloitte and Touche, which is now just called Deloitte.

Q So you're saying that you acted as the chief financial officer of Deloitte after graduating with your MBA?

A No, sir. If that's what you heard, that's not what I meant. As I claimed -- I stated earlier, I worked as one of the consulting staff in Deloitte. I was an employee of the company.

Q Let me make my question a little more clear, okay?

A Thank you. Uh-huh.
Q I'm interested in your work in the corporate world as a chief financial officer.
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instruct the witness to answer the question being asked, I could get through this a lot quicker.

JUDGE BIRO: Dr. Carroll, if you can answer yes, no, or a specific number, that would help speed things along. I'd appreciate it.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll do that. I'll do my best.

BY MR. CHU:
Q Now you've testified in many state courts.
Is that accurate?
A Yes.
Q Would that be over 100 ?
A No.
Q Over 50?
A About 50 court appearances is what I can -what my resume shows.

Q Okay. Now was there actually a corporate finance department at this -- is it Sandek?

A Sandvik. The corporate finance department was based in New Jersey. Well, I've got to describe it if it's okay. We had a subsidiary in Massachusetts, and I was the CFO. We had local staff in New Jersey, as well as local staff in Massachusetts, and I was the chief financial officer in charge of the accounting done at -- accounting and
reporting done at both locations.
Q So it's your testimony that there was a corporate finance department in Massachusetts?

A No. That would be New Jersey.
Q And just for the court reporter, could you spell that name for us of the company?

A S-A-N-D-V-I-K.
Q What's that again?
A S-A-N-D-V-I-K.
Q What part of Europe is that company from?
A Sweden.
Q So how many people were in your corporate finance department?

A On the order of five. A number of years ago. It could be three to five, something in that area, yes.

Q So did those corporate finance people have to report to you directly?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And was their job in actually attempting to obtain financing?

A No. That was my job. That was more -- they did the routine accounting. They did -- they paid the bills. They did collections. The financing job was mine.

Q My question was you became the corporate finance department individually. Is that accurate?

A I had no one else in the organization that did financing, except maybe collections. They did accounting.

Q That's a yes?
A I'm not sure what the question is.
Q I'll be happy to clarify the question. Just tell me when you're not understanding it, okay?

A Well, if you recite the question, maybe I'll be very careful to answer exactly what it is. I'm trying to just give you a yes or no. Please restate it, and I'll do as best I can. I'm sorry I keep explaining. I'm a professor.

Q You're used to talking to people but not really answering questions, is that right?

A Oh, professors have been accused of that for years. No, sir. I answer the questions.

Q Okay. So here is the question. When you were hired to work at Sandvik, you became their corporate finance department individually, is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Thank you. And that occurs very often in companies less than 100 employees, right?
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Q So, just to make it clear, when you talk about corporate finance, you're actually talking about the bookkeepers?

A No, sir. Corporate finance -- if you want a longer answer -- you don't want a longer answer. It's not clear that's the right answer then. No, it's not.

Q I'm just looking for the answers to this company that you worked at, where you are telling us there was a corporate finance department.

A Yes. That was me. That was me, okay? I was the finance people. The rest of the people who worked for me did more routine accounting. Does that answer your question?

Q I believe it does. And let me clarify it. You were hired as the CFO.

A Correct.
Q You also independently, individually, became the corporate finance department.

A That was part of the job.
Q I'm sorry. Is that a yes?
A Independently and individually, I don't -it gets confusing to me. It was part of my job to be the financial officer of the company. It wasn't an extra task added later. It was my job walking in the door.

A Yes.
Q One person is given the responsibility of handling anything having to do with paying bills, collecting -- well, not actually collecting but receiving monies on behalf of the company, right?

A Right.
Q Because you weren't doing collections also, were you?

A We had a collection person, a credit manager, who did that work, who worked for me.

Q So the answer is yes?
A I wasn't doing it personally. The credit manager did, who worked for me.

Q And the reason I asked that, because I'm not sure when you tell me you had someone doing it that that necessarily says you didn't do it.

A I did it if they didn't do it.
Q Okay.
A That's correct, yes.
Q So you did do it, okay. So you also functioned as the corporate financial manager?

A I'm having -- I was the chief financial officer. That was the only -- I was the only one that did that job, except maybe the collection person, which is often part of things. I don't know how to

|  | Page 461 |  | Page 463 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | answer you. I'm trying to be helpful. I don't know | 1 | total of four, that's correct. That's here. And, |
| 2 | how to give you a yes or no answer, so I'm trying to | 2 | historically, I taught other finance classes at |
| 3 | be helpful. | 3 | Georgian Court and elsewhere. |
| 4 | Q Now how long did you work in that position? | 4 | Q So is it safe to say that in the bankruptcy |
| 5 | A Oh, about a year or two. | 5 | case you did not qualify or didn't receive |
| 6 | Q A year or two? | 6 | qualification as a corporate financial expert? |
| 7 | A That's correct. | 7 | A It was financial matters I was testifying |
| 8 | Q Now, prior to that employment, you had only | 8 | about. It happened to be in bankruptcy court. That's |
| 9 | the work experience at Deloitte, right? | 9 | the fact. |
| 10 | A No. There were other positions in there. | 10 | Q I understand what you did. My question was, |
| 11 | Q So you had another CFO position before this | 11 | this morning you were qualified as a corporate |
| 12 | one? | 12 | financial expert, right? |
| 13 | A No. I worked for larger corporations, and I | 13 | A Yes. |
| 14 | was part of the -- in a larger corporation, I was well | 14 | Q So can you tell this Court whether or not |
| 15 | down the organization chart but still -- but doing | 15 | you sought certification by the bankruptcy court as a |
| 16 | accounting. | 16 | corporate financial expert in that bankruptcy case? |
| 17 | Q Doing what? I'm sorry. | 17 | A In that case, I believe I was qualified as |
| 18 | A Accounting. | 18 | an economist. |
| 19 | Q How do you differentiate someone who does | 19 | Q Thank you. So the answer would be no. |
| 20 | bookkeeping and someone that performs the functions of | 20 | A No. |
| 21 | an accountant doing accounting? | 21 | Q So you didn't perform the function of |
| 22 | A Uh-huh. Bookkeeping is usually the book -- | 22 | determining whether or not debt was equity in that |
| 23 | the routine business transactions of payables and | 23 | case in the bankruptcy court, did you? |
| 24 | receivables, possibly payroll. Accounting is putting | 24 | A Correct. |
| 25 | those routine transactions into financial statements | 25 | Q How would an audit have helped you |
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| 1 | following GAAP to be given to the outside world. | 1 | understand the equation or the statement debt versus |
| 2 | Q You spoke on bankruptcy earlier. Do you | 2 | equity classification? |
| 3 | remember? | 3 | A An audit of the financial statements on this |
| 4 | A I did, uh-huh. | 4 | company or any company would have dealt with the |
| 5 | Q I take it that you have been qualified in | 5 | classification of liabilities, and an audit done per |
| 6 | bankruptcy court as a financial expert? | 6 | GAAP would have dealt with that issue and put it in |
| 7 | A Yes, I was. | 7 | the appropriate place. |
| 8 | Q Okay. And what type of case was that? | 8 | MR. CHU: Objection, non-responsive. |
| 9 | A Actually, I was testifying in the economics | 9 | THE WITNESS: I'll try again. Sorry. |
| 10 | area of a bankruptcy, and it was a legal malpractice | 10 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 11 | case. | 11 | Q Let me ask it this way. |
| 12 | Q Now economics is quite different from | 12 | A You said how. I tried to answer how. I'm |
| 13 | finances, correct? | 13 | sorry. |
| 14 | A The root of finance is usually thought to be | 14 | Q Let me ask it this way. |
| 15 | in economics because economics is a very broad area. | 15 | MR. PALERMO: Your Honor, he responded to |
| 16 | Applied, they are very different, but the root of | 16 | the question. |
| 17 | finance is thought to always be in the economics area. | 17 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. |
| 18 | So are they different? They have the same root, but | 18 | Go ahead. It's fine, Dr. Carroll. |
| 19 | finance goes in a different direction. | 19 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 20 | Q You don't teach economics, right? | 20 | Q Doctor, debt versus equity, remember those |
| 21 | A No. | 21 | discussions on direct? |
| 22 | Q You never have. | 22 | A Yes. |
| 23 | A Never have. | 23 | Q Okay. I know I used the word how. Let me |
| 24 | Q Just maybe two finance classes, right? | 24 | try it this way. Does an actual audit assist the |
| 25 | A Well, two undergraduate and two graduate, | 25 | determination of whether or not items or an item is |

debt versus equity?
A The correct answer is they concur with management's opinion of whether it's debt or equity. If they don't, they issue a very bad letter, okay? If they concur, they issue an unqualified letter. So they don't really assist, sir. That would be unethical.

Q Exactly. So the answer is it doesn't clarify it, correct?

A The audit often causes it to be clarified.
Q Okay. So an audit will clarify whether or not items or an item is a debt versus an equity. Is that accurate?

A Yes.
Q Can you tell us what the GAAP principle is that supports that?

A I look to the definition -- I can't cite the exact GAAP principle. It's a big book, sir. I can't.

Q Now is that GAAP principle book a different book from this renowned accounting textbook that you referenced in your report?

A Sir, it's a big book. I'd have to look at
it. Bankruptcy is covered in the book, and I haven't looked at the book in a while. Yes, it's covered in the book.
about, okay, can you tell me what chapter the smell test is on or in?

A Chapter smell tested on what, sir?
Q I'm sorry. What is the name of this accounting textbook, so I don't lose you.

A It's called Intermediate Accounting, and we usually refer to it by the author's name, which is the Kieso Weygandt book.

Q Would you spell that for me?
A It's in my report if you want to see the words, somewhere.

Q Yes. For the benefit of the court reporter.
A It's on my report, page 6, EPA Bates No. 002581.

MR. CHU: So I'll spell it for the record if I may, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: Go ahead.
MR. CHU: K-E-I-S-O, that is the first name.
BY MR. CHU:
Q Is that correct?
A It's the first author, yes.
Q And that would be the last name, in fact, wouldn't it?

A That's the last name of the first author of the book.
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Q I'm sorry, Doctor. I was asking you whether or not -- let me break it down. You talked about an accounting book that was widely used.

A Yes.
Q Do you remember that?
A Right.
Q So my question to you is, is the GAAP
book -- go ahead.
A Okay. There's not really a GAAP book.
There's -- GAAP is quoted and it's widely quoted in a lot of places. A good college textbook in intermediate accounting, such as what I talked about, covers a good bit of GAAP. But there is GAAP beyond the book. Does that answer your question?

Q Right. The book simply talks about a number of GAAP principles, but all the principles are not contained within the textbook. Is that accurate?

> A Correct.

Q And I notice you did not reference the GAAP
book, the edition, or anything in your report. Did I miss that?

A That's correct.
Q So you did not reference that?
A Correct.
Q Okay. Now, in this textbook that you talk

Q Correct. That would be Mr. Kieso, right?
A Right.
Q Is this a textbook that you had used when you had your intermediate accounting class?

A That's a long time ago, sir. I don't recall.

Q Certainly.
A It might have been.
Q Okay. Do you happen to know what university
Mr. Kieso was associated with, like Chicago or Wharton?

A I just don't.
MR. PALERMO: Objection, relevance.
THE WITNESS: I just don't.
JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. BY MR. CHU:
Q All right. So, when you say it's widely used, what do you base that upon? Because I believe you're saying basically it's used almost at every university.

A I'm not going to claim to have market share statistics. I do look at the -- it's the 15 th edition, so the 15 th edition -- editions turn over every two to three years. This book -- (cell phone rings). I'm so sorry about that. Excuse me. That
should not have happened. Pardon me. I apologize.
Okay. It's the 15 th edition. If editions
turn over every two to three years, it's about 35
years it's been running. And to my understanding, it's the biggest seller in the field.

Q And that's what I'm asking you, because your quantifications are very important to us, the
Respondents. Are you aware of that?
A Yes, sir.
Q So, based upon your quantification of how it's widely used, can you please tell me what you base that statement upon?

A I go to meetings involving other people who teach accounting. Everybody uses the word Kieso Weygandt. We all know what we're talking about.

Q Anything else?
A That's basically it, talk to the book reps, the people who sell us books, and they all know it's the most widely one used one. There's a new one coming in called Spiceland. Spiceland I've used a little too, but I used the Kieso Weygandt in this particular report.

Q So you're saying particular salesmen that sell Kieso Weygandt tell you that. Is that accurate?

A They might tell me that. I don't believe
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salespeople, okay? I believe other professors who use the book.

Q Anything else to support your
quantification?
A Of this particular book? Is that what
you're talking about?
Q Yes, sir.
A Of this particular book? That's what I know about that particular book. It's widely used.

Q And so, when I say empirical data, what does that mean to you?

A Measured data, tested data. That would be empirical.

Q So can we rely on your opinion that this book is widely used based upon empirical data that you had reviewed and tested?

A No.
Q Okay. So that would just be an opinion unsupported and basically on the line of a rumor? MR. PALERMO: Objection, compound question. JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. THE WITNESS: It's clearly opinion, and I
base it on conversations with hundreds -- maybe 50 to 100 accounting professors over the last number of years.

BY MR. CHU:
Q Had any of those professors answered a question from you, do you use this book in classes?

A Did I ask the question? We talk about books in general. We don't have polling to see who is using which book. But we talk about what's new, what's the latest edition, what has changed, what the publisher is now providing. That's what we talk about.

Q So would it be accurate to say this is one of Professor Carroll's smell results?

A I smell a popular book, is what I smell.
Q Thank you. Sir, so basically in this Kieso Weygandt 15 th edition and prior editions, can you please tell this Court, based upon your familiarity and usage of this book, what chapter the smell test is taught in?

A It's two areas the smell tests are taught in. The ratios is what is the basis of the smell test. That's the start, the ratios. The accounting books cover the ratios. They actually want to use the word crank out the number. In the finance books, we get the meaning of the number, the same number, but the finance books ask what does it mean, and the accounting books crank out the number. I hope I've addressed your question.
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Q Sir, if I were to tell you I'm going to give you an edition test, it would be clear to you what I'm asking you to perform, correct?

A I hope so.
Q So, if I am an accounting student and I want to know, Professor Carroll, can you point me to the chapter where it discusses the smell test, with those words in it?

A Those words are not in the book. That's the language of people in the field, yes.

Q Thank you, Professor.
A Okay. Uh-huh.
Q Now I'm sure you're aware that the federal rules require an expert to have opinions that can be verified or tested. Do you know anything about that?

A Anytime I give an opinion in a written report, it's backed up with something. It may not be tested, maybe backed up with textbooks or research from other areas. But, yes, it's backed up with something. It's supported.

Q And something which is backed up versus something which can be tested, would you agree that's different?

A Yes, it's different.
Q Okay. And so is it accurate to say that the
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | opinions that you have given us today are basically | 1 | MR. PALERMO: Objection, relevance. |
| 2 | opinions that you have backed up only? | 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Do you know? |
| 3 | A Yes, fair. | 3 | THE WITNESS: I really don't know. |
| 4 | Q And so, if we were to ask 10 CPAs to look at | 4 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 5 | the tax returns -- | 5 | Q Thank you. And you testified to that |
| 6 | A Uh-huh. | 6 | previously in your deposition, that you have no idea |
| 7 | Q -- is it possible that we could get more | 7 | of how accounting principles are applied with Chinese |
| 8 | than one interpretation of what those tax records | 8 | companies in China. Is that accurate? |
| 9 | indicate? | 9 | A If I answer yes or no, I'm going to give you |
| 10 | A Interpretation is a broad word, sir. In | 10 | a misleading answer, so I need to -- |
| 11 | what context do you mean it? | 11 | Q Explain if you need. |
| 12 | Q Well, the answer would be the same if we | 12 | A Okay. Usually the lender or the investor |
| 13 | asked the question what is the gross income, correct? | 13 | through the investment process specifies the |
| 14 | A Gross income you said? | 14 | reporting. That's why I said it's not surprising to |
| 15 | Q Yes. | 15 | find a Chinese company reporting on international |
| 16 | A Okay. | 16 | standards and U.S. standards both because they have |
| 17 | Q The answer would be 10 -- the answer is -- | 17 | two different lenders. |
| 18 | A If you had 10 CPAs do -- well, again, a CPA | 18 | MR. CHU: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I have to |
| 19 | in an audit doesn't create the income. That's | 19 | object as non-responsive. |
| 20 | prepared by the company, and the CPA concurs with | 20 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. |
| 21 | their opinion. That's what an audit is, okay? So, if | 21 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 22 | you had 10 different accounting staffs using the same | 22 | Q Sir, I'm asking you -- we described a |
| 23 | set of numbers, they're going to come up with | 23 | standard in the United States known as GAAP, G-A-A-P, |
| 24 | differences, and the CPAs would probably concur with | 24 | correct? |
| 25 | most of them because GAAP is a wide thing. | 25 | A Correct. |
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| 1 | Q Now GAAP is just a general accounting -- or | 1 | Q We described a standard in Europe that's |
| 2 | general accounting principles that are accepted | 2 | normally -- that is utilized, right? |
| 3 | basically in the United States and some other places | 3 | A IFRS, I-F-R-S. |
| 4 | that may follow that, right? | 4 | Q Right. And then, in China, my question to |
| 5 | A Very rare to find U.S. GAAP followed | 5 | you is whether or not you're aware that Chinese |
| 6 | anywhere. There's another set of standards followed | 6 | companies use a minimal of three potential possible |
| 7 | elsewhere in the world. | 7 | standards. |
| 8 | Q So, if you go to Europe, what's that | 8 | A My answer described -- it's not so much the |
| 9 | standard called? | 9 | government structure but the lender or creditor that's |
| 10 | A International Finance Reporting Standards, | 10 | going to describe the financial reporting system. So, |
| 11 | IFRS. | 11 | if the money is coming from an IFRS-based country, |
| 12 | Q And if you go to China, aren't there at | 12 | they're going to have that system. It is very common |
| 13 | least three norms for standards there? | 13 | to have two different sets of reports, one in one |
| 14 | A I'm not as knowledgeable on China, Chinese | 14 | accounting system and one in another. |
| 15 | accounting, but I wouldn't be surprised. Usually the | 15 | Q I'll try it this way. Can you tell us what |
| 16 | lender demands statements in a certain format. And if | 16 | the accountings standards that are used in China? |
| 17 | they're getting money based on a European bank, | 17 | A I would guess several, and I don't know |
| 18 | they'll want them with an IFRS format. If they're | 18 | them. |
| 19 | getting it from a U.S. bank, it would be U.S. GAAP. | 19 | Q I'm sorry. I don't want you guessing. |
| 20 | And if you know of a third, it's not out of the | 20 | A Thank you. |
| 21 | possibility, sir, not out of the realm of possibility. | 21 | Q If you have -- |
| 22 | That's correct. | 22 | A I don't know them. I don't know them, but I |
| 23 | Q My question to you was whether or not China | 23 | do know the lenders dramatically specify them. That's |
| 24 | has at least three different standards for accounting. | 24 | how I know they exist. The lender or creditor or the |
| 25 | A It wouldn't be -- | 25 | investor specifies it. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q So now can you tell us what your experience | 1 | social science research, yes. |
| 2 | is with reviewing financial statements, financial | 2 | Q Now all social science is not fake science. |
| 3 | data, from companies that operate in or from China? | 3 | Is that accurate? |
| 4 | A Nothing at all. | 4 | A Yes. |
| 5 | Q Thank you, Doctor. Now are you familiar | 5 | Q But most probably is? |
| 6 | with the RMA for China? | 6 | A The right answer -- the results of the |
| 7 | A No, sir, I'm not. | 7 | research are in the eye of the reader. |
| 8 | Q Are you aware -- strike that. You are aware | 8 | Q So you don't want to touch that one, right? |
| 9 | that a similar type of study could be performed in | 9 | A If you think it's fake, it's going to be |
| 10 | China. Would you agree? | 10 | fake. If I think it's real, it's going to be real, |
| 11 | A You said could be? Could be performed | 11 | okay? And thousands of people use this. |
| 12 | anyway. Whether it is or not, I don't know. But you | 12 | Q Well, every social scientific paper comes |
| 13 | said could be. Yes, it's possible. | 13 | down to a conclusion, doesn't it? |
| 14 | Q But you are unaware of any such study? | 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | A Correct. | 15 | Q And part of the things that you do with your |
| 16 | Q And the RMA reports basically assist, as you | 16 | graduate students is to ask them to use this RMA |
| 17 | have told us, lenders. Is that accurate? | 17 | survey to conduct further in-depth analyses of |
| 18 | A Correct. | 18 | whatever research you and that student have agreed |
| 19 | Q And for educational research purposes, | 19 | that they should work on. Is that accurate? |
| 20 | right? | 20 | A That could be, yes. |
| 21 | A As an aid in decision-making. | 21 | Q And this is basically just a fundamental |
| 22 | Q Do you see in front of you there where I | 22 | step. |
| 23 | have a portion highlighted? I'm sorry. Is it on your | 23 | A It's fair to say a first step is to hit the |
| 24 | screen? Is your screen not -- | 24 | RMA data and see how we -- how it looks, yes, correct. |
| 25 | A Oh, oh, thank you, sir. Of course. I see | 25 | Q And the reason for that, it's convenient, |
|  | Page 478 |  | Page 480 |
| 1 | it, sir. The yellow shows, yes. Sorry about that. | 1 | right? |
| 2 | Q Does it not specifically -- the part what I | 2 | A Absolutely, very -- it's easy to do, and |
| 3 | just said, that this is intended for educational, | 3 | it's all coded in a way we know how to use it, yes. |
| 4 | informational -- well, I didn't say information, but | 4 | Q And so we're unable to look back into the |
| 5 | it's for educational purposes, is that right? | 5 | particular companies that were actually participating |
| 6 | A Well, let me-- let's complete it. | 6 | in the survey. Is that accurate? |
| 7 | Educational and informational research purposes. | 7 | A By design, yes, it's all confidential, yes. |
| 8 | Q Right. | 8 | Q All right. And so, therefore, when you use |
| 9 | A So, yes, right. | 9 | a NAICS Code -- and is that spelled what, N -- |
| 10 | Q It's some sort of preliminary survey to | 10 | A N-A-I-C-S, NAICS. |
| 11 | assist in further in-depth research and study. | 11 | Q NAICS, yeah. That is a code that is |
| 12 | Accurate or not? | 12 | actually identified by the RS, is it, or the RMA? |
| 13 | A It depends -- it's part of the research | 13 | A No, it comes from -- it's actually bigger in |
| 14 | study. How deep it goes after this is a question of | 14 | than United States. It's North America. We used to |
| 15 | the individual lending officer, yes. | 15 | have one in the United States that was taken over by |
| 16 | Q You understand the concept behind surveys, | 16 | the -- I think it's the NAICS Commission, which is a |
| 17 | right? | 17 | multi-country commission that sets up the codes. |
| 18 | A Yes. | 18 | Q Is that a necessary classification in terms |
| 19 | Q It's a social scientist or some social | 19 | of the IRS rules and regulations? |
| 20 | scientist deciding a certain set of parameters would | 20 | A It's required reporting on the tax return of |
| 21 | be interesting to look at, right? | 21 | a corporation, yes. |
| 22 | A Yes. | 22 | Q And as far as -- well, I'm sorry. You |
| 23 | Q Is this a social science work here? | 23 | really don't know about the responsibilities in |
| 24 | A This would -- this is -- in the sense you're | 24 | preparing tax returns because that's not really what |
| 25 | using it as a social science research, yes, it's | 25 | you do, right? |

A I teach professional ethics. I know quite enough about that, yes. You can ask me about that.

Q So you know enough about the tax code to tell us what section of the code requires the company to pick a NAICS --

A NAICS Code.
Q NAICS Code.
A I can't cite the tax section. I know the ethics area. I won't say I know the tax code.

Q Can you tell us based upon your teachings why the IRS requires a NAICS Code to be used?

A The goal of the NAICS Code and the collection of data on that is to allow for research on companies and industries for many, many people throughout the United States and academic and business sources. It's a widely used code.

Q So, basically, it's an attempt by Big
Brother to know what we're doing, is that right?
MR. PALERMO: Objection.
JUDGE BIRO: Sustained.
BY MR. CHU:
Q Now, as far as the collection of this information, this information gets transmitted to this nonprofit organization?
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A Yes.

A Correct.
Q So, based upon your expertise, can you tell this Court what number you would have selected?

A The one that's used in my report, 423110.
Q And can you tell this Court also what the other options would have been?

A There's 423120, 423130. There's a series like that. There is no 423100 option, and that's what Taotao has.

Q So you're saying the option that was selected doesn't exist?

A Correct.
Q How is that possible?
A The book doesn't have a number with the double zero at the end. It has a number with one-oh and two-oh at the end, but no double zero.

Q So what you did was slice it up to fit something, right?

A I took the list of NAICS Codes in the vicinity of that to see what other digit was needed, reviewed the list, and picked the one, 423110, yes, I did.

Q So this is what accountants and CPAs are allowed to do, right?

A One would prefer the company does it first,

Q Okay. And someone at that end decides how to correlate the data that they collect. Is that accurate?

A Well, they use established reporting
documents, tax returns or others, and they use the
NAICS Code that comes off that tax return. There's
not much interpretation there. A number is a number, and the NAICS Code is reported.

Q Now, Doctor, based upon your teachings and expertise as a tax preparer, okay, or a reviewer of tax returns, can you tell us what the appropriate
NAICS Code should have been for Taotao USA?
A I would expect the tax preparer to make sure the right NAICS Code is there, correct.

MR. CHU: Objection, non-responsive.
JUDGE BIRO: Sustained.
MR. CHU: I'm asking can you --
JUDGE BIRO: He asked you whether you know the specific number.

THE WITNESS: Do I know the specific number?
The tax -- the company or the tax preparer would put the number down.

```
        BY MR. CHU:
```

Q Well, let me make it this way. Someone has to decide which number to put in, correct?
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but sometimes in a case on a tax return, the CPA does it.

Q What I'm saying, CPAs move numbers. Would you agree?

A Well, report numbers given to them from someone else is probably the better answer.

Q Right. And, I mean, this forensic accounting fraud that you talked about earlier -- and you also teach that, and you also teach the Enron fraud type of things where numbers were moved. Is that accurate?

A Numbers are reported in different ways, yes. I teach that material, yes.

Q The liabilities were reported as equity in that case, right, just like what you're telling us?

A I hadn't considered Enron -- there were hidden liabilities in the case of Enron. I hadn't considered it a match to this, to right now. But there were hidden liabilities in the case of the Enron that really blew the company up. The assets were overstated, yes.

Q So, in this fraud class that you teach, you didn't teach anything about the $\$ 3$ billion liability being moved over to the equity side or whatever that number was.

|  | Page 485 |  | Page 487 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A Actually, I'd rather teach personal fraud, | 1 | on, ability to pay. I'm not aware of any professional |
| 2 | small cases. The big cases with the big guys are | 2 | standard in the AICPA guidelines about ability to pay. |
| 3 | interesting, but let's see how it's done every day, | 3 | Q Now this Maureen -- Maureen -- |
| 4 | because I'm teaching how to defense it rather than | 4 | A Maureen -- I remember her last name as |
| 5 | talk about old history cases, and I teach more | 5 | Kaplan. |
| 6 | context. | 6 | Q Kaplan. |
| 7 | Q So, when you applied your forensic | 7 | A K-A-P-L-A-N. |
| 8 | accounting skills to the Taotao -- | 8 | Q Okay. You mentioned that she did an ABEL |
| 9 | A Yep. | 9 | model, as well as Ms. Isin. Is that accurate? |
| 10 | Q -- tax returns, it was your opinion that it | 10 | A It might have been off the same data. I |
| 11 | smelled funny? | 11 | don't know that. I just don't recall. But it might |
| 12 | A Yep. Yes, absolutely. | 12 | have been the same data. Yes, she ran an ABEL model. |
| 13 | Q Now it took the CPAs quite a while to figure | 13 | Q The data would not have changed, would it? |
| 14 | out that funny smell for Enron, right? | 14 | A I don't know if they had all of the tax |
| 15 | A That one blew up pretty quick. I was | 15 | returns that time. They probably -- it might have |
| 16 | surprised. That one just fell apart in a couple of | 16 | been the exact same data. |
| 17 | weeks. | 17 | Q Well, you know that spreadsheet -- |
| 18 | Q And it took down some major accounting | 18 | A It was -- |
| 19 | firms, kind of like the one you had worked for, right? | 19 | Q -- that you kind of complained about a |
| 20 | A No. I worked for the other one, thank | 20 | little bit? |
| 21 | goodness. Arthur Andersen disappeared because of that | 21 | A Spreadsheet? I'm sorry. Which one did I |
| 22 | situation, yes. That's correct. I worked for | 22 | complain about? Oh, mine, my -- |
| 23 | Deloitte. | 23 | Q Yes, sir. |
| 24 | Q Okay. And so, going back to the disclaimer | 24 | A -- Appendix A spreadsheet. Yes -- |
| 25 | you have here, you really want to act in the role of a | 25 | Q Yeah. |
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| 1 | CPA, but you don't want any responsibilities | 1 | A -- I complain about that one a lot. Yes, |
| 2 | associated with that. Is that accurate here? | 2 | absolutely, yes. |
| 3 | A No, sir, it's nearly not true. I want to be | 3 | Q And you had other complaints about the data |
| 4 | very clear this is not an audit, review, or | 4 | in terms of I need more data, right? |
| 5 | compilation of financial statements. And I don't want | 5 | A Yes. |
| 6 | anything I do to be confused with that, and I | 6 | Q Now where in your conclusion do you state |
| 7 | encourage every CPA I know who does reports like this | 7 | that this opinion is based upon only this data spelled |
| 8 | to put a disclaimer on it, just for that reason. | 8 | out and that I would not be able to -- I would want or |
| 9 | Q And I get the first two. | 9 | need additional data to accurately support this |
| 10 | A Uh-huh, yes. | 10 | opinion? |
| 11 | Q But look how broad the other one is, the | 11 | A So you said where in the opinion is that -- |
| 12 | third one. | 12 | I want to be -- try to address your question. |
| 13 | A Yes. | 13 | Q CX-192. |
| 14 | Q Would you agree that a very broad statement, | 14 | A Yes, sir. |
| 15 | or other defined professional standards -- | 15 | Q Where in your conclusion is that statement, |
| 16 | A Uh-huh. | 16 | anywhere? |
| 17 | Q -- would you agree that's very broad, that | 17 | A No, sir, it's not there. |
| 18 | fragment of the statement? | 18 | Q But it is in 194, right? |
| 19 | A Yes. | 19 | A The one-page report, the supplemental |
| 20 | Q Thank you. So how is it that you can make | 20 | report, 194? Let me see. |
| 21 | that statement in your report and then give us an | 21 | Q No. That's the one -- |
| 22 | expert opinion when it's not based upon any | 22 | A Yes, sir. |
| 23 | professional standards? | 23 | Q -- I had objected to. |
| 24 | A There's no professional standard I'm aware | 24 | A Which one did you object to that time? |
| 25 | of on ability to pay, and that's what my opinion was | 25 | Q 194. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A 194, yes, sir. | 1 | Q And you actually didn't do anything to |
| 2 | Q Do you remember that? | 2 | investigate the data, i.e., an audit or some other |
| 3 | A Yes, sir. | 3 | type of accounting analysis, correct? |
| 4 | Q Do you remember what I had said? | 4 | A I did what's described in the report, sir, |
| 5 | A Frankly, if you say it again, it would be | 5 | and I asked for a lot more data that I wasn't able to |
| 6 | helpful. | 6 | get. |
| 7 | Q Could you please look at 194. You see the | 7 | Q So that's a yes? |
| 8 | highlighted portion under the box? | 8 | A Yes. |
| 9 | A Yes, sir. | 9 | Q Thank you. |
| 10 | Q And could you read that for us? | 10 | A I did what's in the report, yes. |
| 11 | A It says, "I am unable to form an opinion | 11 | Q Thank you, okay. And the report cries out |
| 12 | here other than further investigation is appropriate | 12 | for help, right? |
| 13 | on this matter." | 13 | A What kind of help do you -- what are you |
| 14 | Q So why didn't you make that same statement | 14 | talking about, sir? Help me. I want to help you with |
| 15 | when you had the shortage of information that you | 15 | your -- I want to answer your question. |
| 16 | insisted during your direct examination that you | 16 | Q Well, when an investigator needs information |
| 17 | needed? | 17 | and they can't get it, then by writing somewhere, |
| 18 | A My ability -- the ability to pay conclusion | 18 | someplace, I need this, if I describe it as a cry for |
| 19 | that I provided an opinion didn't need all that data. | 19 | help, is that -- |
| 20 | Q So are you aware of EPA penalty assessments | 20 | A I see what you're saying, yeah. |
| 21 | that rely heavily on the use of ABEL? | 21 | Q Is that within your realm of appreciation? |
| 22 | A I've heard of them, yes. I've not used | 22 | A Yes, I do. I see. If you want to classify |
| 23 | ABEL, so I heard of them, yes. | 23 | it as documents that were requested -- is that what |
| 24 | Q And you in your deposition as well as today | 24 | you mean? |
| 25 | have really not sanctioned the ABEL model. Is that | 25 | Q Yes, sir. Data, data information. |
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| 1 | accurate? | 1 | A Yes. We request -- |
| 2 | A Help me out. What do you mean by | 2 | Q Answers. |
| 3 | sanctioned, sir? | 3 | A We requested through the EPA, and I reviewed |
| 4 | Q Would it be some -- | 4 | extensive document lists that were requested, and some |
| 5 | MR. PALERMO: Objection, mischaracterizes | 5 | were provided. But that document list went on and on. |
| 6 | previous testimony. | 6 | I was part of the review process before it was |
| 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. | 7 | submitted. It was submitted fall of 2016, as I |
| 8 | BY MR. CHU: | 8 | recall. |
| 9 | Q You don't approve of the use of the ABEL | 9 | Q Were you aware that the EPA at the last |
| 10 | model, do you? | 10 | minute wanted to replace you as an expert? |
| 11 | A Too broad, sir. Using the data, good data, | 11 | A That's certainly their right, sir. I -- |
| 12 | well investigated before it's put in, ABEL might be a | 12 | fine. |
| 13 | useful tool. It wasn't useful in this case. | 13 | Q I'm sorry? |
| 14 | Q So it's your opinion that now the ABEL model | 14 | A That's their right. I work when I'm paid. |
| 15 | is a useful tool provided that they use Professor | 15 | That's it. |
| 16 | Carroll's investigative techniques. Is that accurate? | 16 | MR. PALERMO: Objection. Assumes facts not |
| 17 | A Well, thank you for flattering me that way, | 17 | in evidence. |
| 18 | sir. Standard investigating techniques I think, | 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. |
| 19 | consistent with the profession, would be appropriate, | 19 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 20 | and understanding the limitations of the model would | 20 | Q Were you aware of another consultant that |
| 21 | be an appropriate thing to do. | 21 | was offered as an expert witness on behalf of the EPA |
| 22 | Q And correct me if I'm wrong, but you said | 22 | in the hearings? |
| 23 | that based upon your data that you utilized, that you | 23 | A In the last few days, I became aware of |
| 24 | came up with a result, correct? | 24 | another name. That's it. What can I say? |
| 25 | A An opinion. The result is the opinion, yes. | 25 | Q So you were aware of that? |

A Only in the last few days, yes, sure. Yes, a couple days ago.

Q And was it because of those conversations that you decided you needed to incorporate the work of another person to prepare a corrected report?

MR. PALERMO: Objection, assumes facts not in evidence.

MR. CHU: I'm asking a question, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Who's the other person? I don't know how to address the question. BY MR. CHU:
Q You said you became aware that there was another expert, financial expert.

A Yes.
Q So that's the person I'm referring to.
A I became aware -- I'm not even sure I remember the name. That's all I heard. I don't know anything else about it. That's it.

Q And my question is, was it because of that conversation you had that drove you to make corrections to your first opinion?

A No, sir, it was not.
Q So there was no motivation on your part to make those corrections that you can remember?
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A The motivation was I saw small errors. I
wanted it to be as perfect as possible. That's why I made the corrections.

Q Now are you familiar with Toys "R" Us?
A Yes. I know the company name a bit, yes.
Q Are you familiar with the fact that they're currently in bankruptcy?

A Yes.
Q To the tune of almost $\$ 5$ billion in debt?
A I read a little bit. I haven't read much about it, so that's all -- what you know about -- what you said is about what I know.

Q What would your opinion be as to what portion of that $\$ 5$ billion is related to accounts payable?

MR. PALERMO: Objection, relevance. JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. BY MR. CHU:
Q So, when you made a determination that accounts payable, by definition, do not include amounts owed, can you tell us now what the proper GAAP classification for amounts owed, other than short-term liabilities?

A Amounts owed is a term that isn't used in
the accounting world. It's a broad term. The
general -- I'm going to speculate on what you're looking for.

Q I'm sorry, Doctor. I --
A If you don't want me to speculate, I can't answer the question.

Q Thank you. Okay. So C-O-G-S.
A C-O-G-S, Costs Of Goods Sold, yes.
Q That's one of the primary terms that is taught the first week of Introduction to Accounting, is it not?

A Correct, yes.
Q Okay. And to determine the cost of goods sold, that's not difficult, is it?

A Oh, it could be. Inventory has values that are difficult to determine sometimes, and it depends on the industry. Let me leave it at that.

Q Now, since you're an expert, and you're familiar with industries, you're familiar with companies like Walmart and Sam's, are you not?

A Yes. I've been in the stores, yes.
Q And you understand that those companies have a tendency to hold paying their vendors for more than two months. Are you aware of that?

A Some companies have that policy, yes.
Q So do you apply your same smell principles
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to those companies that all those vendors who don't get paid within two months per your GAAP definition in your report --

A Uh-huh.
Q -- are actually equity holders in those companies?

A Well, if I use your question, since you told me in that industry two large players in that industry do pay later than that, it's normal. By using your two large players in the company, you create a situation where it's normal and not extraordinary. I used an industry where it is extraordinary.

Q So, going back to the RMA, we've discovered that that RMA doesn't apply because there's not a NAICS Code that is found that matches the exact NAICS Code on the returns reviewed for Taotao USA. Is that accurate?

A I don't agree with that conclusion, sir.

## No, I don't.

Q I understand you moved some numbers around to make it work. Is that accurate?

A No, sir. I wouldn't call it moving numbers around, no.

Q You ignored some numbers.
A I looked at the error on the tax return of

Taotao by recording on a non-existing code, and I moved it to the nearest code one digit away that did exist that matched the business description that I got from my investigations of the company.

Q And your investigations, you testified to, was your internet research that you did, correct?

A That's largely it, sir, yes.
Q Did you call Kawasaki or Yamaha and ask them about floor plans, financing, and basic operations in terms of finance?

MR. PALERMO: Objection, relevance.
MR. CHU: May I respond?
JUDGE BIRO: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: There's no basis for that,
sir. I'm looking at a private -- a small, privately
traded -- privately owned company versus a large multinational company. No basis for that comparison. That would be a spurious comparison. I don't like to do those.

BY MR. CHU:
Q Well, putting this in a code with
automobiles and vehicles, that's up there with Honda,
Toyota, GM, Porsche, Mercedes, and those companies, is it not?

A Not in RMA data, sir, no, it's not.
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Q I'm sorry. Did you say vehicles?
A Oh, the code is, but the way the RMA data is
constructed is not. Fine, ask --
Q Let me start over, okay?
A Go ahead.
Q You decided to slip a code in that you thought would work, right?

A I had to make an adjustment to their code because they reported on a non-existent code, correct.

Q And so everything begins on your assumption that Taotao USA belongs in that group, right?

A That assumption is backed up with research, which is in my work papers, listing what the group says and other companies in the group that makes it look like it should be there, yes, correct.

Q And you decided not to do any research on how other similar companies selling scooters and ATVs select their NAICS Code, right?

A I'm looking at RMA data. RMA data is privately held companies. Those are large, publicly traded companies. There's not a match. Interesting to look at but not relevant to what I'm doing here.

Q So the answer is you did not do that, right?
A I did not do that, no.
Q Okay. Thank you. Okay. And so even though
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turn the vehicle over, where a distributor does more work to the item than that. That's my understanding of the two terms.

Q So, if Taotao USA was a distributor, they would have to hold long-term inventories. Would you agree?

A It depends on the industry.
Q Talking about ATVs, how is that?
A I didn't study how much inventory they should have, sir. That didn't come up in my study. I could. The NAICS -- the RMA data covers that. I did not look at that.

Q Talking about scooters and motorcycles?
A In that NAICS Code, yes.
Q So there is a NAICS Code for distributors and/or retailers of motorcycles and scooters. Would you agree?

A I would imagine retailers -- this one specifically calls out wholesalers. That's what this group is, and that's my understanding of what Taotao is. This word wholesale is in the NAICS Code description, yes.

Q Okay. But by selecting vehicles and -what's the third word there?

A I have to look.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q You don't remember it? | 1 | It's just too broad. |
| 2 | A I don't recall, no. | 2 | Q I'm just saying you herded Taotao USA in a |
| 3 | Q But vehicle is correct, the first part, | 3 | group that you selected that talked about vehicle |
| 4 | right? | 4 | wholesalers, right? |
| 5 | A Yes. | 5 | A Correct. |
| 6 | Q So vehicles would necessarily include | 6 | Q Okay. And so I'm just trying to get from |
| 7 | companies like Honda, Mercedes, Volkswagen, and these | 7 | you, if you can tell us, some of those vehicle |
| 8 | companies, correct? | 8 | wholesalers that came to your mind when you made that |
| 9 | A Not in the RMA data, no, sir. | 9 | selection? |
| 10 | Q You said wholesalers. | 10 | A I did a study which is -- my work papers, |
| 11 | A Correct. | 11 | which are not here, talked -- and it listed some |
| 12 | Q So don't the manufacturers and importers of | 12 | companies I was able to identify. Now that's |
| 13 | vehicles from Europe, let's say, they would be a | 13 | difficult to do because RMA data is all confidential, |
| 14 | wholesaler, wouldn't they? | 14 | and I'm not going to get it through an RMA source. |
| 15 | A But -- yes, they would, yes. But they would | 15 | But I found something that allowed me to look at other |
| 16 | never make it in the RMA book. That's right. | 16 | people in that same industry that would match. |
| 17 | Q So we're using your definitions to support | 17 | The second reason why the other things |
| 18 | your opinion. You see where I'm going? | 18 | wouldn't work is we have a company size-specific thing |
| 19 | A I disagree on using my opinion -- my -- I | 19 | as well. So, if I had a big dealership, it would be |
| 20 | didn't do much of an opinion to put the right NAICS | 20 | outside or something different than Taotao, be outside |
| 21 | Code down. That would be the company's opinion with a | 21 | the range of companies we looked at. |
| 22 | slight correction for not putting out the exact right | 22 | Q So, therefore, the NAICS data has a separate |
| 23 | NAICS Code. That's mostly their opinion. They put | 23 | group under that classification for 100 to 200 million |
| 24 | themselves in a category, and I looked at it. That | 24 | and 10 million to 50 million. Is that your testimony? |
| 25 | makes sense. They just put -- they missed the last | 25 | A No, sir. That's the RMA data that has that. |
|  | Page 502 |  | Page 504 |
| 1 | code, and there's no code where they reported theirs. | 1 | NAICS does not. |
| 2 | Q Now, so when we talk about this industry of | 2 | Q Okay. So NAICS doesn't differentiate in |
| 3 | vehicles, is it safe to say if I refer to Mercedes | 3 | reference to size? |
| 4 | S500s that are made in Europe, okay, then the initial | 4 | A That's correct. |
| 5 | seller of that vehicle would be in a similar position | 5 | Q Okay. So, when you have that, then you have |
| 6 | as with the one Respondent, Taotao USA in this case? | 6 | to compare firstly with the 100 to 200 million, is |
| 7 | Would you agree? | 7 | what you did, correct? |
| 8 | MR. PALERMO: Objection, vague. | 8 | A Yes, I did. That's correct. |
| 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. | 9 | Q And so those 100 to 200 million companies, |
| 10 | BY MR. CHU: | 10 | dollar-volume companies, you have no idea what type of |
| 11 | Q Okay. So Mercedes in Germany manufactures | 11 | companies would have been in those groups, is that |
| 12 | vehicles. Accurate? | 12 | right? |
| 13 | A Yes. | 13 | A They matched the RMA code that came from the |
| 14 | Q And when they import the vehicles or someone | 14 | tax return that was used that was put into the RMA |
| 15 | imports that vehicle -- | 15 | database. That's where it came from. |
| 16 | A Yes. | 16 | Q You have no idea what type of company -- |
| 17 | Q -- that would be a similar function that | 17 | A Yes, I do. The RMA -- the NAICS Code tells |
| 18 | Taotao USA performs, right? | 18 | me what type of companies. Yes, I do. |
| 19 | A Yes. | 19 | Q I understand. But do you know the names of |
| 20 | Q Okay. So, once that vehicle is imported -- | 20 | any companies that would fit that criteria, by |
| 21 | because part of your analysis was these type of | 21 | guessing? |
| 22 | companies normally do $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z . Is that accurate? | 22 | A I believe I looked up something in my work |
| 23 | A That's -- I'm sorry. It's such a broad | 23 | papers. I cannot get that data. RMA by definition is |
| 24 | question. I'm sure I said something like that, but I | 24 | confidential. |
| 25 | don't know if I agree or disagree with your question. | 25 | Q And I understand that. So, when you plug in |

Taotao USA in the RMA data, that's just your social science type of plugging in. Is that accurate?

MR. PALERMO: Objection.
JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. It's argumentative. BY MR. CHU:
Q So, when you are trying to come up with a result, you have to somehow either guess or use data. Is that accurate?

A I don't guess. I use data.
Q So, when you didn't guess as to this vehicle code for Taotao, what data did you use?

A I looked at the RMA codes -- the NAICS Codes that were available, and picked the one that was one digit off, the one that fit exactly what Taotao was doing.

Q So how is that data -- because you're selecting a reference. Remember, you said you used data.

A Yes.
Q So selecting an RMA code is not using data, but rather you're just making a selection, right?

A Make your selection based on available RMA code -- NAICS Codes around that data, and looked at the detail definition of each of those codes, yes. I did exactly that.
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Q So, since you broadly stated data, tell me specifically what that data was.

A We've had a lot of -- help me out. What data did you want? I'll be glad to help you.

Q You just mentioned that you went up and down the RMA --

A I see.
Q -- codes, and based upon that data --
A I see.
Q -- you made a decision.
A Okay. Let me -- I looked at the NAICS Codes available and looked at the best fit for this company.

Q And that's why I said earlier you made a selection rather than applying any data analysis.

A The next NAICS Code down was tires. It didn't fit. So that's when I put in the vehicle one. That's what it was. The next code was tires.

Q How is making a selection the use of data?
A I looked at the description of the company.
That's data. I looked at the NAICS coding system. Maybe that's not data. That's a coding system. And matched the two.

Q Is it accurate that your wife helped you with this report?

A Yes. I -- let me limit that to
proofreading, okay? She -- I wish she'd have a better feel for the numbers, but she's a wonderful woman.

Q I'm sorry. I'm not asking those questions. I just asked whether or not she helped you.

A She proofread the report, yes, sir. Proofread, that's it.

Q Do you teach in your finance management courses to slow pay vendors, service providers, et cetera, as a financial management technique?

A Yes.
Q Why is that?
A We need the money. And we're looking to manage the assets, the cash coming in and out of the business. It's standard to do that, yes.

Q How does the fact that you push aside and ignore your legal obligation create increased buying power?

A Legal obligation means the terms -- the term of the debt in terms of days. Is that exactly what you meant, the legal obligation?

Q Well, I'm just trying to figure out how it is that a person's net worth or a company's net worth goes up by not paying their obligations.

A It doesn't.
Q Exactly. So that's not a factor that should
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be used in someone's --
A That's not -- that's what I -- that's not what I did here, sir. I did not do that.

Q Well, didn't you suggest that they shouldn't pay $A$, and then pay $B$ is kind of like that robbing Peter to pay Paul theory?

A Robbing Peter to pay Paul is certainly a good observation, yeah.

Q And companies do that to survive, right?
A Yes, they do it to survive, yes.
Q But the adage also is that never works, right?

A Oh, it's worked.
Q Okay. So now you've gone through the guidelines that the EPA has worked hard to come up with, correct?

A Yes.
Q Do you see anywhere in the guidelines where it says one should consider not paying financial obligations so that they could pay the EPA?

A I saw a discussion of related parties. I did see that. And the details of the financial management, it was not found in the -- I don't recall seeing that in the EPA guidelines, yes. I don't recall seeing that.

|  | Page 509 |  | Page 511 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q And I don't want to mix two things up, so | 1 | MR. PALERMO: Objection, mischaracterizes |
| 2 | can we stick with my point first? | 2 | previous testimony. |
| 3 | A Sure, go ahead. | 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. |
| 4 | Q So that's not in there, right? | 4 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 5 | A Slow paying the vendors is not -- I didn't | 5 | Q When you mentioned parent company, was that |
| 6 | see it in there. I didn't see it in there. | 6 | just in a fun way of describing things, or did you |
| 7 | Q It was don't pay or slow pay. | 7 | actually mean to say that it was a parent company? |
| 8 | A I don't recall seeing those in there. I | 8 | A I meant literally it's a related-party |
| 9 | read it a couple of months ago. I don't -- | 9 | transaction. Related-party transactions are red flags |
| 10 | Q But that was your suggestion here to this | 10 | on any financial analysis because we wonder if the |
| 11 | Court, right? | 11 | transaction is at fair-market value. That's why. |
| 12 | A Yes, absolutely, yes. | 12 | Q Now can you tell us the section of the tax |
| 13 | Q So, when you look at an ability to pay, you | 13 | code that talks about transactions between parent and |
| 14 | view that as how can this company allocate its | 14 | subsidiaries? |
| 15 | resources to pay A rather than B. Is that accurate? | 15 | MR. PALERMO: Objection. The tax code |
| 16 | A Accurate. | 16 | speaks for itself and calls for a legal conclusion. |
| 17 | Q That doesn't really comport with the | 17 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead, if you |
| 18 | guidelines you were given, does it? | 18 | know. |
| 19 | A Where the money comes from and where the | 19 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. You want me to |
| 20 | money goes is kind of classic finance. You just | 20 | answer that? |
| 21 | provided one way, is stretching out the payables. So | 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Uh-huh. |
| 22 | that's ability to pay. | 22 | MR. CHU: Can you tell -- |
| 23 | Q You mentioned, in haste, I believe, that | 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Do you know? |
| 24 | there was a parent company. Do you remember those | 24 | THE WITNESS: I don't -- I'm not going to |
| 25 | words? | 25 | call myself an expert on taxes. You mentioned the tax |
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| 1 | A Yes. | 1 | code. I'm going to stay out of there. It's not my |
| 2 | Q Now you teach organizational structures in | 2 | area of expertise. |
| 3 | your classes, don't you? | 3 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 4 | A Yes, commonly. | 4 | Q Well, somewhere you've got to come up with |
| 5 | Q It's kind of a legal concept, but CPAs are | 5 | some support for why you identify a company that's not |
| 6 | known to register companies and advise people about | 6 | owned by the manufacturer, and that company, in your |
| 7 | corporate structures. | 7 | opinion, pursuant to GAAP rules and other qualifiers |
| 8 | A Yes. | 8 | you used to qualify yourself as an expert, that it was |
| 9 | Q Is that accurate? | 9 | actually a parent company. |
| 10 | A Yes. | 10 | A It doesn't need to be a parent. It's a |
| 11 | Q Now you know more likely than not, that's | 11 | related party. That's enough to red flag it here. |
| 12 | probably against the law in any state here, that the | 12 | It's a related party for me. Whether it's a parent, I |
| 13 | CPA advises the legal so-called responsibilities of an | 13 | don't care. It's a related party. |
| 14 | entity other than for tax purposes. | 14 | Q So would it be safe to say that you might |
| 15 | A Actually, I tell people not to do that | 15 | have misspoken there? |
| 16 | because -- | 16 | A Oh, about calling it exactly a parent when I |
| 17 | Q Exactly. | 17 | should have more broadly -- |
| 18 | A -- it's practicing law without a license, | 18 | Q Yes. |
| 19 | and I explain in my ethics class don't do that. | 19 | A -- called it a related party? Yeah, that |
| 20 | Q Because you've seen that situation in your | 20 | would be a fair statement, a related-party |
| 21 | ethics reviews, ethics classes. You make it a very | 21 | transaction, yes. |
| 22 | big point to teach that, don't you? | 22 | Q Because you're permitted to give an opinion |
| 23 | A Yes. | 23 | about relationships as an expert. Is that your |
| 24 | Q Okay. So why did you tell this Court that | 24 | understanding? |
| 25 | there was a parent company here legally? | 25 | A I wouldn't say that. Boy, that's a very |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | broad term. I would say related-party transactions, | 1 | parent company of the Chinese companies? |
| 2 | they're suspect to further investigation whether they | 2 | MR. PALERMO: Objection, mischaracterizes -- |
| 3 | are done at a fair market value. | 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. |
| 4 | Q What is your understanding of the ownership | 4 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 5 | that is required to make one company a parent company | 5 | Q So, when you made that determination, it was |
| 6 | over another? | 6 | just based upon your smell test? |
| 7 | A I don't know that answer, sir. | 7 | A No, sir. |
| 8 | MR. PALERMO: Objection, relevance. | 8 | Q What data did you rely on? Data, not |
| 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. Go ahead. | 9 | statements from the EPA people. |
| 10 | BY MR. CHU: | 10 | A The particular reference that I was struck |
| 11 | Q So what you were getting to is because there | 11 | by was the deposition of Mr. Cao. |
| 12 | are -- Taotao USA is a Chinese company-owned, and that | 12 | Q What particular testimony are you referring |
| 13 | the products are coming from China, so therefore it | 13 | to? |
| 14 | must be made from a Chinese-run or owned company, that | 14 | A I don't recall exactly. It was -- I think |
| 15 | there is a relationship. Is that it? | 15 | he described it as his father's company and his |
| 16 | MR. PALERMO: Objection. Assumes facts not | 16 | company, but he owned his father's company too, and |
| 17 | in evidence, vague. | 17 | that was all I needed to understand that this was a |
| 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. | 18 | related party. |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: Taotao USA has got a U.S. tax | 19 | Q Can you tell us the names of the company |
| 20 | code, and I looked at it as a U.S. company, right, | 20 | that you remember you read in this deposition two or |
| 21 | because they pay U.S. taxes. The ownership, I was | 21 | three weeks ago? |
| 22 | told, was Mr. Cao, and there was a relationship with | 22 | A I honestly can't, sir. It's a big, long |
| 23 | the companies in China. It's got a U.S. tax ID | 23 | name, and the other one is equally long. And I'd like |
| 24 | number. | 24 | to -- I'd look at my notes to find them. I just don't |
| 25 | // | 25 | recall the exact names. |
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| 1 | BY MR. CHU: | 1 | Q Was there reference to this third company? |
| 2 | Q I understand that Taotao USA is a U.S. | 2 | A Third company? There's Taotao USA and two |
| 3 | company. | 3 | Chinese companies. That's -- |
| 4 | A Yes. | 4 | Q Correct. |
| 5 | Q But what information are you relying on to | 5 | A That's it. |
| 6 | support your conclusion that a company in China is the | 6 | Q So what you're telling us is from some |
| 7 | parent company? | 7 | compilation of information provided by the EPA |
| 8 | A Okay. There are several pieces of data on | 8 | attorneys to you, and Ms. Isin, as well as Ms. |
| 9 | that. I go back -- the first time I heard that was | 9 | Connelly, is it? |
| 10 | the report of Maureen Kaplan, a broad, general report. | 10 | A The Kaplan -- |
| 11 | I heard it repeatedly from people in the EPA side of | 11 | Q Kaplan, yeah. |
| 12 | the case. But I also saw specific references to it in | 12 | A -- Kaplan report. |
| 13 | the deposition transcripts that I read, and that's | 13 | Q That you have come up with this |
| 14 | where I got it particularly. The deposition | 14 | determination. |
| 15 | transcripts to me said that. | 15 | A That there's a relationship between the |
| 16 | Q Are you aware that there are two suppliers | 16 | companies, and it was confirmed by Mr. Cao's |
| 17 | of vehicles to Taotao USA from China? | 17 | deposition. |
| 18 | A Yes. | 18 | Q And don't companies in general in Asia have |
| 19 | Q So, if there are two companies, then it | 19 | related connections with companies? |
| 20 | would be very difficult for both those companies to be | 20 | MR. PALERMO: Objection, relevance. |
| 21 | the parent company. Would you agree? | 21 | THE WITNESS: I'm not even going to answer |
| 22 | A A parent company -- to me, it's a related | 22 | that question anyway. |
| 23 | party. That's why I -- I'm looking at related-party | 23 | MR. CHU: Well, I mean -- |
| 24 | transaction. | 24 | JUDGE BIRO: How can he possibly know and |
| 25 | Q Why didn't you identify Taotao USA as the | 25 | what relevance it is what Chinese companies do? |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | BY MR. CHU: | 1 | definition of accounts payable, yes. |
| 2 | Q Have you ever studied or looked at Japanese | 2 | Q Based upon the tax return that you reviewed |
| 3 | companies? | 3 | for the year 2014, can you tell this Court how much |
| 4 | A No. | 4 | was paid to the manufacturers in that year? |
| 5 | Q Korean companies? | 5 | A The tax return doesn't specify that. It's |
| 6 | A Say it again? | 6 | an item called Purchases. It doesn't say who it's |
| 7 | Q Korean companies. | 7 | paid to. |
| 8 | A No, sir. | 8 | Q So how -- strike that. Can you tell this |
| 9 | Q Okay. So you're familiar with AT\&T, right? | 9 | Court the aging of account receivables as identified |
| 10 | A Yes. | 10 | in the tax return for the year 2015? |
| 11 | Q And AT\&T has a lot of subsidiaries, correct? | 11 | A Aged receivables is not part of the tax |
| 12 | A Yes. | 12 | return. |
| 13 | Q And now it's even gone bigger with the cable | 13 | Q So, therefore, it's your supposition, is it |
| 14 | and internet, right? | 14 | not, that it's more than one year? |
| 15 | MR. PALERMO: Objection, relevance. | 15 | A Sir, I did not say more than one year. I |
| 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. | 16 | said it was seven and a half months. |
| 17 | BY MR. CHU: | 17 | Q Because you said that those items should not |
| 18 | Q So, when you talk about related companies, | 18 | be identified as accounts payable, did you not? |
| 19 | that's not an unusual phenomena here in the United | 19 | A I did. |
| 20 | States, is it? | 20 | Q And so, as such, the conclusion is that |
| 21 | A That's very fair, yes, not -- it's not a -- | 21 | those account payable items that you excluded, that |
| 22 | it's very common, absolutely right, very common. | 22 | you identify as equity, would have necessarily been |
| 23 | Related parties is very common now, yes. | 23 | obligations that exceed one year in duration. Is that |
| 24 | Q Okay. So tell me what GAAP principle says I | 24 | accurate? |
| 25 | can look at a related company in the United States and | 25 | A No, sir, that's not accurate. Equity |
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| 1 | declare that certain debt is equity or that certain | 1 | doesn't have a duration. |
| 2 | equity is debt? | 2 | Q I'm not speaking of equity. I'm speaking of |
| 3 | A The characterization comes about by the | 3 | the amounts owing to the manufacturers in China. |
| 4 | definition of accounts payable, the ordinary course of | 4 | A So, in my crude study, it was seven and a |
| 5 | business. These are not in the ordinary course of | 5 | half months of data. That's about the average |
| 6 | business, and that would be the basis I used, the | 6 | payables. |
| 7 | definition of accounts payable. | 7 | Q Thank you. So the number that you used was |
| 8 | Q And your so-called identified accounts | 8 | seven and a half months. And you defined for us now |
| 9 | payable is what we discussed earlier, something that | 9 | something that wasn't in your report, that an account |
| 10 | is only short-term, right? | 10 | payable is a short-term debt that doesn't exceed one |
| 11 | A Well, that's very interesting you bring that | 11 | year. Is that accurate? |
| 12 | up. It's normally short-term, and these -- it's | 12 | A I wouldn't call it debt, but short-term is |
| 13 | well -- it's within a year, but this is the longest | 13 | the right answer to it. Short-term liability would be |
| 14 | short-term I've seen in a long time. Short-term is | 14 | the word we'd use, yes. |
| 15 | defined as less than one year. | 15 | Q Is it accurate, what I stated? |
| 16 | (Pause.) | 16 | A That it's a short-term liability? That |
| 17 | BY MR. CHU: | 17 | statement is accurate. |
| 18 | Q And you're sure that the one year is in your | 18 | Q So seven and a half months fits into that |
| 19 | report, right, when you described short-term? | 19 | classification, right? |
| 20 | A That's the standard definition of short- | 20 | A Yes, it would. |
| 21 | term. It's actually one business cycle, which is | 21 | Q So, therefore, would it be safe to say that |
| 22 | typically one year for most companies. | 22 | you're inaccurate, incorrect, in your analysis that |
| 23 | Q Professor Carroll, are you sure that one | 23 | it's not an account payable? |
| 24 | year is in your report? | 24 | A No, sir, it's not. My opinion stands. |
| 25 | A I don't think it is. I used the common | 25 | Q I understand it stands, but it is |

inaccurate, is it not?
A What's accurate?
Q Inaccurate.
A No, sir. My opinion is -- I'm solid with my opinion. I'm not going to change -- I have no basis for changing it.

Q I understand that. But seven and a half months is not, according to GAAP accounting principle -- principles, okay --

A GAAP is a big GAAP, and there's a big gap, but I look to industry norms, and this is outside the industry norm. That's why I concluded it.

Q Doctor, if I may?
A Thank you.
Q The seven and a half months that you have identified for the age of the liabilities, according to GAAP principles, you cannot treat that as equity because it's not older than one year. Is that accurate?

A That's not the only test you would use to determine whether it's debt or equity, so using that one, that's a very broad test. It's acceptable.
However, in the industry we're looking at, it's quite extraordinary. Seven and a half months is quite extraordinary.
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Q Doctor, if I may ask you to focus on what I'm asking you.

A Surely.
Q The GAAP principles, only the GAAP principles.

A Yeah.
Q Is it accurate that seven and a half months --

MR. PALERMO: Asked and answered. JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. BY MR. CHU:
Q Okay. Now, so now tell us what other principle that's in your report that you identified, that you applied that was not GAAP, to come up with a conclusion that those amounts should be classified as equity. And I'm asking in the report, not somewhere else.

A In the report, I looked at the industry data. This company is an outlier to the industry, and it should be consistent with GAAP accounting, which is kind of defined within that industry. I find no basis other than financing reasons to conclude it's any -that it's really a payable, so to recharacterize it to equity.

Q Sorry, Doctor, I asked for a principle.

GAAP's a principle, right?
A GAAP is a generally accepted set of things, yes.

Q Okay. And so I'm asking you, identify for me what principle you used that's written in the report, there identified in your report. Any?

A No GAAP principle explicitly covers this.
No, there's no GAAP principle that covers this, yes.
Q I'm sorry, Professor. I'm not asking you -you've already answered the question about GAAP.

A Okay.
Q And you said GAAP is a poor set of rules in this situation, is that right?

MR. PALERMO: Objection. Mischaracterizes previous testimony.

JUDGE BIRO: No. Overruled. I think that's what he said. It is the core principles for accounting, general accrual and accounting principles. That's what they are.

THE WITNESS: General rules of accounting principles, and there's quite a list, but it doesn't cover everything. Then they need to be interpreted in particular situations.

BY MR. CHU:
Q Doctor, I'm going to ask the question.
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## Ready?

A Yes.
Q Please point out for this Court the stated principle that's written in your report that you utilized to make the determination that that seven and a half month aged debt is equity.

A Okay. It's extraordinary to the industry. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Move on. MR. CHU: Thank you. I'm finished, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thank you. MR. PALERMO: I have some questions for redirect.

JUDGE BIRO: Would you like to take a break, Dr. Carroll?

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. Maybe five minutes or so to stretch, just shake off --

JUDGE BIRO: Sure. That's fine.
THE WITNESS: Okay? That's all. Thank you so much.

JUDGE BIRO: We'll stand in recess 'til 2:30.
(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Palermo.
MR. PALERMO: Thank you.

|  | Page 525 |  | Page 527 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed. | 1 | money -- |
| 2 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 2 | A No. |
| 3 | BY MR. PALERMO: | 3 | Q -- owning -- |
| 4 | Q Dr. Carroll, could you restate your opinion | 4 | A They're investing the money and have |
| 5 | as to -- | 5 | continued to invest it by not collecting the payables |
| 6 | MR. CHU: Objection, asked and answered, | 6 | on a regular basis for a great number of years. |
| 7 | Judge. This is redirect. | 7 | Q So -- |
| 8 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. We haven't even heard the | 8 | MR. CHU: I didn't hear the last part, Your |
| 9 | whole question yet. | 9 | Honor. |
| 10 | MR. CHU: I'm sorry. | 10 | JUDGE BIRO: By not collecting the money for |
| 11 | MR. PALERMO: I could summarize your | 11 | a number of years? |
| 12 | opinion, but I'd like you just to -- to ask you some | 12 | THE WITNESS: Not getting repayment of the |
| 13 | questions -- | 13 | money on a regular basis for a great number of years. |
| 14 | MR. CHU: Objection to the sidebar, Your | 14 | BY MR. PALERMO: |
| 15 | Honor. | 15 | Q So, when I hear investment, I think stock. |
| 16 | MR. CHU: -- because you were asked about | 16 | Is that similar, a stock purchase? |
| 17 | them. | 17 | A It could -- they could get stock if they're |
| 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Hmm? | 18 | willing to straighten out the accounting. They could |
| 19 | MR. CHU: Objection to the sidebar. | 19 | say we'll take the money out of the liabilities, give |
| 20 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. You want to have a | 20 | you common stock of the company at a mutually |
| 21 | sidebar on this? | 21 | agreeable exchange rate, and we're done. |
| 22 | MR. CHU: No. I'm saying I'm objecting to | 22 | Q When you were in the cross-examination, |
| 23 | counsel's sidebar. I think it was directed at me. | 23 | there was some discussion about stretching out the |
| 24 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, sorry. Ask a question, any | 24 | payables. |
| 25 | question. | 25 | A Yes. |
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| 1 | BY MR. CHU: | 1 | Q What did you mean by that term? |
| 2 | Q Dr. Carroll, accounts payable, you | 2 | A Financing comes from many places. One of |
| 3 | recharacterized a lot of those accounts payable listed | 3 | the ones we talk about is a creditor's spontaneous |
| 4 | in the tax returns as equity. | 4 | financing because there's just no paperwork. It |
| 5 | A Yes. | 5 | happens very quickly. So what we have here is |
| 6 | Q What does that mean? | 6 | spontaneous financing done by the Chinese company, but |
| 7 | A It means the value of the company, because | 7 | it's been in place so long, a large -- it rolled over |
| 8 | it's now equity as opposed to debt, jumps by the | 8 | a bit, but it's a large number of places for a long |
| 9 | amount of the recharacterization, and it recognizes | 9 | period of time. It's really an injection of equity as |
| 10 | the risk return relationship of the Chinese company | 10 | opposed to a loan. |
| 11 | based on their continued investment in this particular | 11 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, I'm going to object to |
| 12 | business. | 12 | the answer as being vague. He described a Chinese |
| 13 | Q When you recharacterize the accounts payable | 13 | company. There are three Chinese companies here, so |
| 14 | as equity, is it really a debt? | 14 | it's vague. |
| 15 | A It's a debt to the owner. It's a debt I owe | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. |
| 16 | myself. That's the way to look at equity. It's money | 16 | BY MR. PALERMO: |
| 17 | owed the owner, so it's really something owed the | 17 | Q So are you suggesting that Taotao USA should |
| 18 | owner. And what that does is it takes out of other | 18 | delay paying its bills? |
| 19 | liabilities so the bank will now lend on a company | 19 | A That's one financing strategy that could be |
| 20 | that has now assets with no -- has a net worth that's | 20 | used to pay the debt, the penalty to EPA, and continue |
| 21 | larger. | 21 | in business without any change in operations, yes. |
| 22 | Q Well, isn't -- | 22 | Q Would that cause the Chinese suppliers then |
| 23 | A Because it's taken away the liability part, | 23 | to no longer sell products to Taotao USA because they |
| 24 | a large part of the liabilities, yes. Go ahead. | 24 | were delaying paying their bills? |
| 25 | Q Isn't the Chinese companies loaning the | 25 | MR. CHU: Objection. That calls for |

speculation.
JUDGE BIRO: Sustained.
BY MR. PALERMO:
Q Why didn't you use data on publicly held companies in your analysis?

A It's not relevant. I wanted to use the exact best data I could get, so I used -- the best data I could get is an exact NAICS Code, the exactsized company, with the correction made, and it's a privately held company. So very close to exactly resembling this company. That's the data I used.

Q Is Honda in the RMA data?
A No.
MR. CHU: Your Honor, I'm having problems hearing. If you could ask counsel to speak into the mike.

## BY MR. PALERMO:

Q Is Honda in the RMA data?
A No.
Q Why not?
A It's publicly traded.
Q In your report, the first page, you had
indicated that this report does not conduct -- does not constitute an audit. What did you mean when you wrote the term audit?
want to make sure that all the sales get reported in the books, all the cash payments gets recorded in the books. That means we have to go through and document all the systems and then run tests to make sure they're actually working. So that's part of the early part of an audit test. And this company is just not auditable because they haven't run those tests yet. So I couldn't -- no one could audit this company at this point, that I'm aware of, because they just don't have all the systems in place.

Then we go around and take -- test the systems to see if they're reliable. Based on the data I get, I'm not sure they are. If they are reliable, which I'm surprised they'd be, you would go ahead and start to check balances out at the end of the year.

So what we have is we've tested transactions through the year by taking samples. Then we take the balance sheet at the end of the year, do something called substantive testing that the bank account is right. We have to take a physical inventory and price that out to see what the inventory is at the end of the year. We take all the bank records and do a bank rec to make sure exactly what the bank balance is at the end of the year. Then we'd look for all the liabilities and figure out that they're correctly
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stated. And if I was doing an audit, I'd have to deal with this recharacterization issue, required.

Q What -- there was some testimony regarding RMA data and talk about using it for research. Does the banking industry use RMA data in decisions?

A Yes.
Q How is it used?
A It's a support for a decision. I notice the RMA language. They don't want to say they make the decision. They support the decision. So the RMA data is used as a decision-support device, and it's very hardly used.

Q Do investors, when determining whether to invest in a company --

A Uh-huh.
Q -- is RMA data a possible --
A It could be.
MR. CHU: Objection on relevance.
JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Okay. It could be, but the more common way of looking at it would be -- and probably part of the bigger decision in the RMA data would be looked at. When you make an investment decision, you're looking at a long-term prospects situation. So the RMA data would be part of it, but
there are other things that they'd look at as well.
BY MR. PALERMO:
Q Do you know what an arm's-length transaction is?

A Yes.
Q Was the transactions between Taotao USA and its Chinese suppliers arm's-length transactions? MR. CHU: Objection. It calls for speculation. A predicate has not been laid for this witness to answer that or give an expert opinion on that.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled.
Do you know?
THE WITNESS: Okay. The company says on -it's been identified in the documents, particularly the deposition, as well as the other background materials, support them as being related parties, related companies. So they were related companies. That's my -- part of my -- that's stated in my report that way.

## BY MR. PALERMO:

Q And if they're related companies, what does that mean in terms of when Taotao USA has to pay its obligations to the Chinese suppliers?

A It's a related party. We have to work it
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```
out. So the terms are all -- aren't really arm's
length. So it's a question of what the parties agree
to. It's what a related party is. It's not an arm's
length transaction. The key thing on that is it
doesn't represent fair market value, or in this case,
it doesn't represent typical terms. Typical terms
would be }30\mathrm{ to }60\mathrm{ days, not whenever I feel like it.
        MR. PALERMO: No further questions.
        MR. CHU: May it please the Court.
            RECROSS-EXAMINATION
        BY MR. CHU:
    Q Sir, you talked about typical terms.
    A Typical terms, yes.
    Q And you did no research on this industry as
a whole, is that correct?
    A I used the RMA data.
    Q You did no research on this industry as a
whole, did you?
        MR. PALERMO:Objection, asked and answered.
        JUDGE BIRO: Sustained.
        BY MR. CHU:
    Q Sir, your RMA data that you testified to was
        for vehicles, not for scooters, not for ATVs. Is that
        accurate?
    A I think the whole definition includes off-
```

road vehicles. We haven't read all the words yet, but part of it is off-road vehicles, the longer definition of the NAICS Code.

Q So you looked it up just now.
A No, sir. I looked it up in the past, but I don't recall all the words.

Q Didn't you testify earlier that motorcycles and these types of items would be under a different code?

MR. PALERMO: Objection, mischaracterizes previous testimony.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't recall testifying on motorcycles before. I think you asked me a question about motorcycles. What I looked at was the definition of the company, the code that Taotao picked. It needed to have one digit added, and that's what I used.

BY MR. CHU:
Q Sir, you keep on referring to the code that Taotao picked. Is it clear or not clear that that code does not exist?

A It's absolutely clear to me the double zero code does not exist.

Q Thank you. Now, sir, you said that Taotao

## Page 536

USA is a company that cannot be audited. Is that -did I hear that correctly?

A Right now, absolutely. I really believe
that. It's my opinion no one could -- it would take years to get the company into a position where the financial systems work well enough to develop an opinion letter.

Q So how many steps are there in an audit?
A The audit planning process? Okay. The audit planning process taking on a new client -- this was what it would be. First of all, you have to assess the company and the management and make sure they're believable and we want them as a client.

Q I'm sorry. I asked if you can a number.
How many steps are there to conduct an audit?
A It's still not numbers, sir. It's a big,
long process. You could count them in hundreds or thousands.

Q It's a hundred --
A Hundred, or you could break them into broad categories, which I was trying to do.

Q I just want to get your identification, explanation, interpretation of how many steps there are in an audit, if you can tell us.

A It's not really a numberable thing. It
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depends on how you define steps. I was giving the phase of an audit coming right out of my textbook and the audit processes. But the audit steps could be, if you count individual double checkmarks, it could be thousands and thousands.

Q Did you not state earlier that an audit is a validation of management's numbers?

A Yes.
Q So the audit basically is an investigation, confirmation, identification of the source of the numbers, as well as the calculations. Would you agree?

A Yes.
Q So, therefore, that is something that can be conducted with Taotao USA.

A No.
Q Why not?
A The systems look, in my opinion, look so weak, they have to be tested, and it would take a while to straighten out the systems to get the data right to do the audit. I would think that would take a couple of years to get the systems running right, to make sure that the systems are generating the correct data.

Q So using GAAP principles, you would take
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the -- at the beginning of the year, the current assets, liabilities, and what else?

MR. PALERMO: Objection. This line of questioning was asked and answered.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Okay. What I would do -- it
doesn't -- it generally doesn't really start at the beginning of the year because we pick an audit client up March or April, and then we say we're going to do an audit. And we're not going to get it done for that year because we have to get the opening balance right. The opening balance has already occurred.

So I can't take out a physical inventory because that's got to be tested and evaluated. This company has a large physical inventory. We have to go out and count everything, identify what we counted, extend it by the right prices or costs. So that's the opening balance. That has passed already.

## BY MR. CHU:

Q So do you see a difficulty in getting the opening balance?

A Yes.
Q What is the difficulty?
A The systems themselves look suspect from the records I've seen. Also, any company, whether it's a
good company or not, has to have systems that are working well to have an audit. You just don't say, oh, I'll do an audit right now. It's going to take a while to get the systems running well enough to be able to do an audit. I would expect the soonest I could get through this company would be a year and a half, certainly not 2017, maybe not even 2018. Maybe about 2019 they'd be ready for an opinion letter, at the end of 2019.

Q And so that's speaking from someone that's never conducted an audit. Is that accurate?

A It's been part of my process on the inside because I set up the systems so we were auditable and we could get it done.

Q When you worked at this Swedish company, right?

A Swedish company, yes, and others, but, yes, the Swedish company. We were audited, and I set up the systems so that it was auditable systems.

Q You were audited by the IRS or audited by an auditor?

A Well, we usually call -- IRS audits are a different thing.

Q Yes.
A These are -- financial statement audits are
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done by accounting firms. IRS audits are called compliance audits. They're different. And I was only interested in financial statement audits all throughout the day, not IRS audits.

Q Right. A compliance audit, right?
A An IRS is a compliance audit. Did you
follow the rules?
Q Okay.
A Financial statement audits, are the financial statements fairly presented. That's the real big difference between the two.

Q So, basically, it's the conclusion that a company like Taotao based only upon the income tax returns that you reviewed could not provide you with any additional information to set up the appropriate auditing protocol.

A To get done -- well, eventually, yes,
because you have the systems, and check them out. For 2017, not possible.

MR. CHU: Thank you. I pass the witness.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thank you, Doctor.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: I appreciate your patience.
Okay. We're going to release Dr. Carroll.
Do you have another witness you'd like to call this
afternoon?
MR. CHU: No objections here, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Thank you.
MR. KULSCHINSKY: Yes, Your Honor. We'd
like to call Ms. Amelie Isin to the stand.
Whereupon, AMELIE CARA ISIN
having been duly sworn, was called as a
witness and was examined and testified as follows:
MR. KULSCHINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Good afternoon, Ms. Isin.
A Good afternoon.
Q Could you please state your full name for the record?

A Amelie Cara Isin.
Q And where are you currently employed?
A I'm employed in the Region 3 Air Protection
Division at EPA.
Q When did you begin your employment with EPA
Region 3?
A In September of 2015.
Q And where were you employed prior to going to Region 3?
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A I was employed with EPA Headquarters, what's
now known as the Vehicle and Engine Enforcement Branch.

Q Did it have a different name?
A It was called the Mobile Source Enforcement Branch.

Q When did you begin your employment with the Mobile Source Enforcement Branch?

A In -- sometime in 2007.
Q And what was your title or position with the Mobile Source Enforcement Branch?

A I believe I was hired as a management analyst but then was promoted to environmental engineer.

Q Did you have a position with the EPA before you joined the Mobile Source Enforcement Branch?

A Yes, I did.
Q And what was that position?
A That was with EPA's Office of Compliance, also at Headquarters in the Information Utilization and Targeting Branch.

Q When did you first join the EPA?
A In 2003.
Q Could you please describe your educational background?

A I have a Bachelor of Science in Biology and International Studies from Yale University. And I have a Master's of Science in Environmental Engineering from Virginia Tech.

Q All right. Do you have any professional licenses or certifications?

A I have a professional engineer license from the State of Virginia, which I obtained in 2010, I believe.

Q Could you please turn to what's been marked as Complainant's Exhibit 155 ?

A Yes.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q And what is this document?
A This is my resume.
Q Did you --
MR. CHU: Your Honor, Respondents accept this exhibit.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to move this exhibit into evidence?

MR. KULSCHINSKY: Yes, Your Honor. I'd like to move CX-155 into evidence.

JUDGE BIRO: Accepted without objection. //
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(The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 155, was received in evidence.)
BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Ms. Isin, could you please describe your job duties when you were employed with the Mobile Source Enforcement Branch?

A Sure. I worked on vehicle and engine cases, primarily imports cases. I conducted inspections. I conducted investigations. I provided technical support for cases.

Q What kind of technical support would you provide?

A Kind of, you know, compiling the information on the violations, calculating the penalty, at least the initial penalty.

Q And this was with the vehicle and engine enforcement program?

A That's right.
Q Okay. Did you review motor vehicle emission test reports as part of your job?

A Yes, I did.
Q Do you know approximately how many you've
reviewed?
A For vehicles and engines, right?
Q Yes, ma'am.
A Okay. I would estimate maybe 50.
Q As part of your duties, would you
communicate or work with other offices at the EPA?
A Yes.
Q What offices were those?
A Primarily the Office of Transportation and Air Quality, also the Office of General Counsel.

Q And would you work with other federal agencies?

A Yes.
Q And which agencies were those predominantly?
A Department of Justice, Customs and Border Protection.

Q So, as part of your job, are you familiar with how the EPA regulates vehicles and engines?

A Yes.
Q Are you a lawyer?
A No.
Q Could you briefly explain in your own words your understanding of EPA's program for regulating vehicles and engines?

A Sure. It's a certification program, and it,
you know, relies heavily on the truth and accuracy of the manufacturer's description of what they plan to -the vehicle and engine that they plan to build. That vehicle and engine is described in what's called an application for certification, and it's accompanied with emissions test data relating to that vehicle or engine, showing that it meets applicable federal emission standards.

Vehicles are required -- vehicles that are sold in the United States are required to be either certified or exempt. The exemption process works much the same way. Depending on the type of exemption, manufacturers are typically required -- or importers are required to submit a request for that exemption to EPA.

Q Your employment with the Mobile Source Enforcement Branch, would you ever investigate specific companies?

A Yes.
Q What sort of resources would you use in your work to collect information about a company?

A Well, beyond the inspections themselves, we would look at the applications for certification to look at, you know, how the vehicle or engine was supposed to be built. We would do web research
occasionally to find out about the company and its business. We would look in Customs' ACE database -that's the Automated Commercial Environment database -- to look at import history. That's pretty much what I can think of right now.

Q You mentioned certificates of conformity. Would you review applications for certificates of conformity?

A Yes, as part of any inspection.
Q Did you collect documents using publicly available State resources?

A Yes, yes. Usually any case, I would check the Secretary of State website to find whatever information I could about the company or any other related entities.

Q Would you review company websites?
A Yes.
Q You mentioned something called the ACE database. Could you describe what that is and how you might use it?

A Sure. That's a U.S. Customs and Border Protection database. It's one of several that they use. It's, as far as I know, the only one that EPA has access to. It's a database which contains information, data, on all importations in the United
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States. You can search it by importer name. You can search it by date range. You can search it by product type.

Q And would you typically utilize this database in performing your duties?

A Yes.
Q Where does the information in ACE come from?
A I believe it's entered by the broker at the time of importation.

Q Approximately how many vehicle and engine inspections have you performed as an EPA employee?

A Probably on the order of 150 .
Q Have those predominantly been at ports of entry?

A Yeah. I would have to go back and check, but, yeah, probably.

Q Has your work focused on vehicle and engine importations?

A Yes.
Q Other than ports, what other kinds of locations have you inspected?

A Warehouses, retail locations.
Q When you inspect items at a port, where are they typically being imported from?

A They can be imported from anywhere. We

|  | Page 549 |  | Page 551 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | don't typically target by a country of origin. | 1 | the entire container at once and, you know, lay it out |
| 2 | Q What are you looking for when you conduct an | 2 | in rows usually. We would, prior to the inspection, |
| 3 | inspection? | 3 | we would make sure that we had a copy of all the |
| 4 | A We're looking to see that the vehicle or | 4 | paperwork related to the entry, describing what was in |
| 5 | engine was built according to the specifications | 5 | it. And we would use that paperwork to make sure that |
| 6 | described in the application for certification. | 6 | we were able to inspect at least one unique model |
| 7 | Q Do you use applications for certificates of | 7 | for -- that's in the entry. So, once we arrive at the |
| 8 | conformity in performing that task? | 8 | port, we would either unpack the box or uncrate the |
| 9 | A Yes. | 9 | vehicle. And we would document any identifying |
| 10 | Q Now, without divulging any confidential or | 10 | information related to that vehicle, look at all the |
| 11 | sensitive information, can you briefly explain what | 11 | labels on it. |
| 12 | factors the EPA considers when determining which | 12 | One person would be responsible for taking |
| 13 | importations to inspect? | 13 | photos and taking notes. Another would be responsible |
| 14 | A Sure. For port inspections, for example, a | 14 | for, you know, hands-on examination of the vehicle. |
| 15 | lot depends on what's available. We -- for most port | 15 | Q And what would you do after the physical |
| 16 | inspections, EPA has to travel since we don't | 16 | inspection that you described? |
| 17 | typically -- Washington, D.C., is not a port itself. | 17 | A We would compare what we had seen with what |
| 18 | Most -- one of the highest volume ports in the country | 18 | was in the application for certification. Sometimes, |
| 19 | is Long Beach, California, for example. So, if we're | 19 | at the exact time of inspection, sometimes we don't |
| 20 | planning a trip out there, a lot will depend on what's | 20 | have a copy of that application. Sometimes that |
| 21 | available at the time, how much time we have while | 21 | comparison is done when we get back to the office. |
| 22 | we're there. | 22 | Q Do you have any way of knowing how a vehicle |
| 23 | It also depends on the cooperation of | 23 | or engine should be built other than the application |
| 24 | Customs, what they are able to select for inspection. | 24 | for a certificate of conformity? |
| 25 | Typically, Customs would provide us with a list of | 25 | A No. |
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| 1 | what might be available, and then we would try to | 1 | Q What do you do if you discover a problem or |
| 2 | choose amongst those entries. We would pick those | 2 | irregularity during an inspection? |
| 3 | entries by importers that we haven't inspected before. | 3 | A We take note of all discrepancies and would |
| 4 | That would be a high priority, as well as high-volume | 4 | discuss it with management and attorneys in order |
| 5 | importers, is another area that we would focus on. | 5 | to -- for them to make a decision on the vehicle's |
| 6 | Q So is there a degree of randomness in | 6 | compliance. |
| 7 | determining which entries are inspected? | 7 | Q Do you decide if a violation of law has |
| 8 | A Yes. | 8 | occurred? |
| 9 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, can we get the mike up | 9 | A No. |
| 10 | on the -- | 10 | Q Who makes a decision whether to investigate |
| 11 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Is that better? | 11 | further? |
| 12 | MR. CHU: Thank you. | 12 | A Typically, that's done by management, EPA |
| 13 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Sure. | 13 | management. |
| 14 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 14 | Q Approximately how many investigations have |
| 15 | Q Are entries ever selected by Customs and | 15 | you been part of? |
| 16 | Border Protection? | 16 | A If you mean cases, it's probably the same |
| 17 | A Yes, they are. Occasionally, Customs and | 17 | number of investigations as cases, so probably about |
| 18 | Border Protection will seek our recommendation as far | 18 | 50. |
| 19 | as Clean Air Act compliance on a specific entry or | 19 | Q And what's your typical role or duties |
| 20 | group of entries. | 20 | during an investigation as opposed to an inspection? |
| 21 | Q What occurs during a typical port inspection | 21 | A As part of an investigation, I collect all |
| 22 | that you would conduct? | 22 | the information related to the violations, |
| 23 | A Well, once we're there, typically, Customs | 23 | investigate -- do research on the company, its import |
| 24 | has already unloaded the product, so they're sort of | 24 | history, look at the Secretary of State website, |
| 25 | quarantined in one area of the warehouse. They unload | 25 | calculate the initial penalty, possibly send an |

information request letter if we want more information.

Q Approximately how many penalties have you calculated?

A Probably at least 50 .
Q Were those all in vehicle and engine matters?

A Yes.
Q Could you please turn to what's been marked as Complainant's Exhibit 22?

MR. CHU: Sorry. What number?
JUDGE BIRO: Twenty-two.
MR. CHU: Twenty-two?
THE WITNESS: I'm there.
BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Okay. Do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q Identify it, please.
A Clean Air Act Mobile Source Civil Penalty Policy.

Q And have you used this document when calculating penalties in your investigations?

A Yes.
Q Every one?
A Yes.
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Q Did you use it in this case?
A Yes.
Q And is this a true, accurate, and complete copy of the penalty policy that you used in this case?

A Yes.
Q Were you ever trained or instructed in how to use this policy?

A Yes.
Q And who trained you in that?
A Managers at the time, team leaders, as well as branch chiefs.

Q Okay. Now, when you calculate a penalty, do you calculate it alone, or are other individuals involved in that process?

A I do the initial calculation on my own, and then I -- we meet with EPA management attorneys and get everyone's input.

Q Do you make all of the decisions in how to calculate a penalty?

A No.
Q Can you describe your understanding of the purpose of the penalty policy?

A It's to provide a consistent way of calculating penalties that are below the statutory maximum. It's to ensure a level playing field across
a wide range of different types of companies making different types of products.

MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, at this time, I'd like to move Complainant's Exhibit 22 into the record.

JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Chu, do you object?
MR. CHU: I would object to the extent that this is a 2009 stamped-date document. I don't believe we've heard any evidence as to whether there's been any modifications or amendments and that this is actually the final dated policy. So I would object to the foundation that this is the actual existing policy that the EPA has available to them.

JUDGE BIRO: That's what she used, so overruled. We're going to admit Exhibit 22.
(The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 22, was received in evidence.)

## BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:

Q Ms. Isin, is it your understanding that this is the current version of the penalty policy?

A Yes.
Q Could you please turn to Complainant's Exhibits 23 and 24 and take a look at those documents,
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please?
A Sure. Exhibit 23 is amendments to EPA's
Civil Penalty Policy to account for inflation.
Q And could you identify Complainant's Exhibit $24 ?$

A 24 is another amendment to EPA's Civil Penalty Policy to account for inflation. That one's dated July of 2016.

Q Okay. And how are you familiar with these documents?

A They're publicly available.
Q Did you use them when calculating the proposed penalty in this matter?

A Yes, yes.
MR. KULSCHINSKY: All right. Your Honor, at this time, I'd like to move into evidence
Complainant's Exhibit 23 and Complainant's Exhibit 24.
MR. CHU: No objections.
JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibits 23 and
24 are admitted into the record.
(The documents referred to, previously identified as
Complainant's Exhibit No. 23
and 24 , were received in evidence.)

BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Could you please turn to Complainant's
Exhibit 25? Do you recognize this document?
A This is guidance on determining a violator's ability to pay.

Q And how are you familiar with this document?
A I used it in the penalty calculation here.
Q And do you generally use it in your work
when you calculate penalties?
A Yes.
MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, at this time,
I'd like to offer Complainant's Exhibit 25 into evidence.

## JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Chu?

MR. CHU: Yeah. If I could understand the purpose it's being offered. Is it for the truth of the matter or just simply that this is a policy that they use?

JUDGE BIRO: Well, it's relevant and material because she used it in calculating the penalty in this case.

MR. CHU: I understand.
JUDGE BIRO: So we're going to admit it for that purpose.

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
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JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 25 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 25, was received in evidence.)
BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q So, Ms. Isin, speaking generally, not this specific case, can you explain how you would calculate a penalty in a vehicle and engine case?

A Sure. You start with the number of vehicles in violation. Actually, there's about three main factors. There's the -- three main inputs. There's the number of vehicles or engines in violation. There's the horsepower of those vehicles or engines. And then there's the gravity -- I mean, sorry, the egregiousness of those violations.

And in this case, you know, we know the number of vehicles and engines in violation. That's already been decided. The horsepower was obtained from the applications for certification for each engine family. In instances where there were two power ratings listed in the application for certification, we took the average of the two. I believe the power rating was typically listed in
kilowatts. We applied a conversion factor to convert kilowatts to horsepower. And then we took the horsepower multiplier from Table 1 of the vehicle -of the EPA Mobile Source Civil Penalty Policy, and I believe the applicable multiplier for vehicles in this power range is about $\$ 15$ per vehicle, so we multiplied that by the horsepower and that gave us the base per vehicle penalty.

Then we took that number -- or the next step was to look at the egregiousness. We -- for Counts 1 through 8 , we used a moderate egregiousness multiplier of 3.5 -- sorry, 3.25. That's listed in Table 2 of EPA's Civil Penalty Policy. And for Counts 9 and 10, we used a major egregiousness multiplier of 6.5.

Let's see. So then we multiplied that -sorry.

Q I think I asked you to describe generally how would you calculate it.

A Oh, I'm sorry.
Q But can you just describe broadly how you calculated the penalty in this matter?

A I used the Mobile Source Civil Penalty
Policy, which involves looking at gravity and economic benefit, history of noncompliance, remediation.

Q I just want to be clear.

## Page 560

A Other factors.
Q The description you just gave, was that how you calculated the penalty in this matter?

A Yes. Well, yeah, that was the beginning of it.

JUDGE BIRO: Yeah, she was on a roll. (Laughter.)
MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I -BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Are you familiar with the Respondents in this matter?

A I know who they are.
Q You know Taotao USA?
A Yes.
Q And how long have you known of Taotao USA?
A I think I first became aware of them in 2010. We had a case against them in 2010. They imported -- when I first heard about them was they had imported quite a few entries into the Port of Long Beach in spring of 2010. Those vehicles from those entries were inspected by EPA, and we found that the carburetors were non-compliant. At that point, I think someone from Customs put Mike Hillman from Taotao USA in touch with me.

Q So did Customs bring Taotao to your
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | attention? | 1 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 2 | A Yes. To the best of my recollection, | 2 | Q Okay. Let's try that again so we're clear. |
| 3 | that's -- | 3 | Could you take a look at Complainant's Exhibit 30, and |
| 4 | Q Was there a company with a different name | 4 | what is that document? |
| 5 | before Taotao USA? | 5 | A It's a Certificate of Fact from the Texas |
| 6 | A Yes. There was another company importing | 6 | Secretary of State website. |
| 7 | the same types of vehicles made by Zhejiang Taotao | 7 | Q Okay. And 31? |
| 8 | Industry Company, Limited. It was the largest | 8 | A It's also a Certificate of Fact from the |
| 9 | importer of recreational vehicles at the time, called | 9 | Texas Secretary of State website. |
| 10 | Aimex. | 10 | Q And are you familiar with these documents? |
| 11 | MR. CHU: Objection, relevance, Your Honor, | 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | and hearsay. | 12 | Q How are you familiar with these documents? |
| 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. You can | 13 | A I believe I pulled them from the Texas |
| 14 | answer. | 14 | Secretary of State website. |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: The company's name was Aimex. | 15 | Q And are these typical documents that you |
| 16 | It was also -- I think it was also doing business as | 16 | would obtain and keep in your files during an |
| 17 | Vicoo. That company, we had a case against it | 17 | investigation? |
| 18 | involving a number of different types of violations. | 18 | A Yes. |
| 19 | And, in 2010, when we were trying to settle the case, | 19 | Q Are they true, accurate, and complete copies |
| 20 | the company went out of business. | 20 | of the documents that you remember pulling for your |
| 21 | At the same time, independently, we had | 21 | investigation? |
| 22 | these entries by Taotao USA. And when we heard -- | 22 | A Yes. |
| 23 | it's my understanding, you know, at the time, Taotao | 23 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 24 | USA explained that Vicoo, the company, Aimex Vicoo, | 24 | move to admit Complainant's Exhibits 30 and 31 into |
| 25 | was run by Mr. Matao Cao's sister and that, you know, | 25 | evidence. |
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| 1 | while they were going out of business, Taotao was | 1 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, we'd object on the |
| 2 | going to do it right. And -- | 2 | basis of proper predicate, authentication, and that |
| 3 | MR. CHU: Objection, nonresponsive, Your | 3 | these documents are hearsay. There are proper ways to |
| 4 | Honor, to the question. | 4 | get these documents in, and just being an exact copy |
| 5 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. | 5 | is not the proper predicate. |
| 6 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Complainant's |
| 7 | Q So have you since done research into Taotao | 7 | Exhibits 30 and 31 are admitted into the record. |
| 8 | USA? | 8 | (The documents referred to, |
| 9 | A Yes. | 9 | previously identified as |
| 10 | Q Could you please turn to Complainant's | 10 | Complainant's Exhibit Nos. 30 |
| 11 | Exhibits 30 and 31? | 11 | and 31 , were received in |
| 12 | A Yes. | 12 | evidence.) |
| 13 | Q Do you recognize these documents? | 13 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 14 | A Yes, I do. | 14 | Q Ms. Isin, are you familiar with the |
| 15 | Q What are they? | 15 | violations alleged in this matter? |
| 16 | A They're documents from the Texas Secretary | 16 | A Yes. |
| 17 | of State database on Taotao USA, Inc. At least that's | 17 | Q Okay. And how are you familiar with the |
| 18 | what 31 is. 32 is the same thing actually. | 18 | violations in this matter? |
| 19 | JUDGE BIRO: Wait. Are you talking about 30 | 19 | A I was the lead investigator on the case |
| 20 | and 31 ? | 20 | against Taotao USA, Inc. and other Respondents. |
| 21 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: 30 and 31. | 21 | Q Did you review the applications for |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry, sorry. Yes. 30 is | 22 | certificates of conformity and the certificates of |
| 23 | documents from Texas Secretary of State for Taotao | 23 | conformity for the engine families identified in the |
| 24 | USA, Inc. | 24 | amended complaint? |
| 25 | // | 25 | A Yes. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q Did you estimate the approximate value of | 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So can we admit Exhibit |
| 2 | the vehicles involved in this case? | 2 | 189 ? |
| 3 | A Yes, I did. | 3 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor, as a summary of |
| 4 | Q And could you please explain how you did | 4 | her testimony. |
| 5 | that? | 5 | JUDGE BIRO: All right. Complainant's |
| 6 | A That was based on a review of the | 6 | Exhibit 189 is admitted into the record. |
| 7 | importation paperwork for various models of vehicles. | 7 | (The document referred to, |
| 8 | I believe it's laid out in one of our exhibits. I | 8 | previously identified as |
| 9 | looked at the per unit price for each model, and I | 9 | Complainant's Exhibit No. |
| 10 | multiplied by the number of models we had in our case. | 10 | 189, was received in |
| 11 | Q All right. Do you recall today what you | 11 | evidence.) |
| 12 | calculated the approximate value of the vehicles to | 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed. |
| 13 | be? | 13 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 14 | A Yes. It was about $\$ 43$ million. | 14 | Q All right. Ms. Isin, could you please look |
| 15 | Q Could you turn to what's been marked as | 15 | through what have been marked as Complainant's |
| 16 | Complainant's Exhibit 189? | 16 | Exhibits 183 through 188? |
| 17 | A Yes. | 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | Q Do you recognize this document? | 18 | Q All right. Do you recognize these |
| 19 | A Yes, I do. | 19 | documents? |
| 20 | Q And how do you recognize it? | 20 | A Yes. These appear to be importation |
| 21 | A It's a chart that I put together. | 21 | documents for vehicles that I inspected in Dallas, |
| 22 | Q And what is it a chart of? | 22 | Texas, at Taotao USA, Inc.'s warehouse. |
| 23 | A It's a chart showing the total declared | 23 | Q And do you routinely handle and review |
| 24 | value of the vehicles in this case, broken down by | 24 | shipping documents like these as part of your job? |
| 25 | engine family. | 25 | A Yes, I do. |
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| 1 | Q And can you explain what the right-hand | 1 | Q And did these documents show declared values |
| 2 | column indicates? | 2 | for vehicles from engine families identified in this |
| 3 | A The right-most column shows the exhibit | 3 | case? |
| 4 | number from which I pulled the per-unit declared | 4 | A Yes. |
| 5 | value, which is shown in the third -- the middle | 5 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 6 | column. | 6 | move the admission of Complainant's Exhibits 183 |
| 7 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, just in order | 7 | through 188. |
| 8 | to move it along, I'd like to ask Ms. Isin to look at | 8 | MR. CHU: No objections, Your Honor. |
| 9 | a range of documents, and we'll go through them. But | 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibits 183 to |
| 10 | is it acceptable if I ask her to look at multiple | 10 | 188 are admitted into the record. |
| 11 | documents? I know I've already been doing that a bit, | 11 | (The documents referred to, |
| 12 | but -- | 12 | previously identified as |
| 13 | JUDGE BIRO: You want to mark this into -- | 13 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 183 |
| 14 | move this into evidence? | 14 | through 188, were received in |
| 15 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: I was going to lay a | 15 | evidence.) |
| 16 | little more foundation, but we can move it into | 16 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 17 | evidence now. | 17 | Q Now, Ms. Isin, could you please turn to 183 ? |
| 18 | JUDGE BIRO: I'm sure Mr. Chu has an | 18 | A Yes. |
| 19 | objection. | 19 | Q All right. What was the date of this entry? |
| 20 | MR. CHU: Let me look -- | 20 | A I believe it's shown on Bates No. 2450, |
| 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Surprise me. | 21 | ending in 2450 . The entry date is listed in Box 7. |
| 22 | MR. CHU: Let me look right quick, Your | 22 | It's October 16, 2013. |
| 23 | Honor. I don't want the Court to always be right | 23 | Q And do you know which engine family these |
| 24 | there. Let me see. The documents look good to me, | 24 | documents correspond to? |
| 25 | Your Honor. | 25 | A Yes. I believe there's a certificate |

included in here.
Q Could you identify where that is for the Court?

A It's the Bates number ending in 2457. It looks like it's engine family ETAOC.049MC2.

Q Is a certificate of conformity or a copy of one required paperwork with each entry?

> MR. CHU: Objection to the extent it calls
for a legal conclusion. JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: To my understanding, it's not.
It's often included, but it's not always included.

```
        BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
```

Q Could you please turn to Bates 2454?
A Yes.
Q What is depicted on this page?
A Sorry. I couldn't hear you.
Q What is this?
A This is a -- it looks like a Department of
Transportation declaration form. It shows that the vehicle was manufactured by Zhejiang Taotao and imported by Taotao USA, Inc., and signed here by Matao Cao, President of Taotao USA, Inc.

Q You mentioned Zhejiang Taotao. Where are you looking on the page?
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A I'm looking at the top left-hand corner, second row.

Q And does that box read "Make of Vehicle"?
A Yes, it does.
Q And is your understanding that that's the
same as the manufacturer of the vehicle?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And could you please turn to the next page, Bates 2455 ?

A Yes.
Q And what does this depict?
A This is an EPA declaration form. This is paperwork we require as part of each entry to show whether a vehicle is certified or exempt and how it's certified or exempt.

Q And does this form identify the manufacturer?

A Yes, it does, in Box 6, towards the middle. It says Zhejiang Taotao. It's also signed by Matao Cao, President. Actually, it looks like it's signed by Mike Hillman for Matao Cao, President, Taotao USA, Inc.

Q Could you identify where this document identifies the declared value of the vehicles?

A Sure. If you look at the Bates number
ending in 2452, that's the commercial invoice. You should see in the middle of the page it lists, you know, both the model, the quantity of vehicles in the entry, and then the per-unit price of $\$ 430$.

Q And I've been using the term declared value. Do you know -- can you explain what a declared value is?

A As far as I know, the declared value is the price that the importer paid for the goods they're importing.

Q And is the price listed on this page the declared value?

A It's the per-unit price. If you multiply that per-unit price by the number of vehicles in the entry, you will get the declared value for the entry.

Q And without belaboring the point, Complainant's Exhibits 184 through 188, do each of those exhibits identify a declared value on a commercial invoice?

A Yes, they do.
Q And do they all identify a manufacturer?
A Yes, they do.
Q And do you know who the manufacturer identified in each exhibit is?

A I don't know off the top of my head without
going through each one, but I believe it might be the same. It might be Zhejiang Taotao for each one.

Q Now could you please turn to what's been marked Complainant's Exhibit 61? And could you please look through 61 -- yeah. I'll leave it at that.

A Yeah. I'm looking at 61.
Q Okay. Do you recognize this exhibit or this document?

A Yes. This is an inspection worksheet. It's for one of the vehicles in this case.

Q And how do you recognize it?
A It's one of the set of documents that I
collected as part of my investigation.
Q And, again, do you know which engine family this exhibit corresponds to?

A Yes. If you look down on the inspection worksheet, you can see towards the bottom it says TAOC -- CTAOC. $049 \mathrm{MC1}$ as the engine family.

Q And just looking through this, is this a true, accurate, and complete copy of the inspection document that you collected for your files?

A Yes. And I'll note, you know, the importation paperwork is attached to it.

MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to move CX-61 into evidence.

|  | Page 573 |  | Page 575 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. CHU: The same objection, Your Honor, | 1 | (The document referred to, |
| 2 | lack of proper predicate, authentication, and hearsay. | 2 | previously identified as |
| 3 | This is not a document that was created by the EPA. | 3 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 64, |
| 4 | It appears it's created by Customs, and we really | 4 | was received in evidence.) |
| 5 | don't know, so the proper predicate has not been laid. | 5 | MR. CHU: And for the record, are you |
| 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Exhibit 61 is | 6 | granting my running objection without having to state |
| 7 | admitted into evidence. | 7 | specifically? |
| 8 | (The document referred to, | 8 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. |
| 9 | previously identified as | 9 | MR. CHU: Thank you. |
| 10 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 61, | 10 | JUDGE BIRO: I overruled it. |
| 11 | was received in evidence.) | 11 | MR. CHU: Thank you. |
| 12 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 12 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 13 | Q And did you use this document to develop | 13 | Q All right. You mentioned that shipping |
| 14 | your table in Complainant's Exhibit 189? | 14 | paperwork is attached to this or part of this |
| 15 | A Yes, I did. | 15 | document. Can you identify the declared value? |
| 16 | Q All right. Could you please turn to | 16 | A Sure. I see here on Bates ending in 730 |
| 17 | Complainant's Exhibit 64? | 17 | that the per-unit price is $\$ 720$ on the commercial |
| 18 | A Yes. | 18 | invoice. |
| 19 | Q Do you recognize this document? | 19 | Q I'm sorry. What Bates number was that? |
| 20 | A Yes. This is another inspection worksheet | 20 | A 730 . |
| 21 | and attached importation paperwork. This one is for | 21 | Q And what was the per-unit price? |
| 22 | engine family DTAOXO.12A1T. | 22 | A $\$ 320$. |
| 23 | Q And how do you recognize this document? | 23 | Q Thank you. Could you please turn to |
| 24 | A This is another set of documents that I | 24 | Complainant's Exhibit 140? |
| 25 | collected for this investigation. | 25 | A Yes. |
|  | Page 574 |  | Page 576 |
| 1 | Q And, again, is it a true, accurate, and | 1 | Q And what is this document? |
| 2 | complete copy of the document you collected for your | 2 | A These are -- this is importation paperwork |
| 3 | investigation? | 3 | for Count 9 in our case. |
| 4 | A Yes. | 4 | Q And how do you recognize this document? |
| 5 | Q And does this form part of the basis for the | 5 | A It was -- I collected it as part of my |
| 6 | table depicted in $189 ?$ | 6 | investigation. |
| 7 | A Yes. | 7 | Q And was it provided to you by U.S. Customs? |
| 8 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to | 8 | A Yes, it was. |
| 9 | move admission of Complainant's Exhibit 64. | 9 | Q And, again, is it a true, accurate, and |
| 10 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, we'd ask for a running | 10 | complete copy of what's in your file? |
| 11 | objection as to these same types of documents | 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | allegedly from Customs. | 12 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 13 | JUDGE BIRO: How did you come to have these | 13 | move the admission of Complainant's Exhibit 140. |
| 14 | documents? Where did you get them from? | 14 | MR. CHU: Same objection, Your Honor. |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: Well, whenever we do an | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Complainant's |
| 16 | inspection at the port, we request them from Customs. | 16 | Exhibit 140 is admitted into the record. |
| 17 | So these documents came directly from U.S. Customs. | 17 | (The document referred to, |
| 18 | JUDGE BIRO: They routinely come in the | 18 | previously identified as |
| 19 | course of you doing your business -- | 19 | Complainant's Exhibit No. |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 20 | 140, was received in |
| 21 | JUDGE BIRO: -- for the agency? | 21 | evidence.) |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 22 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. I'm going to admit over | 23 | Q Can you identify which engine family this |
| 24 | objection Complainant's Exhibit 64. | 24 | paperwork corresponds to? |
| 25 | // | 25 | A Sure. It's FTAOX0.15G2T. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q And where in this document are you | 1 | A It was obtained from Customs, and it's part |
| 2 | determining that? | 2 | of the collection of documents that I put together for |
| 3 | A So this is a tough one from the paperwork. | 3 | this case. |
| 4 | They have a certificate in Bates number ending in 1916 | 4 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: All right. And I'd like |
| 5 | for GTAOX0.15G2T. That is a model year '16 | 5 | to move 148 into evidence. |
| 6 | recreational vehicle. However -- | 6 | MR. CHU: Same objection, Your Honor. |
| 7 | Q How do you know that it's model year '16? | 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Over Respondents' objection, |
| 8 | A Oh, because the first letter of the engine | 8 | 148 is admitted into the record. |
| 9 | family usually denotes the model year. So A would be | 9 | (The document referred to, |
| 10 | 2010, B would be 2011, and so forth. So, in this | 10 | previously identified as |
| 11 | case, G is equivalent to model year 2016. | 11 | Complainant's Exhibit No. |
| 12 | Q Does the first digit ever not denote the | 12 | 148, was received in |
| 13 | model year? | 13 | evidence.) |
| 14 | A Not as far as I know. | 14 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 15 | Q Okay. Please continue explaining how you | 15 | Q And which engine family does this correspond |
| 16 | determined what -- | 16 | to? |
| 17 | A So this entry actually had both 2016 and | 17 | A Looking at Bates ending in 1954, I see the |
| 18 | 2015 model vehicles, and the way we see that is if you | 18 | certificate for the engine family in Count 10 of our |
| 19 | flip to Bates 1917, which is a VIN list of the | 19 | case, GTAOX0.15G2T. |
| 20 | vehicles in the entry, going through the first column | 20 | Q And can you identify where the declared |
| 21 | of VINs, you'll notice that the top two VINs have a -- | 21 | value is listed in this exhibit? |
| 22 | if you look at the tenth digit of the VIN, if you look | 22 | A If you look at the Bates number ending in |
| 23 | at the F, like Frank, that denotes a 2015 model year | 23 | 1950, you see the commercial invoice, which shows a |
| 24 | vehicle. And you'll see that the first two are Fs, | 24 | per-unit price of $\$ 1,123$ per unit. |
| 25 | but then the subsequent VINs all have G in the tenth | 25 | Q What names are on the commercial invoice? |
|  | Page 578 |  | Page 580 |
| 1 | digit, which denotes 2016. So, from this entry, we | 1 | A What date? |
| 2 | actually inspected a 2016 vehicle as well as a 2015 | 2 | Q What names? |
| 3 | vehicle. | 3 | A Oh, what names, sorry. The commercial |
| 4 | Q Could you please turn to Bates 1914? | 4 | invoice header actually says Jinyun Tuoyu Industry |
| 5 | A Yes. | 5 | Company, Limited. The invoice is to Taotao USA, Inc., |
| 6 | Q And do you see Taotao USA's name on this | 6 | and there's a stamp that says Jinyun Tuoyu Industry |
| 7 | document? | 7 | Company, Limited. |
| 8 | A Yes, I see it listed as the -- I believe | 8 | Q Ms. Isin, you mentioned earlier, you |
| 9 | it's the consignee. | 9 | testified that you calculated the penalty in this |
| 10 | Q And who is listed as the notified party? | 10 | matter. |
| 11 | A Daction Trading. | 11 | A Yes, I did. |
| 12 | Q Could you please turn to Complainant's | 12 | Q What does the economic benefit component of |
| 13 | Exhibit 148? | 13 | a penalty represent? |
| 14 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: This is the last one, Your | 14 | A It's supposed to recover the economic |
| 15 | Honor. | 15 | advantage derived from the violations, which could |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 16 | include any competitive advantage the company gained |
| 17 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 17 | through the violations, any profit they made related |
| 18 | Q Do you recognize this exhibit? | 18 | to the violations, any voided costs as well. |
| 19 | A Yes, I do. It's documents obtained from | 19 | Q What types of expenses or benefits did you |
| 20 | Customs that I collected as part of my investigation | 20 | consider to be relevant to the calculation of the |
| 21 | in this case. | 21 | economic benefit penalty component in this case? |
| 22 | Q In the same fashion as the previous exhibits | 22 | A Well, the cost, in my opinion, it would be, |
| 23 | we've been discussing? | 23 | you know, the voided cost of all the testing and |
| 24 | A Say that again? | 24 | monitoring that they should have been doing to catch |
| 25 | Q How are you familiar with this document? | 25 | these violations earlier, as well as the cost of the |

compliant catalytic converters.
Q And how did you determine the economic benefit component of the penalty being proposed today?

MR. CHU: Your Honor, I believe that the EPA has adopted the benefit analysis by our experts at this point, so I would object to the relevancy of this line of questioning.

MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, my understanding is that the Respondents continue to dispute the economic benefit portion of the penalty proposal. If they're prepared to stipulate to that, we'll be happy to move along.

MR. CHU: I didn't hear the last part.
JUDGE BIRO: Do you stipulate to the economic benefit portion of the penalty calculation by the agency?

MR. CHU: No, not their calculation. On their motion to reduce, they made a judicial admission that Complainant accepts Mr. Shefftz's economic benefit scenario four as the measure of Respondents' economic benefit of noncompliance in this matter. It's judicial -- I mean administrative admission. I'm sorry.

MR. KULSCHINSKY: Yes, Your Honor. And if the Respondents are prepared to stipulate that that
that, we're objecting to that testimony at this time.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. But are you going to argue for a number that's lesser than whatever --

MR. CHU: That's one of the models, yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So we're not really stipulating to that number.

MR. CHU: No, we're not stipulating. All I'm saying is there's an administrative admission, and I'm objecting to them going past that with a different number.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. I understand.
Proceed.
MR. KULSCHINSKY: So --
JUDGE BIRO: I think you still have to prove that that number is, in fact, the economic benefit.

BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q So I believe my question was, Ms. Isin, how did you calculate the economic benefit in this matter, or how did you determine the economic benefit in the proposed penalty?

A So initially we used the rule of thumb because we didn't have information on the cost of compliant catalytic converters or testing that the company should have done, although we had requested

## Page 582

was the economic benefit, then we can move along.
JUDGE BIRO: But do you agree -- will you stipulate that that was the economic benefit that the Respondents received as shown in your expert's report?

MR. CHU: As to the -- all we're saying,
Your Honor, is that they've accepted that. We still have arguments on this. I don't want to be tied to a number, so if it's a question of having to get tied to a number, even though they've made a administrative admission as to the number --

JUDGE BIRO: Well, they've accepted your number, but are you going to contest that number, or that you agree that that is the number for economic benefit?

MR. CHU: What we're saying is we have other scenarios, but we don't want the number to be any greater than that number. We're going to be presenting other scenarios. Also, to accept that four is to preclude me from talking about the other scenarios for this Court to decide on.

JUDGE BIRO: So we're not stipulating to that number. Is that right?

MR. CHU: All we're saying is that they made an administrative admission to accepting that number, and if she comes with a number that's greater than
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it. Then we received the report written by Jonathan Shefftz, which laid out four different scenarios for economic benefit calculation in this case, and we adopted the fourth scenario, which is based -- I think it's an economic benefit of about 219 or $\$ 229,000$. And it's based on the cost -- the difference in cost between compliant and non-compliant catalytic converters, as well as, I believe, four years of staff time for prevention of these types of violations.

Q And why was the scenario four proposed by Mr. Shefftz accepted as the economic benefit?

A I think we felt that it most closely aligned to the penalty policy. Page 8 of our penalty policy actually specifically lays out how in catalytic converter cases you can use the cost of a compliant catalyst as a component of the economic benefit.

Q What does the gravity component of a penalty represent?

A It represents the seriousness of the violation, the significance of the violation.

Q And how did you calculate the gravity component of the penalty being proposed today?

A So I had started to go into that earlier. Would you like me to pick up where I left off, or should I start from scratch?
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JUDGE BIRO: No. We don't need to start again.

BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q You can pick up where you left off.
A Okay. Okay. So I think I had discussed how we obtained the horsepower and what multipliers we used for egregiousness. Once the per-vehicle -- the base per-vehicle penalty is multiplied by the adjusted gravity, it gets scaled, and the scaling is done according to Table 3 of our penalty policy, where the most weight is given to, you know, the first 10 vehicles, and then there's percentage reductions for subsequent -- for the next 90 vehicles, for the next 900 vehicles, and so forth.

We -- when you scale for volume, you always start with the vehicle with the highest base pervehicle penalty. In this case, we restarted our scaling for Counts 9 and 10 , meaning we have two sets of vehicles that have the highest per-vehicle penalty at full value.

MR. CHU: Your Honor, may it please the Court. I believe that this is the area of the issue of the DOJ letter, and in this situation, the evidence has shown that the -- there are no emissions violations on the history of this -- these -- this
of these vehicles?
A Yes, I did.
Q And why did you think it was appropriate to look there?

A Because that's the best description of the vehicles and engines that EPA has.

Q Okay. Could you speak more about how did you evaluate the egregiousness of the violations in this matter?

A Sure. So, for Counts 1 through 8, we had some low-hour emission test results from Taotao based on a test order that we sent them in 2014. Taotao took those low-hour results and multiplied a deterioration factor to those low-hour results to get full use, full-life results, which were I think in all but one case below the applicable emissions standard.

I guess, you know, I have some concerns with the deterioration factor that they used because those deterioration factors were obtained from the application for certification, which our whole case is about how the vehicles that were built did not conform to those applications, so --

MR. CHU: Your Honor, we're going to object to this witness providing expert testimony in reference to deterioration rates. She has not been
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Respondent, Taotao USA. And I'm afraid that if the Court allows the discussions on using emissions that we are going beyond what the DOJ has permitted this proceeding to handle.

JUDGE BIRO: Well, here she's just going to tell me how she calculated her penalty. Whether it goes beyond or not, I'll determine.

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Please proceed. THE WITNESS: Sorry. BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q You mentioned that you restarted the scaling for Counts 9 and 1 , is that correct?

A Yes, I did.
Q And why did you do that?
A We did that because Counts 9 and 10 we discovered after filing the complaint, the initial complaint in this case. We felt typically the penalty policy allows the litigation team to decide whether to -- how to group the violations for a penalty calculation. And we typically restart scaling when -in cases where we have a number of model years, and we do it to reflect the longevity of the violations.

Q Did you refer to the applications for certificates of conformity to calculate the horsepower
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identified, nor qualified, to testify in that area.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. But she's just testifying how she calculated her penalty. So just stick to that.

THE WITNESS: Sure. So Counts 1 through 8, we gave Taotao the benefit of a moderate egregiousness, although I think one could make an argument that they would be major. For Counts 9 and 10 , we assessed a major egregiousness. We did not have any test data to rely on, and under the penalty policy, in cases where you're dealing with a certification violation of an emission-related part -in this case, the catalyst is the primary emission control device on these vehicles -- it's appropriate to assess major egregiousness.

MR. CHU: Your Honor, at this time, we would urge our objection to the use or inclusion of any emission type of element in determining the penalty policy. That would be in violation of what the DOJ approved for this proceeding.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Ms. Isin, could you please turn to what's been marked as Complainant's Exhibit 92?

A Sorry, 192 or 92 ?

|  | Page 589 |  | Page 591 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q 92, 0-9-2. | 1 | for a change -- |
| 2 | A Yes. | 2 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 3 | Q All right. Do you recognize this document? | 3 | JUDGE BIRO: -- I'm going to admit 93 into |
| 4 | A Yes, I do. | 4 | the record. |
| 5 | Q What is this document? | 5 | (The document referred to, |
| 6 | A This is the notice of violation that we sent | 6 | previously identified as |
| 7 | to Respondents on December 24, 2013. | 7 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 93, |
| 8 | Q And how do you recognize it? | 8 | was received in evidence.) |
| 9 | A I assisted in drafting this document. | 9 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 10 | Q Is this a typical document that you | 10 | Q Could you please turn to Complainant's |
| 11 | might -- your office would send in the course of an | 11 | Exhibit 94? |
| 12 | enforcement action? | 12 | A Yes. |
| 13 | A Yes. | 13 | Q And what is this document? |
| 14 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to | 14 | A This is a Request For Information that EPA |
| 15 | offer Complainant's Exhibit 92 into evidence. | 15 | sent to Respondents dated February 6, 2014. |
| 16 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, to the extent this is | 16 | Q And how do you recognize this document? |
| 17 | just a notice and that it's not offered for the truth | 17 | A I assisted in drafting it. |
| 18 | of the matters asserted, we would not object. If it's | 18 | Q And is this a typical type of document that |
| 19 | offered for the truth of the matters asserted, we | 19 | you would assist in preparing? |
| 20 | would object to this document as being hearsay. | 20 | A Yes. |
| 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. I'm going to admit | 21 | MR. CHU: No objection to this document if |
| 22 | Complainant's Exhibit 92 into the record. | 22 | counsel is laying the foundation. |
| 23 | // | 23 | JUDGE BIRO: We're on a roll, 94, okay. |
| 24 | // | 24 | // |
| 25 | // | 25 | // |
|  | Page 590 |  | Page 592 |
| 1 | (The document referred to, | 1 | (The document referred to, |
| 2 | previously identified as | 2 | previously identified as |
| 3 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 92, | 3 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 94, |
| 4 | was received in evidence.) | 4 | was received in evidence.) |
| 5 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 5 | MR. CHU: Is that a good thing? |
| 6 | Q And what date was that notice of violation | 6 | JUDGE BIRO: It is. |
| 7 | sent? | 7 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 8 | A December 24, 2013. | 8 | Q Could you please turn to Complainant's |
| 9 | Q And could you turn to the next exhibit, CX- | 9 | Exhibit 98 ? |
| 10 | 093? | 10 | A Yes. |
| 11 | A Yes. | 11 | Q And are you familiar with this document? |
| 12 | Q And do you recognize these documents? | 12 | A Yes. |
| 13 | A Yes. | 13 | MR. CHU: We would move to admit, Your |
| 14 | Q And what are they? | 14 | Honor. |
| 15 | A This is an email sent from Jackie Wang to | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 98 is |
| 16 | myself, Chris Thompson, and I presume Phil Brooks, | 16 | admitted into the record. |
| 17 | again dated December 24, 2013, sort of responding to | 17 | (The document referred to, |
| 18 | an OV that we sent them. | 18 | previously identified as |
| 19 | Q And who is Jackie Wang? | 19 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 98, |
| 20 | A Jackie Wang was responsible for Taotao USA | 20 | was received in evidence.) |
| 21 | compliance around this time. | 21 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 22 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd move to | 22 | Q And just briefly, for the benefit of the |
| 23 | admit Complainant's Exhibit 93. | 23 | Court, could you describe what this document is? |
| 24 | MR. CHU: We would also move to admit it. | 24 | A This is the test plan that Taotao submitted |
| 25 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Well, with no objection | 25 | in response to the test order that we were just |

discussing.
Q Okay. Why -- what kind of testing did the test plan call for?

A Low-hour testing.
Q And why did your office agree to low-hour testing?

A I think we were cognizant of the scope and the cost of the testing that we were requiring.

Q And where were the tests performed?
A At California Environmental Engineering, otherwise known as CEE.

Q Did you choose that lab?
A No.
Q Did anybody at EPA choose that lab?
A No.
Q All right. And just very briefly, could you take a look at what have been marked and already admitted as Complainant's Exhibit 99 through 122?

A Yes.
Q And do you recognize these documents?
A These are all the CEE test reports that were submitted by Respondents.

Q These formed the basis of assigning moderate egregiousness to Counts 1 through 8 ?

A Yes, they do.
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Q Are the engine families in Counts 9 and 10 carryover families from an engine family in Counts 1 through 8 ?

A I believe they are.
Q And just briefly in your own words, can you explain what that means, to be carryover?

A Carryover is a convenience that's used on the certification side, where if a manufacturer manufactures an identical vehicle from one model year to the next, they can submit what's called a carryover application, which means they don't have to do any new emission testing. They simply vouch in their application for the fact that the vehicles are identical to a prior model year.

Q So why didn't you consider the low-hour test results for the vehicle in Count 1 through 8 to represent the vehicles in Counts 9 through 10?

A Because they're different engine families, and carryover is not really a term that we use on the enforcement side very much given that we're typically dealing with non-compliant vehicles. It doesn't make sense to attribute the build of one non-compliant vehicle to another.

Q Why didn't your office order Taotao to conduct emission tests on vehicles for Counts 9 or 10 ?

A Like I said before, those violations were discovered after the filing of our initial complaint. Testing is a pretty lengthy process, I think, you know, several months. We were already -- we had already started the litigation process, and we felt that Taotao could always voluntarily do emission testing if they wanted to, and we would have considered whatever they had done.

Q Are you aware of any reason that Taotao USA could not independently have done emissions tests on vehicles from Counts 9 or 10 ?

A Can you say that again?
Q Are you aware of any reason that Taotao USA could not have conducted emissions tests on vehicles from the engine families identified in Counts 9 or 10 ?

A No.
MR. CHU: Objection to the extent it calls for speculation.

## JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. <br> BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:

Q So could you explain again, what are the consequences of characterizing Counts 1 through 8 as moderate?

A Essentially, it cuts the penalty in half.
Our prior penalty had those vehicles at a higher
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egregiousness, I believe. Or no, I'm sorry. Maybe the -- I may be misremembering. In any case, using a moderate egregious multiplier of 3.25 , which is half of the major egregious multiplier of 6.5 , essentially cuts the gravity component in half.

Q And those numbers -- do those numbers come from the penalty policy?

A Those egregiousness multipliers do come from the penalty policy, yes.
(Asides.)
BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q So I believe you already discussed the scaling step.

A Yes.
Q After scaling, what was the next step in calculating the gravity component of the proposed penalty?

A I believe we added 30 percent to the gravity for failure to remediate to I think all but 66 vehicles which were unremediated in this case. And the penalty policy allows for that type of adjustment, and we felt like it was the right thing to do given the lack of any attempt to remediate the vehicles here.

Q In general, how can a violation be
remediated?
A It depends on the type of violation, but generally, a violation -- you know, vehicles could be retrofitted. They could be exported out of the United States. They could even be destroyed.

Q And you may have said this, but to the best of your knowledge, did Taotao remediate any vehicles in Counts 1 through 8?

A No, they did not.
Q And how about in Counts 9 through 10? Were any of those remediated?

A I believe 66 vehicles were remediated. I can't remember if those were -- which count they were in, but --

Q And did you adjust the upward adjustment to reflect those vehicles that were remediated?

A Yes. The remediated vehicles did not get the additional 30 percent of gravity.

Q Okay. I just want to go back for a minute to the egregiousness factor. We discussed major and moderate. Is there a third egregiousness category?

A Yes. There's minor egregiousness, and that's typically reserved for violations that don't result in any type of actual or potential environmental harm. Those are typically things like
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label violations, cases where the required label on a vehicle is missing some of the required content, possibly even a case where a label is peeling off or, you know, has fallen off due to lack of the right glue attached to it.

Q And none of the violations in this matter were characterized as minor?

A No.
Q Did you adjust the penalty for a history of noncompliance?

A Yes, I did.
Q And could you please explain that adjustment and the basis for it?

A Sure. That adjustment was based on the fact that we had a prior settlement with Taotao USA, Inc. for several thousand uncertified vehicles that they had previously imported. I believe that type of adjustment is allowed for under the penalty policy. I think we made about a 20 percent adjustment, and I think the allowance under the penalty policy is actually much higher.

Q And could you please turn to Complainant's Exhibit 67?

A Yes.
Q Do you recognize this document?

A This is the administrative settlement agreement that I was referring to, signed in June of 2010, with Taotao USA, Inc.

Q And how are you familiar with this document?
A I assisted in drafting it.
Q And who signed this document?
A Mr. Matao Cao, President of Taotao USA, Inc., and Matthew Morrison for EPA.

Q And what's the date of this document?
A It was signed by Matao Cao on June 14, 2010, and by EPA on June 28, 2010.

MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to move for the admission of Complainant's Exhibit 67.

MR. CHU: We would join, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 67 is admitted into the record.

> (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 67, was received in evidence.)

## BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:

Q Okay. Could you please briefly describe the violations that were resolved by the administrative settlement agreement?

A Sure.

MR. CHU: We would object. The document speaks for itself.

JUDGE BIRO: Read a few sentences. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: The violations had to do with vehicles imported by Taotao USA, Inc. with noncompliant carburetors. The carburetors had adjustable parameters, which were not described in the application for certification.

MR. KULSCHINSKY: Thank you. BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q I want to go back for a minute. Did you adjust the penalty for the size of the Respondents' business?

A No, I did not.
Q Why not?
A Because we've never had very accurate information on the company's net worth, and the penalty policy lays out the size of business adjustment according to the net worth. And on top of that, we felt like, you know, even if we did estimate the company's net worth, any adjustment would be negligible compared to the overall size of the penalty.

Q Did you adjust the penalty to account for
inflation?
A Yes, I did.
Q And did you use the EPA inflation policies that we discussed earlier?

A Yes, I did. I think those were only used
for Counts 9 and 10. For Counts 1 through 8, the violations occurred prior to those policies.

Q Did you adjust the penalty due to
willfulness or negligence?
A Yes, I did.
Q Could you explain the adjustment and the basis for it?

MR. CHU: Your Honor, we would object to this line of testimony at this point. We believe that it would be in violation of the DOJ letter that authorized this proceeding.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Overruled. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: We adjusted the penalty by
20 percent upward for willfulness and negligence.
This is due to the fact that, you know, under this
June 2010 settlement agreement, the company was under a compliance plan which included -- which required catalyst testing pre-importation. And we felt that if the company had been doing what it should have been doing under the compliance plan, they wouldn't have --
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we wouldn't have this case right now.
BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q I just want to go into that a little bit more deeply. Could you explain what the administrative settlement required, or your understanding of what it required?

A Sure. First of all, it addressed the noncompliant carburetors by giving the Respondent a couple options for remediating them.

MR. CHU: Your Honor, we would object to the extent it goes to liability.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: And then it also included a corporate compliance plan for five years, which included -- which basically laid out EPA's own framework for determining compliance of vehicles. It included vehicle and engine inspection checklists, compliance determination guidelines. It required the company to inspect one vehicle from each vehicle and engine family. Or, actually, there's a defined term there, vehicle or engine model, I think, which if you look at the definition, it's basically --

## BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:

Q Let's take that --
A Yeah.

Q -- one at a time. Could you identify -could you turn to Complainant's Exhibit 67?

A Sure. I'm there.
Q Now could you locate in this document where the requirements that you're discussing are?

A Sure. The compliance plan starts on the Bates ending in 828. And, basically, it just -- it starts with definitions, and then it goes to preimport requirements and post-import requirements. The pre-import requirements for catalyst testing are laid out in paragraph 12 on Bates ending in 830. And that paragraph refers back to the definition of vehicle or engine model on Bates 828 .

Q Okay. And what was the definition of vehicle or engine model as used in this compliance plan?

A It's basically vehicles in the same engine family with the same mass, transmission type, displacement, and power.

Q Does that include cosmetic differences?
A No.
Q Okay. What was the purpose of the compliance plan?

A It was to get Taotao USA on track to compliance.
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MR. CHU: Objection, Your Honor. To the extent that this witness is speculating as to the purpose, she has not been qualified as a -- with personal knowledge as to why this plan was written up.

JUDGE BIRO: She said she wrote it.
Overruled.
Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: The compliance plan was to put Taotao USA on a path to compliance. As I mentioned before, when we met Taotao in 2010, when we inspected their vehicles at the port, found these noncompliant carburetors, they showed a strong interest in being compliant in the future, and we -- so we gave them this -- you know, these detailed instructions on what we would do, you know, were we to be running a company like Taotao USA.

## BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:

Q And what was the purpose of requiring Taotao to test a catalytic converter from each model as defined within an engine family?

A To determine compliance of those catalytic converters, to determine whether they meet specifications described in the application for certification.

Q And did you know if it was possible to test

|  | Page 605 |  | Page 607 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | catalytic converters in 2010? | 1 | (The document referred to, |
| 2 | A To my knowledge, it was possible. We had | 2 | previously identified as |
| 3 | other cases with other respondents who were doing it. | 3 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 69, |
| 4 | There were catalytic converter manufacturers in China | 4 | was received in evidence.) |
| 5 | who presumably were doing it. And, you know, even if | 5 | MR. CHU: And, Your Honor, we would like to |
| 6 | it wasn't possible to do it in China, a company could | 6 | add that we believe there were attachments to these. |
| 7 | always test a catalytic converter here in the United | 7 | I'm not sure where they put those in their -- it |
| 8 | States. | 8 | doesn't appear that it's in this group, but it's |
| 9 | Q Did you request catalytic converter test | 9 | identified on page 51. And we would ask for optional |
| 10 | reports from Taotao under the compliance plan? | 10 | completeness that they add those to the record. |
| 11 | A Yes, I did. | 11 | JUDGE BIRO: Well, if you have them, you can |
| 12 | Q And why did you do that? | 12 | submit them as part of the rule of completeness. |
| 13 | A Because I wanted to see if they were doing | 13 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, the |
| 14 | catalytic converter testing. | 14 | attachments were originally admitted. I'll just |
| 15 | Q Could you turn to what's been marked as | 15 | explain I didn't intent to rely on them and didn't |
| 16 | Complainant's Exhibit 69? | 16 | believe they were relevant. They were recently the |
| 17 | A Yes. | 17 | subject of a motion to supplement the prehearing |
| 18 | Q Do you recognize these documents? | 18 | exchange that was filed by the Respondents, and you |
| 19 | A Yes, I do. | 19 | denied that motion. |
| 20 | Q And what are these documents? | 20 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. So still, I'll let |
| 21 | A This is an email from me to Mike Hillman of | 21 | you supplement if you want with those exhibits for |
| 22 | Taotao talking about some of the catalytic converter | 22 | completeness. |
| 23 | and inspection worksheets that I had requested. Under | 23 | MR. CHU: Thank you. |
| 24 | the compliance plan, Taotao was required to submit | 24 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, may I have a |
| 25 | annual progress reports telling us about the vehicles | 25 | moment? |
|  | Page 606 |  | Page 608 |
| 1 | that they imported and their inspection findings. And | 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Uh-huh. |
| 2 | under the compliance plan, if we would like -- if we | 2 | (Asides.) |
| 3 | wanted more supporting documentation, they were | 3 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, we have the |
| 4 | required to provide it. So this is an example of me | 4 | attachments that are being referenced available. |
| 5 | requesting that information from Taotao USA. | 5 | It'll take a few minutes to identify the specific |
| 6 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to | 6 | attachments. We can do that. |
| 7 | move for the admission of Complainant's Exhibit 69. | 7 | JUDGE BIRO: You don't have to produce them. |
| 8 | MR. CHU: We would join in that, Your Honor. | 8 | It's the Respondents' obligation to produce them and |
| 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Sorry? | 9 | put them in the record. |
| 10 | MR. CHU: We would join in that, moving to | 10 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Okay. We'll move on then. |
| 11 | admit it. | 11 | JUDGE BIRO: You know, if you want to, you |
| 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. But there are more than | 12 | can, but it's certainly not your obligation. |
| 13 | one page, isn't there, to Exhibit 69? And she only | 13 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 14 | identified the first page. | 14 | Q Could you please turn to what's been marked |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. This is part of a | 15 | as Complainant's Exhibit 70? |
| 16 | series of correspondence. I had requested the | 16 | A Sure. |
| 17 | documents. I think they were provided to me in | 17 | Q Do you recognize this document? |
| 18 | Chinese. Then I requested them to be translated. I | 18 | A Yes, I do. |
| 19 | think ultimately I received four translated checklists | 19 | Q And how do you recognize it? |
| 20 | at least in this exchange. | 20 | A I assisted in drafting it. |
| 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 69 | 21 | Q What is this document? |
| 22 | is admitted into the record. | 22 | A This is a letter from EPA to Taotao USA, |
| 23 | // | 23 | Inc., stating that we have serious concerns about |
| 24 | // | 24 | their implementation of the corporate compliance plan |
| 25 | // | 25 | and requesting that they provide the information that |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | we had asked for, the catalyst test reports, as well | 1 | Q Do you recognize this document? |
| 2 | as some labeling discrepancies that we had identified. | 2 | A Yes, I do. |
| 3 | Q And what's the date of the letter? | 3 | Q And what is this document? |
| 4 | A January 3, 2012. | 4 | A This is a follow-up to the previous exhibit. |
| 5 | Q And is this a true, accurate, and complete | 5 | I believe it was 71. It's a letter from EPA to Taotao |
| 6 | copy of the letter that you remember? | 6 | USA demanding stipulated penalties for failure to |
| 7 | A Yes, it is. | 7 | perform certain requirements related to the corporate |
| 8 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: I would like to move the | 8 | compliance plan under the ASA. |
| 9 | admission of Complainant's Exhibit 70. | 9 | Q And how do you recognize the document? |
| 10 | MR. CHU: No objections, Your Honor. | 10 | A I assisted in drafting it. |
| 11 | JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 70 is | 11 | Q Is it true, accurate, and complete? |
| 12 | admitted into the record. | 12 | A Yes, it is. |
| 13 | (The document referred to, | 13 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: I'd like to move for the |
| 14 | previously identified as | 14 | admission of Complainant's Exhibit 72. |
| 15 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 70, | 15 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, again, this is signed |
| 16 | was received in evidence.) | 16 | by a different person. I would object to the extent |
| 17 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 17 | that it's not clear how Ms. Isin assisted in drafting |
| 18 | Q Could you please turn to Complainant's | 18 | this document. I understand that's what she says, but |
| 19 | Exhibit 71? | 19 | she is not clear as to how she assisted in drafting |
| 20 | A Yes. | 20 | it. |
| 21 | Q And do you recognize these documents? | 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Overruled. |
| 22 | A I only have one page. | 22 | Complainant's Exhibit 72 is admitted into the record. |
| 23 | Q Oh. Do you recognize this document? | 23 | // |
| 24 | A Yes, I do. | 24 | // |
| 25 | Q How do you recognize it? | 25 | // |
|  | Page 610 |  | Page 612 |
| 1 | A This is an email from Mike Hillman at Taotao | 1 | (The document referred to, |
| 2 | USA to Christopher Thompson that was forwarded to me. | 2 | previously identified as |
| 3 | Q And is it a true, accurate, and complete | 3 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 72, |
| 4 | copy of the email that was forwarded to you? | 4 | was received in evidence.) |
| 5 | A Yes, it is. | 5 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 6 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: I'd like to move for the | 6 | Q Could you please turn to Complainant's |
| 7 | admission of Complainant's Exhibit 71. | 7 | Exhibit 73? |
| 8 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, we'd object to this | 8 | A Yes. |
| 9 | document since it's not addressed to this witness. | 9 | Q And if you would leaf through the exhibit, |
| 10 | It's addressed to a different person. | 10 | do you recognize these documents? |
| 11 | JUDGE BIRO: It's an admission against | 11 | A Yes, I do. |
| 12 | interest. It's your document. Over objection, we're | 12 | Q And how do you recognize these documents? |
| 13 | going to admit Complainant's Exhibit 71. | 13 | A This is a series of email exchanges between |
| 14 | (The document referred to, | 14 | primarily Christopher Thompson and Mr. Matao Cao, |
| 15 | previously identified as | 15 | where I was CC'd. |
| 16 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 71, | 16 | Q And when you say $\mathrm{CC}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}$, does that mean you |
| 17 | was received in evidence.) | 17 | were copied on the emails? |
| 18 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 18 | A Copied, yes. |
| 19 | Q Who is Mike Hillman? | 19 | Q All right. So did you personally receive |
| 20 | A Mike Hillman was responsible for Taotao USA | 20 | these emails? |
| 21 | compliance issues in this time frame, 2010 to 2012, | 21 | A Yes. |
| 22 | roughly. | 22 | Q And are these true, accurate, and complete |
| 23 | Q Would you please turn to Complainant's | 23 | copies of the emails that you remember? |
| 24 | Exhibit 72? | 24 | A Yes. |
| 25 | A Yes. | 25 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to |


|  | Page 613 |  | Page 615 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | move the admission of Complainant's Exhibit 73. | 1 | JUDGE BIRO: You want to substitute it for |
| 2 | MR. CHU: No objections, Your Honor. | 2 | 18 ? |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 73 is | 3 | MR. CHU: Do it later. I'm confused. |
| 4 | admitted into the record. | 4 | JUDGE BIRO: What do you want to do? |
| 5 | (The document referred to, | 5 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Well, I would like to |
| 6 | previously identified as | 6 | offer the exhibit that was provided by Respondents |
| 7 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 73, | 7 | with their prehearing exchange and refer to it with |
| 8 | was received in evidence.) | 8 | Ms. Isin. We can mark it as 18A if that's preferable. |
| 9 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 9 | MR. CHU: Okay with us then. |
| 10 | Q Could you please turn to what's been marked | 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So it's your Exhibit |
| 11 | as -- or Bates 0883? | 11 | 18A? |
| 12 | A Yes. | 12 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: If we're going to mark it |
| 13 | Q And could you describe what this email | 13 | as a new Complainant's exhibit, I believe we -- |
| 14 | depicts? | 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Or are you going to identify it |
| 15 | A I believe this email included a set of 12 | 15 | continually as Respondents' exhibit? |
| 16 | catalyst test reports from China. And this was in | 16 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: I was going to continue |
| 17 | response to our request for catalyst test reports that | 17 | identifying it as Respondents' exhibit. We can |
| 18 | had been pending, I think, since the prior year. | 18 | identify it as Complainant's Exhibit CX-215 if that |
| 19 | Q What's the date on this email? | 19 | would be preferable. Whatever would be easier for the |
| 20 | A February 24, 2012. | 20 | Court. |
| 21 | (Asides.) | 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. Let's mark it as |
| 22 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Now, Your Honor, I'd like | 22 | Complainant's Exhibit 215. |
| 23 | to refer to what was marked in the Respondents' | 23 | // |
| 24 | prehearing exchange as Exhibit 27. I believe it looks | 24 | // |
| 25 | a little bit different in what Respondents have | 25 | // |
|  | Page 614 |  | Page 616 |
| 1 | brought. I have printed out copies from the | 1 | (The document referred to was |
| 2 | prehearing exchange for the Court. | 2 | marked for identification as |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 3 | Complainant's Exhibit No. |
| 4 | MR. CHU: No objections, Your Honor. | 4 | 215.) |
| 5 | JUDGE BIRO: This is Respondents' Exhibit | 5 | MR. CHU: I'm okay. |
| 6 | $27 ?$ | 6 | JUDGE BIRO: And just read into the record |
| 7 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: It's from the prehearing | 7 | exactly what it is again. |
| 8 | exchange as Respondent's Exhibit 27. I believe in | 8 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: I'm sorry, Your Honor. |
| 9 | what was exchanged in this proceeding -- | 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Just identify it right now for |
| 10 | (Asides.) | 10 | the record, with the new number, what is being marked |
| 11 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: -- it's now identified as | 11 | as Exhibit 215. |
| 12 | Exhibit 18, but today's Exhibit 18 is different from | 12 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: This is Complainant's |
| 13 | Exhibit 27. It has different test reports mixed in, | 13 | Exhibit 215. These are the catalytic converter |
| 14 | and it's not an accurate depiction of what was | 14 | reports that were provided to the EPA in an email |
| 15 | exchanged. | 15 | dated February 24, 2012, which is depicted in the |
| 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. Have you seen this | 16 | Complainant's Exhibit CX-073, Bates EPA-000883. |
| 17 | exhibit? Is there any issue with the corrected | 17 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So they're basically the |
| 18 | exhibit, so it's got the correct attachments on it? | 18 | attachments to the email that was identified as |
| 19 | MR. CHU: We have no objections to this | 19 | Complainant's Exhibit 73. |
| 20 | being offered. | 20 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 21 | JUDGE BIRO: So should we mark this 18A? | 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to move |
| 22 | This would be the corrected exhibit? Or -- | 22 | their admission? |
| 23 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: We could do that, Your | 23 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: I would, Your Honor. |
| 24 | Honor. I put stickers that have the original number | 24 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Mr. Chu, do you have any |
| 25 | on it, but we can mark it as 18A. | 25 | objection to the admission of these documents? |


|  | Page 617 |  | Page 619 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. CHU: No, Your Honor. | 1 | Q Did you have any concerns about these |
| 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. We'll admit into the | 2 | reports? |
| 3 | record without objection Complainant's Exhibit 215. | 3 | A Yes, I did. |
| 4 | (The document referred to, | 4 | Q I'd like to go quickly through the reports |
| 5 | previously identified as | 5 | in this exhibit and ask you to identify concerns that |
| 6 | Complainant's Exhibit No. | 6 | you had with each. So please take a look at the first |
| 7 | 215, was received in | 7 | report, which is on -- I believe it was Respondents' |
| 8 | evidence.) | 8 | Bates 548 to 551. Did you have any concerns with this |
| 9 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Would Your Honor like a | 9 | report? |
| 10 | copy? | 10 | A Yes, I do. |
| 11 | JUDGE BIRO: Do you have a copy for the | 11 | Q And what are those concerns? |
| 12 | court reporter too, and for the Respondent? | 12 | A Well, if you go to Bates 550, the third page |
| 13 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, could we ask for an | 13 | of the report, that's where it identifies the test |
| 14 | afternoon break? No? Okay. | 14 | vehicle. And you can see there that the vehicle they |
| 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, I think we're going to make | 15 | tested, according to the 10th digit of the VIN, is a |
| 16 | everybody suffer 'til we're done. How much longer do | 16 | model year '12, 2012, whereas the engine family that |
| 17 | you think you have, Mr. Kulschinsky? It's 4:30. | 17 | they've identified as covering that vehicle is a model |
| 18 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: We should certainly be | 18 | year ' 11 , as indicated by the B in the front. So it |
| 19 | able to finish by 6, Your Honor. We have to go | 19 | looks to me that they've substituted a 2012 vehicle |
| 20 | through the willfulness/negligence adjustment and then | 20 | for -- to represent a 2011 engine family. |
| 21 | discuss ability to pay. | 21 | Q How about the next report, Bates 552 through |
| 22 | JUDGE BIRO: So another hour? | 22 | 555? |
| 23 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: We can try to make it | 23 | A I don't think I have any concerns with this |
| 24 | another hour. | 24 | particular report, other than the test number changes. |
| 25 | JUDGE BIRO: So, okay, let's take a five- | 25 | If you look, the test number on the first page, at the |
|  | Page 618 |  | Page 620 |
| 1 | minute break. | 1 | top right-hand corner, Bates 552, it starts with |
| 2 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Okay. | 2 | CW101. And then look at the test number in the third |
| 3 | (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) | 3 | page, Bates number 554, top right-hand corner, it says |
| 4 | JUDGE BIRO: Please be seated. | 4 | CW111. So itts slightly off. |
| 5 | Mr. Kulschinsky. | 5 | Q How about the next report, Bates 556 through |
| 6 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I've provided | 6 | 559? |
| 7 | a copy of what's been now marked as Complainant's | 7 | A This is another example where it looks like, |
| 8 | Exhibit 215 to opposing counsel. Permission to hand | 8 | based on the identification of the test vehicle, on |
| 9 | copies to the court reporter and the witness. | 9 | Bates page 558, they've taken a model year 2012 |
| 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Please do. | 10 | vehicle for -- to represent a 2011 engine family. |
| 11 | (Pause.) | 11 | Q How about the next report, 560 through 563? |
| 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Can we do anything about the | 12 | A Again, I have the same concern as shown on |
| 13 | lights? Thank you. | 13 | Bates 562. It's a 2012 vehicle representing a 2011 |
| 14 | (Pause.) | 14 | engine family. |
| 15 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 15 | Q Did you have any concerns with the report |
| 16 | Q Ms. Isin, could you please take a look at | 16 | number of this report? |
| 17 | what's been marked as Complainant's Exhibit 215, and | 17 | A Yes. It's again off by at least one or more |
| 18 | do you recognize these documents? | 18 | digits on the first -- if you compare the first page |
| 19 | A Yes, I do. | 19 | and the third page. |
| 20 | Q And are these the test reports that were | 20 | Q How about the next report, 564 to 567? |
| 21 | provided in the February 24, 2012, email we were | 21 | A Yeah. Again, if you look on Bates 566, it |
| 22 | looking at marked Bates EPA-000883? | 22 | looks like it's a 2012 vehicle being used for 2011 |
| 23 | A Yes, they are. | 23 | engine family testing. Yeah. |
| 24 | Q And did you review these reports? | 24 | Q The next report, 568 to 571? |
| 25 | A Yes, I did. | 25 | A It's the same thing again. If you look on |

Bates 570, again, a 2012 vehicle is being used to represent a 2011 engine family.

Q How about the next report, Bates 572?
A This one looks okay to me.
Q And 573?
A For 573, I see a 2011 vehicle
substituting -- or being used to represent a 2010 engine family.

Q How about the report on 574 ?
A The same thing again, a 2011 vehicle being used to represent a 2010 engine family.

Q Bates 575?
A This one looks okay to me.
Q Bates 576?
A Yeah, that one looks okay to me as well.
Q And 577?
A Also looks okay.
Q Why is the mismatch you identified between the model year and the VIN number and the model year and the engine family a problem?

A Because, under the compliance plan, we required catalyst testing with every model year. And given the lapse in time, I think we initially requested these test reports in August of the prior year, and we didn't get them 'til February of the
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subsequent year. It was concerning.
Q Did you have any concerns with the test
methods described in the reports?
A No.
Q Did you have any concerns with the presence
of Chinese characters in these reports?
A No.
Q And just to be clear, when we were going through these reports, were you reading from the English portion or the portion that -- the Chinese characters?

A The English portion.
Q Could you turn to what's been marked as
Complainant's Exhibit CX-074?
A Sure.
Q And do you recognize this document?
A I believe I assisted in drafting it.
Q What is the document?
A It's a stipulated penalty agreement dated April 2012.

MR. KULSCHINSKY: And, Your Honor, I'd like to move for the admission of Complainant's Exhibit 74.

MR. CHU: No objections, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 74
is admitted into the record without objection.
(The document referred to, previously identified as
Complainant's Exhibit No. 74, was received in evidence.)
(Pause.) JUDGE BIRO: Go ahead, proceed. Sorry. BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Could you please turn to what's been marked
as Complainant's Exhibit 76?
A Yes.
Q Could you please read through this exhibit
briefly and tell the Court if you recognize these documents?

A Sure.
(The witness reviewed the documents.)
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Are you familiar with these documents?
A Yes.
Q And could you please describe in a broad sense what the documents are?

A Sure. These are a series of email exchanges
between me, Christopher Thompson, and Jackie Wang, and Margaret Goldstein of Harrison Wolf related to the compliance plan.
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MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to move the admission of Complainant's Exhibit 76.

MR. CHU: No objections.
JUDGE BIRO: Exhibit 76 is admitted into the record.

> (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 76, was received in evidence.)

BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Ms. Isin, who is Margaret Goldstein?
A Margaret Goldstein is an employee of
Harrison Wolf. She assisted -- or she -- Taotao USA hired Harrison Wolf, who's a vehicle and engine consultant, to help them with the preparation of their second annual compliance report under the compliance plan.

Q Did you recommend Harrison Wolf to Respondents?

A No. I suggested that other companies that
I -- I had heard of other companies using Harrison
Wolf in the past.
Q And why did you do that?
A When the company -- when Taotao USA signed on to their stipulated penalty agreement under the

|  | Page 625 |  | Page 627 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ASA, one of the requirements they had was to hire a | 1 | yesterday, Your Honor, but if it wasn't, I will |
| 2 | professional engineer. We -- it came to our attention | 2 | happily move it into the record at this time. |
| 3 | that they didn't have a professional engineer on | 3 | MR. CHU: No objections. |
| 4 | staff. They also claimed that they had had | 4 | JUDGE BIRO: Yeah, I don't see it as |
| 5 | communication difficulties, and that's why they had so | 5 | admitted. Was it? Okay. It was discussed but not |
| 6 | much noncompliance in the first year of the compliance | 6 | admitted. So we're going to move Exhibit 77 into the |
| 7 | plan. | 7 | record. |
| 8 | So one of the requirements of the agreement | 8 | (The document referred to, |
| 9 | was to hire a professional engineer, and one who spoke | 9 | previously identified as |
| 10 | both Chinese and English, to help facilitate | 10 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 77, |
| 11 | compliance in the future. Taotao USA came to us and | 11 | was received in evidence.) |
| 12 | said they could not find such a person, and | 12 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 13 | ultimately, after a lot of back and forth, they | 13 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 14 | suggested that they would hire a consultant, and -- | 14 | Q Could you please turn to Complainant's |
| 15 | but they didn't know which one. They asked for some | 15 | Exhibit 78? |
| 16 | suggestions, and I mentioned that in the past other | 16 | A Yes. |
| 17 | companies had used Harrison Wolf. | 17 | Q And do you recognize this document? |
| 18 | Q Could you please turn to what's been marked | 18 | A Yes. |
| 19 | as Complainant's Exhibit 77? | 19 | Q What is this document? |
| 20 | A Okay. | 20 | A This is a letter from EPA to Taotao USA, |
| 21 | Q And do you recognize this document? | 21 | Inc., describing concerns that we had with their |
| 22 | A Yes. This is the second report that they | 22 | implementation of the compliance plan in the second |
| 23 | submitted to us under their compliance plan. | 23 | year. |
| 24 | Q Were catalytic converter tests provided with | 24 | Q And how do you recognize this document? |
| 25 | this report? | 25 | A I assisted in drafting it. |
|  | Page 626 |  | Page 628 |
| 1 | A I believe there were three catalytic | 1 | Q And is this document a part of your |
| 2 | converter tests, yes. | 2 | investigation file? |
| 3 | Q And to the best of your knowledge, other | 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | than the tests reflected in the documents we've | 4 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 5 | discussed in those email attachments or in the 2012 | 5 | move to admit Complainant's Exhibit 78. |
| 6 | annual report, did Taotao provide the EPA with any | 6 | MR. CHU: No objections, Your Honor. |
| 7 | other catalytic converter tests in 2012? | 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 78 is |
| 8 | A Taotao did not provide us with any other | 8 | admitted into the record. |
| 9 | catalyst test reports in 2012. | 9 | (The document referred to, |
| 10 | Q Could you turn to Complainant's -- | 10 | previously identified as |
| 11 | MR. CHU: I object as non-responsive. I | 11 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 78, |
| 12 | think he asked for, and she said in. Did I hear it | 12 | was received in evidence.) |
| 13 | wrong? Just I thought he asked for for 2012. | 13 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, maybe to move |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: I think you did, but can you | 14 | this along -- I was going to go to Complainant's |
| 15 | qualify? Can you clarify? | 15 | Exhibit 79 next. It's another series of emails we'll |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Oh, for 2012? | 16 | move into the record if Respondents don't object. |
| 17 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Sorry. In 2012. | 17 | MR. CHU: No objections, Your Honor. |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Taotao USA did not provide us | 18 | (The document referred to, |
| 19 | with any other catalyst test reports in 2012. | 19 | previously identified as |
| 20 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Thank you for the | 20 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 79, |
| 21 | clarification. Could you turn to Complainant's | 21 | was received in evidence.) |
| 22 | Exhibit 78? | 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Are we moving on to 80 and the |
| 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Do you want to move Exhibit 77 | 23 | rest? Could we just -- |
| 24 | into the record? | 24 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: 81. We're going to skip |
| 25 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: I believe it was admitted | 25 | over to 81. |

JUDGE BIRO: 81. How about that one, Mr. Chu?

MR. CHU: I'll get there in just a second. (Asides.)
MR. CHU: No objections, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 81 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 81, was received in evidence.)
THE WITNESS: I think my copy of 81 is missing the cover page. I don't know if anybody else's is. Oh, they're backwards?

MR. KULSCHINSKY: Turn it around. It may have gotten reversed in the production.

THE WITNESS: Ah, okay. Got it. I'll fix it.

MR. KULSCHINSKY: Apologies.
THE WITNESS: No problem.
(Asides.)
BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Could you just briefly describe
Complainant's Exhibit 81?
A This is a letter from EPA to Taotao USA
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again describing concerns under the compliance plan. I believe this is related to the third annual report submitted by Taotao USA.

Q Ms. Isin, to the best of your knowledge, did anyone from Taotao USA ever suggest that the administrative settlement agreement or the compliance plan did not require them to conduct catalyst testing for every engine family equipped with a catalytic converter?

A No.
MR. CHU: Objection to the extent it calls for hearsay.

JUDGE BIRO: It would be an admission against interest if --

MR. CHU: If we can identify the person is what I'm concerned with.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Well, overruled.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q How many catalytic converter test reports did Taotao provide to the EPA -- or I should say provide to your office -- in 2013?

A I don't think they provided any.
Q And other than catalytic converter test reports conducted pursuant to the test order we
discussed earlier, how many catalytic converter test reports did Taotao provide your office in 2014?

A None.
Q How many catalytic converter test reports did Taotao provide in 2015 ?

A None.
Q Again, to the best of your knowledge, did anybody from Taotao USA ever suggest that a single catalytic converter report could be used for multiple engine families or vehicle and engine models?

A No.
Q Within Complainant's Exhibit 81, could you turn to what's been marked as Bates 991?

A Yes.
Q What does this describe?
A This describes the beginning of the case at hand. We, in June of 2013, we inspected some vehicles at the Port of Long Beach and found that the catalysts appeared to be deficient. We asked for Taotao USA to send us I believe two more samples under that engine family, which they did. And I believe that testing confirmed what we had found.

Q Ms. Isin, did the email correspondence and the letters that we just reviewed document the events that led you to adjust the proposed penalty upwards
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for willfulness or negligence?
A Yes.
Q Is that adjustment meant to punish the
Respondents for violating the settlement agreement?
A No.
Q What is the basis for the adjustment?
A The basis for the adjustment is Taotao's
continuing lack of interest in catalyst testing, in
preventing the types of violations that we saw here, despite our repeated efforts to get them to perform catalyst testing.

Q Ms. Isin, did you adjust the proposed penalty for cooperation?

A No, I did not.
Q Why not?
A Well, I didn't do a downward adjustment because, according to our penalty policy, downward adjustments for cooperation are typically for cases where there's a swift resolution, or there's selfreporting of violations, that neither of those situations occurred here.

I didn't do an upward adjustment because Taotao USA has actually been cooperative with our -all our inspections. You know, I went to Taotao USA's warehouse in Dallas, Texas, and they complied with the
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inspection, and they provided samples here in this case that we were just talking about where we sent them a letter requesting two additional exhaust systems for testing. They promptly provided those.

Q Did you give Taotao USA or other Respondents credit for prior penalties they paid to the EPA?

A No.
Q Why not?
A That's not typically our practice. It's not outlined in the penalty policy. The prior case -- the prior penalty was for a prior case involving different vehicles, different violations. It was not related to this matter.

Q Did you give the Respondents credit for the costs they incurred in complying with the compliance plan or conducting other tests ordered by the EPA?

A No. Again, that's not our practice. It's not -- that's not described under the penalty policy, and in my view, those -- that testing cost is the cost of doing business as a U.S. company, as an importer of vehicles and engines, and as a certificate holder of vehicles and engines.

MR. KULSCHINSKY: And -- all right. Your
Honor, the next phase of testimony is going to be
about ability to pay. I think it's going to involve
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matters that are claimed as confidential business
administration. Not that we have much of an audience, but it may make sense to close the courtroom.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. How do you feel? It's 5:00.

MR. KULSCHINSKY: I feel good.
(Laughter.)
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Ms. Isin, how do you feel?

THE WITNESS: I feel fine.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. All right. Then we will close the courtroom and keep going.

BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Ms. Isin, did you consider the impact of the penalty being proposed today on Respondents' ability to continue in business?

A Yes, I did.
Q What types of information did you consider?
A We -- so I did the usual research I would do on a company that we were building a case against. I looked at their import history. I looked at, you know, descriptions of the company on the web. And then the company submitted a series of financial documents, which I also looked at, and I employed the assistance of Dr. Carroll in looking at those.
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Q Did you look into how Taotao USA ranks as an importer of ATVs and highway motorcycles in terms of value as an importer?

A Yes.
Q And how did you do that?
A I used Customs' ACE database to look at Taotao USA's imports from the years 2009 to 2016, and they were consistently ranked between one and three of the top importers of recreational vehicles and motorcycles made in China into the United States.

Q And were those rankings consistent over time?

A Yes.
Q Could you please turn to what's been marked as Complainant's Exhibit 190A?

A Yes.
Q Do you recognize this?
A Yes, I do. This is a chart that I put
together from ACE which shows Taotao's -- Taotao USA,
Inc.'s importations over eight different -- eight years, from 2009 to 2016. And it summarizes the total amount imported, the number of entries that came in, as well as the total declared value for each year.

Q And how did you prepare this document?
A I did a query in ACE and looked -- I

|  | Page 637 |  | Page 639 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Well, summaries are | 1 | Alibaba.com. |
| 2 | admissible in our courtroom and under the Federal | 2 | Q And what's Alibaba.com? |
| 3 | Rules. Hearsay is not a valid objection in these | 3 | A It's like the Chinese Amazon. |
| 4 | proceedings. And if you wanted all the background | 4 | Q Is this a depiction of one of the websites |
| 5 | data, you should have asked for it before we got here. | 5 | that you looked at? |
| 6 | So I'm going to admit Complainant's Exhibit 190A. | 6 | A Yes. |
| 7 | (The document referred to, | 7 | Q Sorry. I think my microphone keeps cutting |
| 8 | previously identified as | 8 | out. What does this show? |
| 9 | Complainant's Exhibit No. | 9 | A This shows Taotao Group Company, Limited |
| 10 | 190A, was received in | 10 | has, you know, over 1,000 employees, total annual |
| 11 | evidence.) | 11 | revenue of over $\$ 100$ million. In the first paragraph, |
| 12 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 12 | it talks about all its subsidiaries, Junyun County |
| 13 | Q The column that is titled "Sum of Entered | 13 | Xiang Yuan Industrial -- Industry Company, Limited, as |
| 14 | Value," what does that mean? | 14 | well as other ones that are not in our case. Jinyun |
| 15 | A That's the total entered value for each | 15 | County Taotao Leisure Articles Company, Limited, |
| 16 | year, and then it's summed at the bottom. | 16 | Jinyun Tianhu Import \& Export Company, Junyun County |
| 17 | Q And what is the entered value? What does | 17 | Taotao Garden Tools Company, Limited, and Zhejiang |
| 18 | that term represent? | 18 | Voyage Motorcycle Company, Limited. |
| 19 | A Oh, that's the declared value for each | 19 | Q Is this a true, accurate, and complete copy |
| 20 | entry, kind of how we went through it before with the | 20 | of the website that you remember looking at? |
| 21 | prior exhibit. | 21 | A Yes. |
| 22 | Q And so sum of entered value, just what does | 22 | Q Could you please turn to Complainant's |
| 23 | that mean, one more time? | 23 | Exhibit 42? |
| 24 | A It's the total declared value import -- of | 24 | A Sure. |
| 25 | products imported by Taotao USA during these years. | 25 | Q Do you recognize this? |
|  | Page 638 |  | Page 640 |
| 1 | Q What role did this information play in your | 1 | A Yes. |
| 2 | evaluation of whether you should reduce the proposed | 2 | Q And what is it? |
| 3 | penalty based on its impact on Respondents' ability to | 3 | A This is an article from Dealernews.com as |
| 4 | continue in business? | 4 | part of my web search. It shows that -- it talks |
| 5 | A Well, when I looked at this information, | 5 | about Taotao Group's market share in the United |
| 6 | combined with what we talked about before, which was | 6 | States. It talks about how they have a 30 percent |
| 7 | the ranking of Taotao USA as, you know, consistently | 7 | market share for ATVs and motorcycles. |
| 8 | among the top importers of this type of vehicle and | 8 | Q Is this a true, accurate, and complete copy |
| 9 | engine, you know, you see here in the graph the trend | 9 | of that website that you remember looking at in your |
| 10 | is upwards. It doesn't look like a company that's | 10 | research? |
| 11 | about to fold. | 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | Q Did you research any websites as part of | 12 | Q Could you please turn to what's been marked |
| 13 | your investigation in this matter? | 13 | as Complainant's Exhibit 168? |
| 14 | A Yes. | 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | Q And is that typical as part of an | 15 | Q And do you recognize this? |
| 16 | investigation you would do? | 16 | A Yes. This again is an updated version of |
| 17 | A Yes. | 17 | Taotao Group Company, Limited's profile on |
| 18 | Q Could you please turn to what's been marked | 18 | Alibaba.com. |
| 19 | as Complainant's Exhibit 35? | 19 | Q And is this a depiction of one of the |
| 20 | A Yes. | 20 | websites that you looked at during your research? |
| 21 | Q Do you recognize this document? | 21 | A Yes. |
| 22 | A Yes, I do. | 22 | Q And is it a true, accurate, and complete |
| 23 | Q And what is it? | 23 | copy of what you remember looking at? |
| 24 | A This is a printout of Taotao Motors -- | 24 | A Yes. |
| 25 | Taotao Group Company, Limited's profile on | 25 | Q I would like you to turn to Complainant's |

Exhibit 191.
A Yes.
Q And do you recognize this document?
A Yes. This is the PowerPoint presentation
that was given to Clee Jackson when he was in China.
Q And are you personally familiar with this document?

A I received it from I believe Emily Chen in Clee's office earlier this year.

MR. CHU: Objection, non-responsive.
JUDGE BIRO: She said she received it in this --

THE WITNESS: Yes, I received it.
JUDGE BIRO: You personally received it, okay. Overruled.

BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Could you please turn to Bates page 2521?
A Yes.
Q Ms. Isin, do you read Chinese characters?
A No.
Q Okay. Looking at the English on this page, how do you interpret what this depicts?

A This looks to me like Taotao Group making all kinds of products, everything from vehicles, chainsaws, garden tools, and doors.
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A Yes.
Q What do you understand these pages or slides to show?

A These show what appears to be a new factory in China for Taotao.

Q All right. So taken together, what did the websites we've discussed, this PowerPoint presentation -- how did they influence your impression of the Respondents in your consideration of whether or not to reduce the penalty due to its impact on their ability to continue in business?

MR. CHU: Objection, vague as to which

## Respondent.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead, if you can identify individually.

THE WITNESS: Well, to me, they're all kind of intertwined. You know, the manufacturer and the importer of the same vehicles.

MR. CHU: Objection, non-responsive.
JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Based on the ACE search, it looks like there's an upward trend. You know, there's thousands of imports coming in, and they continue to come in, multiple entries per day. And that's backed up by what I see on the web, the descriptions I see on
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the web, and then this PowerPoint that came in, you know, just a few months ago talking about expansion of the manufacturing facility in China. It seems to all lead me to the same conclusion that the company is not about to fold.

MR. CHU: Objection, non-responsive.
JUDGE BIRO: The question was how did it affect your ability -- your determination on ability to pay.

THE WITNESS: It was a part of my ability to pay analysis.

## JUDGE BIRO: And it --

THE WITNESS: Oh, and it showed that the company looks like it can pay a penalty.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to move for the admission of Complainant's Exhibits 35, 42, and 168.

JUDGE BIRO: 35, 42, and 168 ?
MR. KULSCHINSKY: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. CHU: Your Honor, we would object to 35. This appears to be just a printout from the internet. It's not properly authenticated. The author is not determinable from this document here. It does not necessarily show that this belongs to one of the

|  | Page 645 |  | Page 647 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Respondents, nor does it indicate that this is their | 1 | MR. CHU: Again, Your Honor, the same |
| 2 | website. I believe she said this came off of Alibaba. | 2 | objection as to the first exhibit, 35. This is off |
| 3 | I'm not sure where this came from, but, | 3 | Alibaba. So we do not believe that the proper |
| 4 | again, there are websites where people attempt to | 4 | predicate has been laid. |
| 5 | compile information, but that doesn't necessarily | 5 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Over objection, I'm |
| 6 | reflect the truthfulness nor accuracy of the | 6 | going to admit Complainant's Exhibit 168. |
| 7 | information. So we'd object to 35 on those bases. | 7 | (The document referred to, |
| 8 | JUDGE BIRO: And on the very top it suggests | 8 | previously identified as |
| 9 | that it's from a website that's from Taotao Motors. | 9 | Complainant's Exhibit No. |
| 10 | That's connected somehow to Alibaba, and I don't know | 10 | 168, was received in |
| 11 | how that system works, other than Amazon, which refers | 11 | evidence.) |
| 12 | you to other pages to buy things. I don't know | 12 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 13 | Alibaba. But it seems to me Taotao Motors is the | 13 | Q Ms. Isin, just briefly, could you please |
| 14 | first named entity of the website. | 14 | turn to what's been marked as Complainant's Exhibit |
| 15 | MR. CHU: Right. And that would be | 15 | 95? |
| 16 | appropriate to identify this web page that indicates | 16 | A Sure. |
| 17 | that it's Taotao Group. So clearly we don't know who | 17 | (Pause.) |
| 18 | Taotao Motor is compared to Taotao Group. Taotao | 18 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 19 | Motor is not one of the Respondents, nor is it the | 19 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 20 | particular other company that we've heard about, Tao | 20 | Q And do you recognize this document? |
| 21 | Motor. This is Taotao Motor. We are Taotao USA. And | 21 | A I believe this is part of -- this appears to |
| 22 | so we don't believe that the authenticity of this | 22 | be an excerpt from maybe the first annual compliance |
| 23 | document -- the predicates have been properly laid. | 23 | report. I have to flip through it a bit more. Oh, |
| 24 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Well, I think it has. | 24 | no. This is Taotao's response to our information |
| 25 | I'm going to admit Complainant's Exhibit 35. | 25 | request, from 2014. |
|  | Page 646 |  | Page 648 |
| 1 | (The document referred to, | 1 | Q And how are you familiar with this document? |
| 2 | previously identified as | 2 | A I received it when Taotao responded. |
| 3 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 35, | 3 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 4 | was received in evidence.) | 4 | move for the admission of Complainant's Exhibit 95. |
| 5 | JUDGE BIRO: How about 42? | 5 | (Pause.) |
| 6 | MR. CHU: We would object to 42. The | 6 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, we would object that |
| 7 | predicate for this type of article, the source has not | 7 | this set of documents under CX-95 appears to be a |
| 8 | been determined to be a reliable source. We would | 8 | compilation with no beginning nor end. And so, as |
| 9 | object that this could fall under the category of fake | 9 | such, the witness has not been able to identify that |
| 10 | news. And so, unless they lay a proper predicate for | 10 | each and every one of these documents were sent to her |
| 11 | it, we do not believe it should be allowed to come in, | 11 | by someone from Taotao. |
| 12 | would be our objection. | 12 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 13 | JUDGE BIRO: I think your argument goes to | 13 | Q Ms. Isin, going through CX-95, were all of |
| 14 | the weight. | 14 | these documents provided by Taotao as part of their |
| 15 | MR. CHU: It does. | 15 | response to your information request? |
| 16 | JUDGE BIRO: And I agree with you, it has | 16 | A Yes. |
| 17 | questionable weight, off of the web. It's an article | 17 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, again, I understand |
| 18 | that somebody wrote. We don't know what it's based | 18 | they use the word Taotao, but there is a Taotao Group |
| 19 | on. But I'll admit it for whatever weight we can give | 19 | and a Taotao USA, so I would object that the question |
| 20 | it. 42 is admitted into the record. | 20 | was vague in supporting the predicate as for these |
| 21 | (The document referred to, | 21 | documents, Taotao Group versus Taotao USA. |
| 22 | previously identified as | 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Who responded to the request |
| 23 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 42, | 23 | for information? |
| 24 | was received in evidence.) | 24 | THE WITNESS: So our request for information |
| 25 | JUDGE BIRO: How about 168? | 25 | was to all Respondents. I don't recall which one of |


|  | Page 649 |  | Page 651 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | them -- I mean, I see that there's Taotao USA | 1 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 2 | letterhead here on the first page, but -- | 2 | Q Did the Respondents provide financial |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Let me -- your request went to | 3 | statements? |
| 4 | all of the Respondents, and was one response | 4 | A Yes, they did. |
| 5 | submitted? | 5 | Q Okay. Did you analyze any of the financial |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 6 | information that the Respondents provided? |
| 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. We're going to overrule | 7 | A I ran an ABEL analysis based on the tax |
| 8 | the objection and admit Complainant's Exhibit 95. | 8 | returns they provided. |
| 9 | (The document referred to, | 9 | Q And was that for all three Respondents or |
| 10 | previously identified as | 10 | fewer than all three? |
| 11 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 95, | 11 | A That was only for Taotao USA. The Chinese |
| 12 | was received in evidence.) | 12 | companies did not have tax returns. |
| 13 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 13 | Q Okay. We've heard a bit about ABEL today, |
| 14 | Q Ms. Isin, did your office request financial | 14 | but could you just describe it very briefly in your |
| 15 | information from the Respondents? | 15 | own words? |
| 16 | A Yes, we did. | 16 | A Yeah. It's an economic model that the |
| 17 | Q When did you first request financial | 17 | agency uses which is simple enough that you don't need |
| 18 | information? | 18 | an accounting background or financial background in |
| 19 | A I believe it was sometime in 2015. | 19 | order to -- you know, it involves plugging in numbers |
| 20 | Q Was it typical to request financial | 20 | from tax returns into the appropriate lines, and then |
| 21 | information? | 21 | it performs some computations and comes out with a |
| 22 | A Yes, if Respondents make an ability to pay | 22 | list of probabilities that a company can pay a certain |
| 23 | claim, we typically request supporting documentation. | 23 | penalty. |
| 24 | Q Did the Respondents provide you with | 24 | Q Do you have an accounting background? |
| 25 | financial information at that time? | 25 | A No, I don't. |
|  | Page 650 |  | Page 652 |
| 1 | A Yes, they did. | 1 | Q Do you have an economic background? |
| 2 | Q Did they provide you with tax returns? | 2 | A No. |
| 3 | A Yes, they did. | 3 | Q Is ABEL a computer program, as you've |
| 4 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: All right. Your Honor, | 4 | described it? |
| 5 | I'd like to move for the admission of Complainant's | 5 | A Yes. |
| 6 | Exhibit 161, 162, 163, and 171. Those are Taotao | 6 | Q Do you understand all of the computations |
| 7 | USA's tax returns. | 7 | behind ABEL? |
| 8 | JUDGE BIRO: 163 through 165 did you say? | 8 | A No. |
| 9 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: No. I'm sorry, Your | 9 | Q Is ABEL supposed to be a definitive analysis |
| 10 | Honor, 161, 162, 163, and then 171. | 10 | or a definitive tool for determining a company's |
| 11 | MR. CHU: Yeah. And it's our understanding | 11 | ability to pay a penalty? |
| 12 | that those documents will not be released for | 12 | A No. |
| 13 | publication. | 13 | Q Could you describe just briefly what did the |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: They won't go up on our | 14 | ABEL analysis you performed show? |
| 15 | website. They'll be continued to hold the CBI. | 15 | A The ABEL analysis for the years 2012 to |
| 16 | MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor. | 16 | 2015, for those tax returns, showed that Taotao USA |
| 17 | JUDGE BIRO: I'll admit without objection -- | 17 | could pay -- I believe it was -- there was a |
| 18 | MR. CHU: No objections. | 18 | 70 percent probability that they would be able to pay |
| 19 | JUDGE BIRO: -- Complainant's Exhibits 161 | 19 | a penalty of approximately $\$ 690,000$. |
| 20 | through 163, and 171. | 20 | Q Did you feel like that was consistent with |
| 21 | (The documents referred to, | 21 | your understanding of Taotao USA's financial condition |
| 22 | previously identified as | 22 | from the importation data you had reviewed? |
| 23 | Complainant's Exhibit Nos. | 23 | A Notat all. |
| 24 | 161 through 163, and 171, | 24 | Q We discussed Mr. Jonathan Shefftz earlier. |
| 25 | were received in evidence.) | 25 | Do you recall, did he prepare a report in this matter? |


|  | Page 653 |  | Page 655 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A Yes, he did. | 1 | A It was part of the documents I compiled in |
| 2 | Q And did you review that report? | 2 | my investigation. |
| 3 | A I did. | 3 | Q Was it to Taotao USA, or was it to all three |
| 4 | Q Do you recall if his report has an opinion | 4 | Respondents? |
| 5 | about Respondents' ability to pay the penalty in this | 5 | A It was to William Chu, and it talked about |
| 6 | matter? | 6 | all three Respondents. |
| 7 | A It does. It includes an ABEL analysis. | 7 | Q Is this a true, accurate, and complete copy |
| 8 | Q Did his opinion do anything to change your | 8 | of the request that you're familiar with? |
| 9 | evaluation of whether or not you should reduce the | 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | penalty on account of this factor? | 10 | Q Is it typical that the agency would make |
| 11 | A No. | 11 | this sort of request in the course of an investigation |
| 12 | Q Did the agency hire an expert to review the | 12 | like this? |
| 13 | financial documents provided by Taotao USA? | 13 | A Yes. |
| 14 | A Yes, Dr. Carroll. | 14 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 15 | Q And did you hear Dr. Carroll testify earlier | 15 | offer Complainant's Exhibit 169 for admission. |
| 16 | today? | 16 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, we'd object to the |
| 17 | A Yes, I did. | 17 | predicate on this document. It was not authored nor |
| 18 | Q And was his opinion part of your decision | 18 | joined in its preparation by this witness. |
| 19 | not to reduce the penalty on account of this factor? | 19 | JUDGE BIRO: It was prepared by counsel, but |
| 20 | A Yes. | 20 | we're not going to put the counsel on the stand to |
| 21 | Q Do you have any basis to dispute his | 21 | identify it. So this is as good as it gets. Okay. |
| 22 | opinion? | 22 | We're going to admit Exhibit 169. |
| 23 | A No. | 23 | // |
| 24 | Q Did you perform research into any other | 24 | // |
| 25 | companies as -- other than the named Respondents in | 25 | // |
|  | Page 654 |  | Page 656 |
| 1 | this matter as part of your investigation in this | 1 | (The document referred to, |
| 2 | matter? | 2 | previously identified as |
| 3 | A Yes. There's several related companies. | 3 | Complainant's Exhibit No. |
| 4 | Q Is that typical, to look at other businesses | 4 | 169, was received in |
| 5 | during an investigation? | 5 | evidence.) |
| 6 | A Yes. According to the EPA's ability to pay | 6 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 7 | guidance document, it's suggested to look into related | 7 | Q And could you please turn to Complainant's |
| 8 | entities for payment of a penalty. | 8 | Exhibit 170? |
| 9 | Q And did you ask Taotao to provide -- strike | 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | that. Do you know if your office asked Respondents to | 10 | Q And do you recognize this document? |
| 11 | provide additional information about their own | 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | financial condition or other companies? | 12 | Q What is this document? |
| 13 | A Yes. I believe we asked several times. | 13 | A I believe it's Respondents' response to the |
| 14 | Q Did they do so? | 14 | exhibit we were just talking about. |
| 15 | A No. | 15 | Q And how do you recognize it? |
| 16 | Q Could you please turn to what's been marked | 16 | A It was provided to me by counsel. |
| 17 | as Complainant's -- CX-169? | 17 | Q Is it part of your investigative file in |
| 18 | A Yes. | 18 | this matter? |
| 19 | Q Do you recognize this document? | 19 | A Uh-huh. |
| 20 | A Yes, I do. | 20 | Q Is it a true, accurate, and complete copy of |
| 21 | Q And what is this document? | 21 | the response that you recall receiving? |
| 22 | A This is a letter requesting additional | 22 | A Yes. |
| 23 | information from Taotao USA related to this case. | 23 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 24 | It's dated October 13, 2016. | 24 | move Complainant's Exhibit 170 into evidence. |
| 25 | Q And how do you recognize it? | 25 | MR. CHU: We would object to the whole |

document being offered that -- if there is a portion that they're wanting to offer, we would like the opportunity to address that.

JUDGE BIRO: Why don't we want to admit the whole document?

MR. CHU: They haven't established the requisites that would entitle them to the information. So, by offering this, they're still missing a step.

JUDGE BIRO: This is a document you prepared --

MR. CHU: Yes.
JUDGE BIRO: -- and submitted in connection with this litigation?

MR. CHU: A response that we gave to them.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Over objection, I'm going to admit 170, Complainant's Exhibit 170.
(The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 170, was received in evidence.)
BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Ms. Isin, are you familiar with a company named Daction Trading?

A Yes, I am.
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Q And when did you first learn about Daction

Trading?
A I believe I first learned about it through
Taotao's original submission of financial documents.
They included tax returns for a company called Daction Trading, Inc.

Q Could you please turn to what's been marked as Complainant's Exhibit 179 and please look at that document, as well as CX-17 -- not -- well, I'm sorry, 197 -- I may have transposed those numbers -- 197 through 203.

A These are the tax returns and the financial statements submitted for Daction Trading, Inc.

Q And were those submitted by the Respondents in this matter?

A Yes, they were.
MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd move for the admission of CX-197 through 203. for which these documents were provided?

THE WITNESS: We had asked for supporting documentation for their ability to pay claim. We had asked for tax returns for Respondents as well as related entities, and this is what they provided. JUDGE BIRO: Okay.

MR. CHU: Your Honor, it's my understanding this was sent to them for purposes of settlement and that it was not to be a offer to them on behalf of Daction because I don't represent Daction. But, for the settlement purposes, it was given to them. And I believe they'll confirm that, and as such, this document is not a document that should be admitted in this matter here.

JUDGE BIRO: Did you submit them to the EPA under some nondisclosure agreement or agreement not to use them in this proceeding?

MR. CHU: Did the letter say settlement --
MS. TARIQ: I believe it was sent a long time ago, pursuant to -- well, while they were still in their settlement negotiations. I'm not sure exactly when, but we did not send this. I believe Taotao might have sent this.

JUDGE BIRO: Well, you may not represent Daction Trading specifically, but you must represent a company that represents them or owns them because you have their tax returns.

MR. CHU: We got it for settlement purposes, but it's a different owner from Matao Cao. So it's an individual. It wasn't submitted pursuant to their request, is what I'm saying, Your Honor. It was
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submitted for settlement purposes because they wanted to see the document, and we were able to obtain it. So I don't believe under those circumstances that they're allowed to use that. It was not in response to the letter that they've referenced earlier.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
MR. CHU: And I understand the Court is saying --

JUDGE BIRO: Ms. Isin just testified that she requested ability to pay information from the Respondents.

MR. CHU: Right.
JUDGE BIRO: And in response, the
Respondents sent her these documents.
MR. CHU: And I would like to take her on voir dire as to this document that she's claiming that was received pursuant to that request.

JUDGE BIRO: You can cross-examine her on that.
(Asides.)
JUDGE BIRO: If you can show that they were submitted under some protective agreement that was entered into, I'll strike them from the record at that time.

MR. CHU: So we'll look for that. I believe

|  | Page 661 |  | Page 663 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | it was submitted pursuant to our settlement | 1 | Road? |
| 2 | negotiations. But we're ready to continue. | 2 | A Do you mean the address or do you mean the |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So I'm going to admit | 3 | company? |
| 4 | Complainant's Exhibit 197 through 201. Is that | 4 | Q Let's do the address first. |
| 5 | correct, Mr. Kulschinsky? | 5 | A I learned of it as the primary address for |
| 6 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Through 203, Your Honor. | 6 | Taotao USA, Inc. |
| 7 | JUDGE BIRO: 203. 197 through 203 is | 7 | Q And when did you learn of the company? |
| 8 | admitted into the record. | 8 | A I learned of that through a search of Texas |
| 9 | (The documents referred to, | 9 | property records website. |
| 10 | previously identified as | 10 | Q Could you please turn to what's been marked |
| 11 | Complainant's Exhibit Nos. | 11 | as Complainant's Exhibit 205? |
| 12 | 197 through 203, were | 12 | A Yes. |
| 13 | received in evidence.) | 13 | Q And do you recognize these documents? |
| 14 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 14 | A Yes. These are the Texas Secretary of State |
| 15 | Q Ms. Isin, could you turn to Complainant's | 15 | records for 2201 Luna Road, LLC. |
| 16 | Exhibit 207? | 16 | Q And how do you recognize them? |
| 17 | A Sure. | 17 | A I downloaded them. |
| 18 | Q And do you recognize these documents? | 18 | Q Are they full, accurate, and complete copies |
| 19 | A Yes. This is a Texas Secretary of State, | 19 | of what you downloaded? |
| 20 | documents downloaded from the Texas Secretary of State | 20 | A Yes, they are. |
| 21 | database for Tao Motor, Inc. | 21 | Q And do they identify Mr. Matao Cao? |
| 22 | Q How are you familiar with these documents? | 22 | A He's identified as the manager of 2201 Luna |
| 23 | A I downloaded them. | 23 | Road, LLC. |
| 24 | Q Are they true, accurate, and complete copies | 24 | Q And when was 2201 Luna Road formed? |
| 25 | of what you obtained from the Texas Secretary of | 25 | A In September of 2015, shortly before we |
|  | Page 662 |  | Page 664 |
| 1 | State? | 1 | filed our complaint. |
| 2 | A Yes. | 2 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 3 | Q And what's listed as the address for Tao | 3 | move for the admission of Complainant's Exhibit 205. |
| 4 | Motor? | 4 | MR. CHU: We would object, Your Honor. It |
| 5 | A 2201 Luna Road. | 5 | is not relevant in this proceeding here as to the |
| 6 | Q And when was Tao Motor formed? | 6 | owner of this company. |
| 7 | A January 2016. | 7 | JUDGE BIRO: What's the connection between |
| 8 | Q And do these documents identify Mr. Matao | 8 | this company other than Mr. Matao Cao seems to have an |
| 9 | Cao? | 9 | interest in it? But other than that, what's the |
| 10 | A Yes, they do. | 10 | connection between this company and one of the |
| 11 | Q And how do they identify him? | 11 | Respondents in this case? |
| 12 | A As the organizer of 2201 -- of Tao Motor, | 12 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, maybe I should |
| 13 | Inc. | 13 | keep going and re-offer this later. I think the |
| 14 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd move for | 14 | evidence is going to show that Taotao USA is involved |
| 15 | the admission of Complainant's Exhibit 207. | 15 | in various financial transactions with this company. |
| 16 | MR. CHU: No objections, Your Honor. | 16 | They're all owned by Mr. Cao. We heard earlier about |
| 17 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. We'll admit into the | 17 | closely related businesses and transactions between |
| 18 | record Complainant's Exhibit 207. | 18 | them and how that might be relevant to the analysis of |
| 19 | (The document referred to, | 19 | a company's finances. |
| 20 | previously identified as | 20 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, it would seem to me |
| 21 | Complainant's Exhibit No. | 21 | that if he wants to go there, he needs to go there |
| 22 | 207, was received in | 22 | first and not last. |
| 23 | evidence.) | 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Well, we're not going to admit |
| 24 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 24 | it. We'll get back to it. Okay. Move on. |
| 25 | Q When did you first learn about 2201 Luna | 25 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Right. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 1 | Qiong Li for Daction Trading, LLC -- sorry, Inc. |
| 2 | Q Ms. Isin, could you please turn to what's | 2 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: All right. Your Honor, |
| 3 | been marked as Complainant's Exhibit 206? | 3 | I'd like to offer Complainant's Exhibit 206 into |
| 4 | A Yes. | 4 | evidence. |
| 5 | Q And do you recognize these documents? | 5 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, the reference to -- |
| 6 | A Yes, I do. | 6 | I'm trying to find the page where it identified the |
| 7 | Q And what are these documents? | 7 | borrower, and the borrower on this is a separate |
| 8 | A These are documents for 2201 Luna Road that | 8 | entity, and that the only relationship this document |
| 9 | were downloaded from Dallas County, Texas, property | 9 | shows is that there has been a lease that's been |
| 10 | records website. | 10 | assigned to some third party. It does not show that |
| 11 | Q And -- | 11 | Taotao USA in any way has any type of ownership |
| 12 | A Sorry, with one exception. There's also a | 12 | interest in this particular entity, 2201 Luna Road, |
| 13 | realty flyer in here. | 13 | LLC. So, therefore, we would object to this document |
| 14 | Q And where is the realty flyer from? | 14 | being admitted for any purposes relevant to this |
| 15 | A Crow Holdings' website. | 15 | hearing. |
| 16 | Q And did you discover these documents during | 16 | (Pause.) |
| 17 | your research? | 17 | JUDGE BIRO: This identifies Taotao USA and |
| 18 | A Yes. | 18 | Daction Trading. |
| 19 | Q And are they full, accurate, and complete | 19 | MR. CHU: In the leases that's being |
| 20 | copies of the records that were located during the | 20 | assigned. |
| 21 | research? | 21 | JUDGE BIRO: As the third-party lender, as |
| 22 | A Yes. | 22 | part of the third-party lender agreement, as the |
| 23 | Q Could you please turn to Bates 2711? | 23 | operator of the borrower, is that correct? |
| 24 | A Yes. | 24 | MR. CHU: Are you looking at page 2706, Your |
| 25 | Q And is this document signed? | 25 | Honor? |
|  | Page 666 |  | Page 668 |
| 1 | A Not on page 2711. | 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. |
| 2 | Q Could you please turn to Bates 2706? | 2 | MR. CHU: Okay. It shows that the name of |
| 3 | A Yes. | 3 | the loan -- and how they name it is up to them, but it |
| 4 | Q And what's the title of this document? | 4 | shows clearly the borrower is 2201 Luna Road. |
| 5 | A Third-Party Lender Agreement. | 5 | JUDGE BIRO: And the operating company under |
| 6 | Q And does the document identify a loan name? | 6 | that -- |
| 7 | A Taotao USA, Inc. | 7 | MR. CHU: I understand. But if you look at |
| 8 | Q And the line below that, does it identify a | 8 | the deed of trust, the document that's 2676 -- |
| 9 | borrower? | 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Well, what do you think |
| 10 | A The borrower is 2201 Luna Road, LLC. | 10 | operating company means in relation to 2201 Luna Road, |
| 11 | Q And is there an operating company listed? | 11 | LLC? |
| 12 | A Yes. Taotao USA, Inc., and Daction Trading, | 12 | MR. CHU: I don't think it means anything |
| 13 | Inc. | 13 | here, Your Honor, when clearly it's an LLC, and it |
| 14 | Q And is there a third-party loan amount | 14 | says it's from -- the Secretary of State documents |
| 15 | listed? | 15 | indicate it's a manager-run LLC, so there's no |
| 16 | A Yes, 6.47 -- \$6,470,500. | 16 | operating company associated with that. That's |
| 17 | Q And could you please turn to Bates 2719? | 17 | something normally associated with a limited liability |
| 18 | A Yes. | 18 | company or limited partnership. |
| 19 | Q And what's the title of this document? | 19 | But if we look at 2676, it shows clearly |
| 20 | A Assignment and Subordination of Leases. | 20 | who -- what entity gave the deed of trust, and that's |
| 21 | Q And could you please turn to 2722? | 21 | only 2201 Luna Road, which would be the owner of the |
| 22 | A Yes. | 22 | property. And so the confusing information on here, |
| 23 | Q Is the document signed? | 23 | the name doesn't tell the owner. The borrower is |
| 24 | A Yes. It's signed by Matao Cao for 2201 Luna | 24 | specified clearly as 2201 Luna Road. The definitions |
| 25 | Road, LLC, by Matao Cao for Taotao USA, Inc., and by | 25 | of operating company only seems to appear related to |

$\square$
the assignment of the leases that's in that other document in this group of documents.

But, again, the controlling document would be the registration showing it's managed by managers and that the deed of trust shows the ownership of the property, as well as I believe they had a deed in here that shows that as well.

JUDGE BIRO: Who is Qiong Li?
MR. CHU: Qiong Li is the owner of Daction.
JUDGE BIRO: Daction.
MR. CHU: Right, the 100 percent owner.
That's in that other document that they referred the Court to earlier on that.

JUDGE BIRO: And how is she related to Mr. Cao?

MR. CHU: She's not related by blood or marriage as far as I know.

MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, if I may? JUDGE BIRO: Uh-huh.
MR. KULSCHINSKY: I think one important background fact on this is Taotao USA's address identified in the amended complaint and admitted to in the answers is 2201 Luna Road. These documents were recorded with the county in Dallas. They demonstrate in their entirety -- they largely speak for
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themselves, but they, walking through them, illustrate a series of transactions whereby -- I'm happy to go through blow by blow, but there was a lending arrangement to 2201 Luna Road to purchase the warehouse property at 2201 Luna Road, which is currently both the address of Taotao USA and Tao Motor.

What these documents show -- and we don't admittedly have the full picture. We have what has been recorded and is available. But what they show is that 2201 Luna Road, LLC, which is affiliated with Mr. Cao, obtained a Small Business Administration loan for the purchase of that property. And on Bates 2706, we have information about that loan that are identifying Taotao USA, Inc. and Daction Trading Inc. together as the operating company behind 2201 Luna Road getting the loan, and the loans are in the amount of in excess of $\$ 11$ million.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Well, you can detail all that in your post-hearing brief, what it actually shows, and then I'll decide on the weight we're going to give these documents. But in the meantime, I'll admit them. What weight they have will depend on what the evidence shows.

Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 206 will be
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(The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 205, was received in evidence.)
MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Now, Mr. Kulschinsky, I'm getting tired. Where are we?

MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, we're very close to the end. I have one more page, two more exhibits. I imagine they'll engender a bit of back and forth, but we can try to be swift.

JUDGE BIRO: Do you want to keep going?
MR. KULSCHINSKY: I think it would make sense to finish tonight if we can.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Are you still okay, Ms. Isin?

THE WITNESS: I'm fine.
JUDGE BIRO: Would you like a piece of chocolate?

THE WITNESS: I'm fine, thank you.
MR. CHU: There's some more here.
BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Could you please turn to Exhibit 208, Complainant's 208?


A Yes.
Q And do you recognize these documents?
A Yes. These are documents downloaded from the Texas -- Dallas, Texas, property appraisal office -- central appraisal district, sorry.

Q How are you familiar with this document?
A I downloaded it.
Q And is it a true, accurate, and complete copy of the document that you downloaded?

A Yes.
Q And could you please turn to Bates 2751?
A Yes.
Q And who is identified as the owner of 2201
Luna Road? It may be faded.
A Yeah, it's kind of --
JUDGE BIRO: On my copy, it shows 2201 Luna
Road, LLC.
THE WITNESS: Yeah. BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q And does the document identify a deed transfer date?

A Yes. December 11, 2015.
MR. CHU: Yeah. I'm sorry. Where are we?
On 2755?
THE WITNESS: 2751.
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JUDGE BIRO: 2751.
(Pause.)
MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd offer into evidence CX-208.

MR. CHU: Your Honor, to make clear, we're looking at 208 right now, is that correct? And it's records from the appraisal district, and it's being offered to show what's already in the deed that was previously marked, so --

JUDGE BIRO: Financial records of a related company.

MR. CHU: Right.
JUDGE BIRO: Potentially, arguably a related company.

MR. CHU: And, again, Your Honor, I don't believe that this is a valid legal document to show ownership. I think you already have that from the deed that they gave you just a second ago and you admitted.

JUDGE BIRO: I think potentially it shows value.

MR. CHU: There are a multitude of documents here, Your Honor. And it has personal property of Taotao USA in the set of documents. So the documents as a group is objectionable because it's not specific
as to a particular entity. The proper way was to separate this and offer it as to each entity. So, if this is being offered for the value of the appraisal district in terms of 2201 Luna Road, I could see, but I see in here a personal property statement for Taotao USA on personal property.

MR. KULSCHINSKY: If I may ask a question,
Your Honor, of Ms. Isin?
JUDGE BIRO: Sure.
MR. KULSCHINSKY: Maybe it'll clarify. BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Ms. Isin, what search did you perform that returned this document?

A I did a search on 2201 Luna Road and came up with all the property documents associated with that. And you'll see that there are documents here for Taotao USA, 2201 Luna Road, LLC, as well as Tao Motor, Inc.

Q And is it your understanding that this represents property for all of the entities affiliated with that address that you searched?

A That's my understanding.
MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I would renew the offer of --

MR. CHU: Again, we're standing on our
objection that this group of documents as a whole and the fact that she simply downloaded it off a website is not the proper proof of market values, nor is it an accurate determination of personal property, nor is it reflective of property. I mean, if you look at 2749 , Your Honor --

JUDGE BIRO: Well, I'm looking at 2755, which seems to suggest that for this property, 2201, at least according to Dallas central appraisal district, they have Taotao USA listed as the owner of this property.

MR. CHU: And that's why I'm saying the particular accuracy of these documents, in light of what they've shown you, I'd say that myself -- it says the transfer date, Taotao USA, doing business --

MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, may I ask --
MR. CHU: That does not comport with what they've offered previously, so to the extent that they're offering this, it's simply to --

MR. KULSCHINSKY: May I try again? BY MR. KULSCHINSKY:
Q Ms. Isin, the document that we're discussing, does this include both real and personal property?

A Yes, it does.

|  | Page 677 |  | Page 679 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q Is that the real and personal property of | 1 | president when he -- at different companies, and |
| 2 | all entities that the county has affiliated with the | 2 | wealth. You can't put those together if you own a |
| 3 | property at 2201 Luna Road? | 3 | property. We're talking about a residence in Texas. |
| 4 | A That's my understanding. | 4 | In Texas, it's a homestead property. And so I believe |
| 5 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 5 | it's totally irrelevant for the purposes of what this |
| 6 | MR. CHU: And, Your Honor, back to your page | 6 | Court has to evaluate in terms of determining civil |
| 7 | that you were looking at, it's showing addresses | 7 | penalties. So I want to object. Basically, this is a |
| 8 | different from 2201 Luna Road. So, again, for the | 8 | major intrusion on the privacy of individual citizens |
| 9 | purposes that it's being offered for, it doesn't seem | 9 | that are not a respondent in this matter here. |
| 10 | that the reliability of this document is accurate. | 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Ms. Isin, when the penalty |
| 11 | And as such, we would -- I guess the Court will say | 11 | policy talks about looking into the assets of related |
| 12 | argue the weight of it, but they're just throwing a | 12 | entities, does the term related entities include -- is |
| 13 | bunch of documents in here, and I don't think that's | 13 | it defined to include the individuals who own the |
| 14 | really appropriate. | 14 | corporation? Is there any interpretation of that? |
| 15 | JUDGE BIRO: You can certainly argue the | 15 | THE WITNESS: I would need to check into |
| 16 | weight of them. But I'll admit them, Exhibit 208. | 16 | that. But I can tell you that in the ability to pay |
| 17 | MR. CHU: It takes -- it contradicts their | 17 | guidance, it does suggest that in the case of a sole |
| 18 | own offer of proof. | 18 | proprietorship, where, you know, a company is owned by |
| 19 | JUDGE BIRO: And it may. It may be worth no | 19 | a single individual, it is -- it can be relevant to |
| 20 | weight, or it may undermine the credibility of other | 20 | look at their personal property. |
| 21 | things. You know, we'll sort it out when I sit down | 21 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, I understand the sole |
| 22 | and look at it all and get your briefs. | 22 | proprietorship, but not a separate legal entity, and |
| 23 | MR. CHU: And all I'm saying is it appears | 23 | particularly when they're attempting to ask the Court |
| 24 | that it's going to be confusing because, if you have a | 24 | to review a homestead residence that belongs to two |
| 25 | deed document, and you have this document, it's not a | 25 | individuals. I believe that should be outside the |
|  | Page 678 |  | Page 680 |
| 1 | legal document showing that there's a deed. | 1 | scope. |
| 2 | JUDGE BIRO: I can -- | 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Well, he's the sole manager of |
| 3 | MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor. | 3 | the company, isn't he? |
| 4 | JUDGE BIRO: I can figure that out. I think | 4 | MR. CHU: No. That's the other -- that's |
| 5 | I can do it. And if you put it in your brief, I'll | 5 | the 2201 Luna Road. And we're talking about Taotao |
| 6 | especially take notice of it. | 6 | USA, which is an I-N-C, an Inc. And he's the |
| 7 | Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 208 is admitted | 7 | shareholder of that one, and he's 100 percent |
| 8 | into the record. | 8 | shareholder of that one. I get that, but I'm just |
| 9 | (The document referred to, | 9 | saying you've gotten the information which you'll |
| 10 | previously identified as | 10 | review as to the 2201 Luna Road. All I'm saying is |
| 11 | Complainant's Exhibit No. | 11 | they're attempting at this point to talk about a |
| 12 | 208, was received in | 12 | personal residence that's homesteaded in the State of |
| 13 | evidence.) | 13 | Texas. And there's another person's name on that |
| 14 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. | 14 | property. |
| 15 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Well, we won't upload it to the |
| 16 | Q Ms. Isin, did you research the assets of Mr. | 16 | web. We'll maintain it as confidential business |
| 17 | Matao Cao? | 17 | information. But if he's the sole owner of the |
| 18 | A Yes, I did. | 18 | Respondent, then I think his assets are part of a |
| 19 | Q Is it typical to look into the property of | 19 | related entity and ability to pay. |
| 20 | an owner of a company being investigated? | 20 | MR. CHU: I'm just making my objections, |
| 21 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, I'm going to object to | 21 | Your Honor. |
| 22 | this line of questioning. The personal property, | 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit |
| 23 | particularly when it's owned with someone else, it's | 23 | 209 will be admitted into the record. |
| 24 | not a factor to take into consideration as to when | 24 | // |
| 25 | there's a company. This is no different from a | 25 | // |


|  | Page 681 |  | Page 683 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (The document referred to, | 1 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 2 | previously identified as | 2 | Q Ms. Isin, could you please turn to |
| 3 | Complainant's Exhibit No. | 3 | Complainant's Exhibit 213? |
| 4 | 209, was received in | 4 | A Yes. |
| 5 | evidence.) | 5 | Q Do you recognize this document? |
| 6 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. | 6 | A Yes. This is the most current penalty |
| 7 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: | 7 | calculation. |
| 8 | Q Ms. Isin, do the documents that we've been | 8 | Q And does this accurately reflect the |
| 9 | reviewing, these property records and information | 9 | proposed penalty that you calculated in this matter |
| 10 | about related companies, factor into your | 10 | today? |
| 11 | consideration of whether you should reduce the | 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | proposed penalty based on its impact on the | 12 | Q And what is the total proposed penalty? |
| 13 | Respondents' ability to continue in business? | 13 | MR. CHU: Objection. The document speaks |
| 14 | A Yes. | 14 | for itself. |
| 15 | Q Could you explain how? | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. |
| 16 | A Your question was specific to which | 16 | THE WITNESS: \$1,600,100 -- sorry, |
| 17 | documents? All of these, or any particular ones? | 17 | \$601,149.95. |
| 18 | Q All of these documents together. | 18 | BY MR. KULSCHINSKY: |
| 19 | A All these documents -- well, the most recent | 19 | Q And do you know approximately how much that |
| 20 | ones we discussed make me wonder, you know, what is | 20 | is per vehicle in violation in this matter? |
| 21 | the relationship between 2201 Luna Road, Taotao USA, | 21 | A I believe it's a little bit less than $\$ 15 \mathrm{a}$ |
| 22 | Daction Trading, Tao Motor. How is it that they were | 22 | vehicle. |
| 23 | able to obtain such a large loan? How did they | 23 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Thank you. |
| 24 | qualify for that? You know, why, if they can qualify | 24 | Your Honor, if I may have a minute? |
| 25 | for that, why can't they pay a $\$ 1.6$ million penalty? | 25 | JUDGE BIRO: Uh-huh. Of course. |
|  | Page 682 |  | Page 684 |
| 1 | Q Ms. Isin, could you please turn to what's | 1 | (Pause.) |
| 2 | been marked as Complainant's Exhibit 193? | 2 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 3 | A Yes. | 3 | move to enter Complainant's Exhibit 213 into the |
| 4 | Q And do you recognize this document? | 4 | record. |
| 5 | A Yes. This is my old penalty calculation | 5 | MR. CHU: We would have no objections for |
| 6 | worksheet. | 6 | the fact that it's her compilation and is not offered |
| 7 | Q And when you say old, have you prepared a | 7 | for the truth of the matters asserted. |
| 8 | more recent version of the penalty calculation | 8 | JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 213 is |
| 9 | worksheet? | 9 | admitted into the record. |
| 10 | A Yes. We submitted one, I think it was | 10 | (The document referred to, |
| 11 | October 9, which revised the economic benefit number. | 11 | previously identified as |
| 12 | Q In the penalty calculation worksheet in | 12 | Complainant's Exhibit No. |
| 13 | front of you, does this accurately reflect the gravity | 13 | 213, was received in |
| 14 | calculations that you performed in this matter? | 14 | evidence.) |
| 15 | A No. The gravity -- oh, I'm sorry. Yes. | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Why don't -- I was going to say |
| 16 | It's late. Yes, it does. | 16 | we can break, and then, if you come up with any |
| 17 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, we're going to object | 17 | additional questions, I'll let you ask a few more |
| 18 | to the testimony in reference to this old document. | 18 | tomorrow morning. And otherwise, we'll start with Mr. |
| 19 | They've submitted a CX-213 with the new calculations, | 19 | Chu's cross-examination, assuming he wants to do |
| 20 | just to save us time. I don't see the relevance of | 20 | cross-examination. |
| 21 | talking about the old one. | 21 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. I would say a |
| 22 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Your Honor, I'll be happy | 22 | little bit, but I didn't want to mislead the Court. |
| 23 | to refer to 213 in this place. | 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. |
| 24 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 24 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: That would be fine, Your |
| 25 | // | 25 | Honor. Thank you. |


|  | Page 685 | Page 687 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Ms. Isin, please don't | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
| 2 | discuss your testimony with anybody tonight. You have |  |
| 3 | to come back tomorrow and start again. | DOCKET NO.: CAA-HQ-2015-8065 |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | CASE TITLE: Taotao USA, Inc., Taotao Group Co., LTD and Junyun County Xiangyuan |
| 5 | JUDGE BIRO: What time can you be here, Mr. | Industry Company, LTD |
| 6 | Chu? | HEARING DATE: October 18, 2017 |
| 7 | MR. CHU: I will be here -- we're actually | LOCATION: Washington, D.C. |
| 8 | much closer today. We had to move hotels this |  |
| 9 | morning. But we can get started at 9, Your Honor. | I hereby certify that the proceedings and |
| 10 | JUDGE BIRO: We will all be in this | evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the |
| 11 | courtroom at 9:00 tomorrow. So, if you are not, I'm | above case before the U.S. Environmental Protection |
| 12 | going to start without you. | Agency, Office of Administrative Law Judges. |
| 13 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. I understand |  |
| 14 | that. | Date: October 18, 2017 |
| 15 | JUDGE BIRO: If they don't have any |  |
| 16 | questions, I'm going to release her. |  |
| 17 | MR. CHU: I understand, Your Honor. | Official Reporter |
| 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. We'll start tomorrow | Heritage Reporting Corporation |
| 19 | morning bright and early at 9:00. | Suite 206 |
| 20 | MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor. | 1220 L Street, N.W. |
| 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Have a good evening. | Washington, D.C. 20005-4018 |
| 22 | MR. CHU: You too. |  |
| 23 | MR. KULSCHINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. |  |
| 24 | (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) | Heritage Reporting Corporation |
| 25 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Washington. | (202) 628-4888 |
|  | Page 686 |  |
| 1 | MR. WASHINGTON: Yes. |  |
| 2 | JUDGE BIRO: We have disclosed here today |  |
| 3 | that you, in this proceeding, which you served as the |  |
| 4 | computer technician operator, certain CBI information. |  |
| 5 | You understand that information is confidential under |  |
| 6 | law, and you will not disclose it to anyone for any |  |
| 7 | purposes.? |  |
| 8 | MR. WASHINGTON: Yes. |  |
| 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Thank you, Mr. Washington. I |  |
| 10 | really appreciate it. Thank you for also staying so |  |
| 11 | late with us. I appreciate that too. |  |
| 12 | MR. WASHINGTON: You're welcome. |  |
| 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Have a really good |  |
| 14 | evening. |  |
| 15 | MR. WASHINGTON: Same to you. Thank you. |  |
| 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. |  |
| 17 | (Whereupon, at 6:24 p.m., the hearing in the |  |
| 18 | above-entitled matter adjourned, to resume at 9:00 |  |
| 19 | a.m. the following day, Thursday, October 19, 2017.) |  |
| 20 | // |  |
| 21 | // |  |
| 22 | // |  |
| 23 | // |  |
| 24 | // |  |
| 25 | // |  |
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| 642:4 645:17 | hands-on | 514:11 525:8 | highlighted | honestly 515:22 |
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| guess 343:16 | 408:16 | 667:15 686:17 | hints 446:22 | 351:19 352:3,6 |
| 394:7 476:17 | happens 413:21 | 687:7,12 | hire 625:1,9,14 | 352:13 353:13 |
| 505:7,9,10 | 437:23 528:5 | hearings 492:22 | 653:12 | 354:9,18,23 |
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| 504:21 | 459:8 581:12 | 561:12 564:3 | 542:12 624:14 | 357:16,20 |
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| 654:7 679:17 | hard-to-get | 637:3 | history 417:10 | 363:1,9 364:7 |
| guidances | 401:21 | heavily 380:8 | 426:1 485:5 | 364:16 368:8 |
| 342:11 | harm 597:25 | 489:21 546:1 | 547:4 552:24 | 368:10 369:1 |
| guidelines | Harrison 356:4 | held 362:24 | 559:24 585:25 | 370:18 371:23 |
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